Journal Title: Annals of Discrete Mathematics

Volume: 17
Issue:
Month/Year: 1983
Pages: 613 - 621

Article Author: Ross and Trotter

Article Title: Every t-irreducible partial order is a subposet of a t+1-irreducible partial order

William Trotter (wt48)
School of Mathematics
Georgia Tech
Atlanta, GA 30332

Faculty
Math

Paris, 1970).
osition of K_n where G has four vertices or less,
urvey of Steiner systems, Bollet. U.M.I. 7 (1973)
lisk Math. Tidskr. 11 (1963) 5–10.
in combinatorics, Math. Centre Tracts 106,
aspects variés, Coll. Franco-Canadien de Com-
th. (North-Holland, Amsterdam) à paraître.

Annals of Discrete Mathematics 17 (1983) 613–621

EVERY t-IRREDUCIBLE PARTIAL ORDER IS A SUBORDER OF A $t+1$-IRREDUCIBLE PARTIAL ORDER

William T. TROTTER, Jr.* and Jeffrey A. ROSS*[†]

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA

The dimension of a partial order $(X, \leqslant)$ is the least integer t for which there exist linear extensions $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t$ of X so that $x_1 \leqslant x_2$ in X if and only if $x_1 \leqslant x_2$ in X_i for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. For an integer $t \geqslant 2$, a partial order is said to be t-irreducible if it has dimension t and every proper nonempty subpartial order has dimension less than t. We answer a natural question concerning dimension by proving that for each $t \geqslant 2$, every t-irreducible partial order is a subpartial order of a $t+1$-irreducible partial order.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we answer one of the most natural questions that can be asked concerning the dimension of partially ordered sets. Utilizing a construction whose origins lie in chromatic graph theory, we prove that for each $t \geqslant 2$, every t-irreducible partial order can be embedded in a $t+1$-irreducible partial order. The construction also relies on two fundamental concepts in dimension theory: the structure of nonforced pairs and realizers of irreducible partial orders. Nevertheless, for the reader who is familiar with little more than the most basic concepts concerning partial orders, the paper is entirely self contained, and it is only necessary to present a few definitions and preliminary lemmas before proceeding to the principal result. The reader who desires additional background material on the dimensional theory of posets is referred to the survey article [4] which also contains an extensive bibliography of papers on this subject.

A *partially ordered set* (poset) is a set X equipped with a reflexive antisymmetric and transitive binary relation $\leqslant$. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \not\leqslant x_2$ and $x_2 \not\leqslant x_1$, then x_1 and x_2 are *incomparable* and we write $x_1 \| x_2$. For each point $x_1 \in X$, we let $D_X(x_1) = \{x_2 \in X : x_2 < x_1\}$, $U_X(x_1) = \{x_2 \in X : x_1 < x_2\}$, and $I_X(x_1) = \{x_2 \in X : x_1 \| x_2\}$. We let $I_X = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \| x_2\}$. We say X is a *linear order* if $I_X = \emptyset$.

* Research supported in part by NSF Grant ISP-8011451.
[†] Research of this author supported in part by a Grant from the USC Research and Productive Scholarship Fund.

If X_1 and X_2 are partial orders on the same set and $x_1 < x_2$ in X_2 whenever $x_1 < x_2$ in X_1, we say X_2 is an extension of X_1; if X_2 is a linear order and an extension of X_1, X_2 is called a *linear extension* of X_1. Dushnik and Miller [1] defined the *dimension* of a poset X, denoted $\dim(X)$, as the least positive integer t for which there exist t linear extensions $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t$ of X such that $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X_i for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

If X_1 and X_2 are posets and the point set of X_1 is a subset of the point set of X_2, the poset X_1 is called a *subposet* of X_2 when $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X_1 if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X_2 for all $x_1, x_2 \in X_1$. For each point $x \in X$, we let $X - \{x\}$ denote the subposet of X whose point set contains all points in X except x. Of course, $\dim(X - \{x\}) \leq \dim X$ for each $x \in X$. For an integer $t \geq 2$, a poset X is *t-irreducible* if $\dim(X) = t$ and $\dim(X - \{x\}) < t$ for each $x \in X$. A poset has dimension one if and only if it is a linear order (a chain) so the only 2-irreducible poset is a two point antichain. There are infinitely many 3-irreducible posets, and a complete listing of these posets has been made by Trotter and Moore [7] and by Kelly [3]. These posets can be conveniently grouped into 9 infinite families with 18 odd examples left over.

An incomparable pair $(x_1, x_2) \in I_X$ is called a *nonforced pair* if $x_3 < x_1$ implies $x_3 < x_2$ and $x_2 < x_4$ implies $x_1 < x_4$ for all $x_3, x_4 \in X$. We let N_X denote the set of all nonforced pairs. For the poset X shown in Fig. 1, $N_X = \{(2,3), (3,2), (6,1), (5,6), (2,4), (3,4)\}$.

It is customary to consider N_X as a directed graph whose vertex set is the point set of X. When $(x_1, x_2) \in N_X$, we draw an edge from x_2 to x_1. For the poset X in Fig. 1, we have the digraph shown in Fig. 2.

The properties of the digraph N_X are central to the theory of rank for partial orders and we refer the reader to [5] and [6] for additional material on this subject. In this paper we will need only a few basic facts concerning N_X. We state these elementary results without proof. The reader may enjoy providing the arguments, although full details are given in [5].

Lemma 1. *As a binary relation $X \cup N_X$ is transitive, that is, if $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a subset of X and for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$, either $x_i < x_{i+1}$ in X or $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in N_X$, then either $x_1 < x_m$ in X or $(x_1, x_m) \in N_X$.*



Fig. 1.



Fig. 2.

Lemma 2. *If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ is a sul $\{(a_i, a_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i < n\} \cup \{(a_n, a_1)\}$, then the if $x \in X - A$, then $x > a_i$ if and only i Dually, $x < a_i$ if and only if $x < a_j$ for*

If $t \geq 3$, a t-irreducible partial order is sum [2] so in particular, it never contains the preceding lemma. A 2-irreducible p an antichain and has a directed cycle of pairs.

However, when $t \geq 3$ the digraph of contains no directed cycles. In this cas write $X \cup N_X$ to denote the set X equi $x_1 \leq x_2$ in $X \cup N_X$ if and only if $x_1 \leq x$

Lemma 3. *If $t \geq 3$ and X is a t-irreduc partial order.*

We illustrate the preceding lemma f

A set $R = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t\}$ of linear e when $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X if and only if $x_1 \leq$

Lemma 4. *A set $R = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t\}$ realizer of X if and only if for each nonf $i \leq t$ for which $x_2 < x_1$ in X_i.*

Note in the preceding lemma that the $x_2 < x_1$ in X_i, so it is natural to say tha The dimension of a partial order X extensions of X required to reverse t



same set and $x_1 < x_2$ in X_2 whenever
n of X_1; if X_2 is a linear order and an
tension of X_1. Dushnik and Miller [1]
ted $\dim(X)$, as the least positive integer
$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t$ of X such that $x_1 \leq x_2$ in
$i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.
t of X_1 is a subset of the point set of X_2,
en $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X_1 if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ in
X, we let $X - \{x\}$ denote the subposet
X except x. Of course, $\dim(X - \{x\}) \leq$
r $t \geq 2$, a poset X is *t-irreducible* if
h $x \in X$. A poset has dimension one if
so the only 2-irreducible poset is a two
y 3-irreducible posets, and a complete
Trotter and Moore [7] and by Kelly [3].
ed into 9 infinite families with 18 odd

alled a *nonforced pair* if $x_3 < x_1$ implies
$x_3, x_4 \in X$. We let N_X denote the set of
wn in Fig. 1, $N_X = \{(2,3), (3,2), (6,1),$

cted graph whose vertex set is the point
edge from x_2 to x_1. For the poset X in
ig. 2.
central to the theory of rank for partial
nd [6] for additional material on this
few basic facts concerning N_X. We state
The reader may enjoy providing the
en in [5].

s transitive, that is, if $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ *is a*
1, *either* $x_i < x_{i+1}$ *in* X *or* $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in N_X$,
.



Fig. 2.

Lemma 2. *If* $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ *is a subset of* X *and* N_X *contains a directed cycle* $\{(a_i, a_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i < n\} \cup \{(a_n, a_1)\}$, *then the set* A *is an antichain in* X. *Furthermore, if* $x \in X - A$, *then* $x > a_i$ *if and only if* $x > a_j$ *for each* i, j *with* $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. *Dually,* $x < a_i$ *if and only if* $x < a_j$ *for each* i, j *with* $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

If $t \geq 3$, a t-irreducible partial order is indecomposable with respect to ordinal sum [2] so in particular, it never contains an antichain satisfying the conclusion of the preceding lemma. A 2-irreducible poset (a two point antichain) is itself such an antichain and has a directed cycle of length two for its digraph of nonforced pairs.

However, when $t \geq 3$ the digraph of nonforced pairs of a t-irreducible poset contains no directed cycles. In this case, we abuse terminology somewhat and write $X \cup N_X$ to denote the set X equipped with the binary relation defined by $x_1 \leq x_2$ in $X \cup N_X$ if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X or $(x_1, x_2) \in N_X$.

Lemma 3. *If* $t \geq 3$ *and* X *is a* t*-irreducible partial order, then* $X \cup N_X$ *is also a partial order.*

We illustrate the preceding lemma for a 3-irreducible poset (Fig. 3).

A set $R = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t\}$ of linear extensions of X is called a *realizer* of X when $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X if and only if $x_1 \leq x_2$ in X_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

Lemma 4. *A set* $R = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t\}$ *of linear extensions of a poset* X *is a realizer of* X *if and only if for each nonforced pair* $(x_1, x_2) \in N_X$, *there exists some* $i \leq t$ *for which* $x_2 < x_1$ *in* X_i.

Note in the preceding lemma that the emphasis is on a linear extension X_i with $x_2 < x_1$ in X_i, so it is natural to say that X_i *reverses* the nonforced pair (x_1, x_2). The dimension of a partial order X is then the minimum number of linear extensions of X required to reverse the nonforced pairs of X. It is therefore



Fig. 3.

natural to associate with a partial order X a hypergraph H_X whose vertices are the nonforced pairs in N_X. A subset $N \subseteq N_X$ is an edge in the hypergraph H_X when there is no linear extension of X which reverses all the nonforced pairs in N, but if N' is a nonempty proper subset of N, then there is a linear extension of X reversing the nonforced pairs in N'. It follows immediately that the dimension of X is the chromatic number of the hypergraph H_X, that is, the least number of colors required to color the vertices of H_X so that no edge of H_X has all of its vertices assigned the same color. For the posets in Figs. 1 and 3, the associated hypergraphs are illustrated in Figs. 4a and 4b, respectively. Note that the graph in Fig. 4a is 2-colorable and that the graph in Fig. 4b is 3-colorable as it contains an odd cycle on seven points.

Example 5. For the poset X shown in Fig. 3, the following three linear extensions realize X:

$$X_1 = \{x_2 < x_1 < x_4 < x_5 < x_3 < x_6 < x_7\},$$

$$X_2 = \{x_3 < x_1 < x_6 < x_5 < x_2 < x_4 < x_7\},$$

$$X_3 = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < x_7 < x_5 < x_6\}.$$

Note that X_1 reverses the nonforced pairs in $\{(x_3, x_5), (x_3, x_4), (x_1, x_2)\}$, X_2 reverses $\{(x_5, x_6), (x_1, x_3), (x_2, x_6), (x_2, x_5)\}$, and X_3 reverses $\{(x_6, x_7), (x_5, x_7)\}$. Also note that deleting x_7 from X_1 and X_2 leaves two linear extensions which realize $X - \{x_7\}$.

Hiraguchi [2] proved that removing a point from a poset decreases the dimension by at most one. Here we will require a specialized version of this result.

Lemma 6. *Let X be a t-irreducible poset where $t \geq 3$ and let x be a maximal element of $X \cup N_X$. Then there exists a linear extension X_0 of $X \cup N_X$ in which x is the largest element and $x_1 < x_2$ in X_0 for every $x_1 \in D_X(x)$ and $x_2 \in I_X(x)$.*



Fig. 4a.



Fig. 4b.

Proof. It suffices to observe that if $x_1 \in$
$X \cup N_X$. □

Let X be an irreducible poset of dim
$X \cup N_X$ is called a *strongly maximal* ele
$X \cup N_X$ satisfying the conclusion of
extension of X (with respect to the ma
$x_3 < \cdots < x_n\}$ is a consistent linear
$\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ and $I_X(x_n) = \{x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, .$
$\{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_s < x_n < x_{s+1} < x_{s+}$
consistent linear extension X_0. Note that
$X \cup N_X$. The linear order X_0^* will pla
principal theorem. At this point, we not
of X.

Lemma 7. *Let X be a t-irreducible po*
maximal element of X. Also let X_0 be a c
Furthermore, let $\{X_1', X_2', \ldots, X_{t-1}'\}$ be
$i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$, let X_i be the linear ord
element. Then $\{X_0^*, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{t-1}\}$ is

For the 3-irreducible poset X show
$\{x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_7\}$ is consistent with re
The linear extensions $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ def
Note that X_3 is the reverse of X_0.

2. The embedding theorem

In this section, we use the concep
t-irreducible partial order X to co
containing X as a subposet. The read
theory will recognize the flavor of th
subject.

Theorem. *If $t \geq 2$ and X is a t-irre*
irreducible poset containing X as a su

Proof. The result is trivial when $t = 2$
an arbitrary t-irreducible poset an
$X_0 = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_n\}$. As in S

X a hypergraph H_X whose vertices are
$\subseteq N_X$ is an edge in the hypergraph H_X
'hich reverses all the nonforced pairs in
of N, then there is a linear extension of
follows immediately that the dimension
ergraph H_X, that is, the least number of
H_X so that no edge of H_X has all of its
: posets in Figs. 1 and 3, the associated
ıd 4b, respectively. Note that the graph
›h in Fig. 4b is 3-colorable as it contains

in Fig. 3, the following three linear

$_5 < x_7\}$,

$_4 < x_7\}$,

$_5 < x_6\}$.

pairs in $\{(x_3, x_5), (x_3, x_4), (x_1, x_2)\}$, X_2
, and X_3 reverses $\{(x_6, x_7), (x_5, x_7)\}$. Also
ıves two linear extensions which realize

a point from a poset decreases the
ill require a specialized version of this

et where $t \geq 3$ and let x be a maximal
ıear extension X_0 of $X \cup N_X$ in which x is
r every $x_1 \in D_X(x)$ and $x_2 \in I_X(x)$.



Fig. 4b.

Proof. It suffices to observe that if $x_1 \in D_X(x)$ and $x_2 \in I_X(x)$, then $x_2 \not< x_1$ in $X \cup N_X$. $\square$

Let X be an irreducible poset of dimension at least 3. A maximal element of $X \cup N_X$ is called a *strongly maximal* element of X, and a linear extension X_0 of $X \cup N_X$ satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 6 is called a *consistent* linear extension of X (with respect to the maximal element of X_0). If $X_0 = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_n\}$ is a consistent linear extension of X, so that $D_X(x_n) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$ and $I_X(x_n) = \{x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$, then the linear order $X_0^* = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_s < x_n < x_{s+1} < x_{s+2} < \cdots < x_{n-1}\}$ is called the *reverse* of the consistent linear extension X_0. Note that X_0^* is a linear extension of X but not of $X \cup N_X$. The linear order X_0^* will play an important role in the proof of our principal theorem. At this point, we note that X_0^* can be used to form a realizer of X.

Lemma 7. *Let X be a t-irreducible poset, where $t \geq 3$, and let x be a strongly maximal element of X. Also let X_0 be a consistent linear extension with respect to x. Furthermore, let $\{X'_1, X'_2, \ldots, X'_{t-1}\}$ be a realizer of $X - \{x\}$, and for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$, let X_i be the linear order formed by adding x to X'_i as the largest element. Then $\{X_0^*, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{t-1}\}$ is a realizer of X.*

For the 3-irreducible poset X shown in Fig. 3, the linear extension $X_0 = \{x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_7\}$ is consistent with respect to the strongly maximal element x_7. The linear extensions $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ defined in Example 5 illustrate Lemma 7. Note that X_3 is the reverse of X_0.

2. The embedding theorem

In this section, we use the concept of a consistent linear extension of a t-irreducible partial order X to construct a $t+1$-irreducible partial order containing X as a subposet. The reader who is familiar with chromatic graph theory will recognize the flavor of the construction, since its roots lie in that subject.

Theorem. *If $t \geq 2$ and X is a t-irreducible poset, then there exists a $t+1$-irreducible poset containing X as a subposet.*

Proof. The result is trivial when $t = 2$ so we assume that $t \geq 3$. We then let X be an arbitrary t-irreducible poset and choose a consistent linear extension $X_0 = \{x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_n\}$. As in Section 1, we let $D_X(x_n) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$

and $I_X(x_n) = \{x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$. We now construct a $t+1$-dimensional poset S containing X as a subposet. In general, S will not be irreducible, but we will prove that S contains a $t+1$-irreducible subposet R with X a subposet of R.

When $t = 3$, the point set of S is the union of four sets X, Y, U, and V. The subposet determined by U is an isomorphic copy of X with $U_0 = \{u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \cdots < u_n\}$ the corresponding consistent linear extension of U. Each point of X is incomparable with each point of U. The subposets determined by Y and V are $n-1$ element chains labeled $\{y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < \cdots < y_{n-1}\}$ and $\{v_1 < v_2 < v_3 < \cdots < v_{n-1}\}$, respectively. Each point of Y is incomparable with each point of V. Furthermore $x < v$ and $u < y$ for every $x \in X$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $y \in Y$. Also, $x_i < y_j$ and $u_i < v_j$ if and only if $i \leq j$. This completes the description of S when $t = 3$. We pause to illustrate the construction of S for the poset X shown in Fig. 3. For clarity, only the subscripts are displayed (Fig. 5).

When $t > 3$, S also contains two antichains $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{t-3}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{t-3}\}$. Each point of B is less than every point in $Y \cup V$ and incomparable with every point in $X \cup U$. Every point in A is incomparable with every point of $Y \cup V$ and greater than every point of $X \cup U$. Also $b_i < a_j$ if and only if $i \neq j$ for all i, j. This completes the definition of the poset S.

We now show that $\dim(S) \geq t+1$. Suppose to the contrary that $\dim(S) \leq t$. We know that $\dim(S) \geq t$ since S contains the t-dimensional poset X, so we assume that $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_t\}$ is a realizer of S. Then these t linear orders reverse all the nonforced pairs in N_S. We are particularly interested in the following sets of nonforced pairs: $N_1 = \{(x_{i+1}, y_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, $N_2 = \{(u_{i+1}, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, and $N_3 = \{(b_i, a_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t-3\}$. It is easy to see that any linear extension of S cannot reverse nonforced pairs from two or more of these three sets. Furthermore, a linear extension can reverse at most one nonforced pair from N_3. It



Fig. 5.

follows that either there is some $i_0 \leq t$ so
N_1 or there is some $i_1 \leq t$ so that S_{i_1} reve
and U are isomorphic, we may assume
N_1. We now show that S_{i_0} cannot reverse
that this is true, let $(x_i, x_j) \in N_X$. Since
know that $i < j$ and thus $i \leq j-1$. Thus
in S_{i_0}, and therefore $x_i < x_j$ in S_{i_0}. It fo
$i \neq i_0$, to X we obtain $t-1$ linear exten
impossible since the dimension of
$\dim(S) \geq t+1$.

We now show that if $x \in X$, then
t-dimensional poset. To accomplish this
realizer $\{S'_1, S'_2, \ldots, S'_t\}$ of $S - \{x\}$.

First we choose a realizer $\{X'_1$
$\{U^*_0, U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{t-1}\}$ be the realizer of
U^*_0 is the reverse of the consistent lin

$$U_0 = \{u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \cdots < u_n\},$$

and u_n is the largest element of U_i for
the linear extension of $X \cup Y$ defined

$$(X \cup Y)_0 = \{x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 <$$

Note that the restriction of $(X \cup Y)_0$ to
and that $(X \cup Y)_0$ reverses N_1. Then
$U \cup V$ defined by

$$(U \cup V)^*_0 = \{u_1 < v_1 < u_2 < v_2$$

Note that the restriction of $(U \cup V)^*_0$ t
linear extension U_0, and that $(U \cup V)^*_0$
(u_n, v_{n-1}).

In order to present the construction
antichains A and B in the linear extens
should note that when $t = 3$, these point
the definition. For convenience, we def
by $A_0 = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{t-3}\}$ and $B_0 =$

We then define

$$S'_1 = B_0 < U_1 < (X \cup Y)_0 - \{x\}$$

$$S'_2 = U_2 < X'_3 < B_0 - \{b_1\} < a_1$$

$$S'_3 = U_3 < X'_4 < B_0 - \{b_2\} < a_2$$

w construct a $t+1$-dimensional poset S
. S will not be irreducible, but we will
subposet R with X a subposet of R.
union of four sets X, Y, U, and V. The
hic copy of X with $U_0=\{u_1<u_2<u_3<$
near extension of U. Each point of X is
subposets determined by Y and V are
$_3<\cdots<y_{n-1}\}$ and $\{v_1<v_2<v_3<\cdots<$
incomparable with each point of V.
y $x\in X$, $u\in U$, $v\in V$, $y\in Y$. Also,
is completes the description of S when
tion of S for the poset X shown in Fig.
splayed (Fig. 5).
tichains $A=\{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{t-3}\}$ and $B=$
ess than every point in $Y\cup V$ and
Every point in A is incomparable with
very point of $X\cup U$. Also $b_i<a_j$ if and
he definition of the poset S.
ppose to the contrary that $\dim(S)\leq t$.
ins the t-dimensional poset X, so we
S. Then these t linear orders reverse all
larly interested in the following sets of
$\leq n-1\}$, $N_2=\{(u_{i+1},v_i):1\leq i\leq n-1\}$,
y to see that any linear extension of S
) or more of these three sets. Further-
most one nonforced pair from N_3. It



5.

follows that either there is some $i_0\leq t$ so that S_{i_0} reverses every nonforced pair in N_1 or there is some $i_1\leq t$ so that S_{i_1} reverses every nonforced pair in N_2. Since X and U are isomorphic, we may assume without loss of gnerality that S_{i_0} reverses N_1. We now show that S_{i_0} cannot reverse any nonforced pairs in $N_X\subseteq N_S$. To see that this is true, let $(x_i,x_j)\in N_X$. Since $X_0=\{x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_n\}$ is consistent, we know that $i<j$ and thus $i\leq j-1$. Thus $x_i<y_{j-1}$ in S_{i_0}, $(x_j,y_{j-1})\in N_X$, so $y_{j-1}<x_j$ in S_{i_0}, and therefore $x_i<x_j$ in S_{i_0}. It follows that if we restrict each S_i, where $i\neq i_0$, to X we obtain $t-1$ linear extensions of X which reverse N_X. But this is impossible since the dimension of X is t. The contradiction shows that $\dim(S)\geq t+1$.

We now show that if $x\in X$, then the removal of x from S leaves a t-dimensional poset. To accomplish this we provide an explicit construction for a realizer $\{S'_1,S'_2,\ldots,S'_t\}$ of $S-\{x\}$.

First we choose a realizer $\{X'_1,X'_2,\ldots,X'_{t-1}\}$ of $X-\{x\}$. Then let $\{U_0^*,U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_{t-1}\}$ be the realizer of U produced by Lemma 7. In particular, U_0^* is the reverse of the consistent linear extension

$$U_0=\{u_1<u_2<u_3<\cdots<u_n\},$$

and u_n is the largest element of U_i for each $i=1,2,\ldots,t-1$. Let $(X\cup Y)_0$ be the linear extension of $X\cup Y$ defined by

$$(X\cup Y)_0=\{x_1<y_1<x_2<y_2<x_3<y_3<\cdots<x_{n-1}<y_{n-1}<x_n\}.$$

Note that the restriction of $(X\cup Y)_0$ to X is the consistent linear extension X_0 and that $(X\cup Y)_0$ reverses N_1. Then let $(U\cup V)_0^*$ be the linear extension of $U\cup V$ defined by

$$(U\cup V)_0^*=\{u_1<v_1<u_2<v_2<\cdots<u_s<v_s<u_n<u_{s+1}<v_{s+1}<\cdots<u_{n-1}<v_{n-1}\}.$$

Note that the restriction of $(U\cup V)_0^*$ to U is U_0^*, the reverse of the consistent linear extension U_0, and that $(U\cup V)_0^*$ reverses all nonforced pairs in N_2 except (u_n,v_{n-1}).

In order to present the construction in general form, we will also include the antichains A and B in the linear extensions $S'_1,S'_2,\ldots,S'_t$ of $S-\{x\}$. The reader should note that when $t=3$, these points are not in S and are to be deleted from the definition. For convenience, we define linear orders A_0 and B_0 on A and B by $A_0=\{a_1<a_2<\cdots<a_{t-3}\}$ and $B_0=\{b_1<b_2<\cdots<b_{t-3}\}$.

We then define

$$S'_1=B_0<U_1<(X\cup Y)_0-\{x\}<V<A_0,$$

$$S'_2=U_2<X'_3<B_0-\{b_1\}<a_1<b_1<A_0-\{a_1\}<Y<V,$$

$$S'_3=U_3<X'_4<B_0-\{b_2\}<a_2<b_2<A_0-\{a_2\}<Y<V,$$

$$S'_4 = U_4 < X'_5 < B_0 - \{b_3\} < a_3 < b_3 < A_0 - \{a_3\} < Y < V,$$
$$\vdots$$
$$S'_{t-2} = U_{t-2} < X'_{t-1} < B_0 - \{b_{t-3}\} < a_{t-3} < b_{t-3} < A_0 - \{a_{t-3}\} < Y < V,$$
$$S'_{t-1} = B_0 < X'_1 < U_{t-1} - \{u_n\} < V < u_n < Y < A_0,$$
$$S'_t = B_0 < X'_2 < (U \cup V)^*_0 < Y < A_0.$$

In order to verify that these linear extensions form a realizer of $S - \{x\}$, we make the following observations:

(1) Each S'_i is a linear extension of $S - \{x\}$.
(2) $B < U < X - \{x\}$ and $Y < V < A$ in S'_1.
(3) $B < X - \{x\} < U$ and $V < Y < A$ in S'_t.
(4) If $t > 3$, $U < X - \{x\} < B$ and $A < Y < V$ in S'_2.
(5) If $x' \in X - \{x\}$, $y \in Y$, and $x' \| y$, then $y < x'$ in S'_1.
(6) S'_{t-1} and S'_t reverse N_2.
(7) If $t > 3$, (b_i, a_i) is reversed in S_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t-3$.

It follows that $\{S'_1, S'_2, \ldots, S'_t\}$ is a realizer of $S - \{x\}$. Thus $\dim(S - \{x\}) = t$ and $\dim S = t + 1$. By symmetry, we conclude that $\dim(S - \{u\}) = t$ for every $u \in U$.

As noted previously, the poset S may not be irreducible but we may remove points from $S - (X \cup U)$ until we obtain a $t+1$-irreducible subposet R of S so that $X \cup U \subseteq R$. Although we do not need to be concerned with the details, the reader may note that if $t > 3$, then the poset R will also contain $A \cup B$. With this observation the proof of our principal theorem is complete. □

Although we do not include the details here, the reader may enjoy the task of verifying the following examples.

Example 8. If S is the 4-dimensional poset shown in Fig. 5, then the poset $R = S - \{y_2, y_4, y_6, v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ is a 4-irreducible poset containing the three irreducible poset X shown in Fig. 3.

Example 9. For each $t \geq 3$, the standard example of a t-irreducible poset is the set of all one-element and $n-1$ element subsets of an n element set partially ordered by inclusion. Let X be this poset with the points labelled $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2t}\}$ so that $x_i < x_{t+j}$ if and only if $i \neq j$. Then the subposet R of S whose point set is $X \cup U \cup A \cup B \cup \{y_t, v_t\}$ is $t+1$-irreducible.

Example 10. In the proof of our principal theorem, it is easy to see that U need not be isomorphic to X. In fact U need only be another t-irreducible poset. Therefore this construction is useful to produce posets with prescribed parame-

ters. For example, the construction produ
t-irreducible poset whose height exceec
that this is impossible when $t = 3$.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge
David Kelly on embedding problems fo
[4] had previously obtained a proof of o
concept of dimension products.

References

[1] B. Dushnik and E.W. Miller, Partially order
[2] T. Hiraguchi, On the dimension of orders, S
[3] D. Kelly, The 3-irreducible partially ordered
[4] D. Kelly and W.T. Trotter, Jr., An introductio
to appear.
[5] S. Maurer, I. Rabinovitch and W.T. Trotter,
Discrete Math. 31 (1980) 297–314.
[6] S. Maurer, I. Rabinovitch and W.T. Trotter, J
graphs, Discrete Math. 32 (1980) 167–189.
[7] W.T. Trotter, Jr. and J.I. Moore, Jr., Chara
sets, lattices, and families of sets, Discrete M

$< b_3 < A_0 - \{a_3\} < Y < V,$

$< a_{t-3} < b_{t-3} < A_0 - \{a_{t-3}\} < Y < V,$

$V < u_n < Y < A_0,$

$< A_0.$

xtensions form a realizer of $S - \{x\}$, we

$S - \{x\}$.
in S_1'.
in S_t'.
$< Y < V$ in S_2'.
then $y < x'$ in S_1'.

$_1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-3$.
alizer of $S - \{x\}$. Thus $\dim(S - \{x\}) = t$
onclude that $\dim(S - \{u\}) = t$ for every

not be irreducible but we may remove
n a $t+1$-irreducible subposet R of S so
ed to be concerned with the details, the
oset R will also contain $A \cup B$. With this
theorem is complete. □

ls here, the reader may enjoy the task of

poset shown in Fig. 5, then the poset
cible poset containing the three irreduc-

d example of a t-irreducible poset is the
nt subsets of an n element set partially
t with the points labelled $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2t}\}$
the subposet R of S whose point set is
cible.

al theorem, it is easy to see that U need
d only be another t-irreducible poset.
roduce posets with prescribed parame-

ters. For example, the construction produces for each $t \geq 4$ and each pair (h, w) a t-irreducible poset whose height exceeds h and whose width exceeds w. Note that this is impossible when $t = 3$.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge several valuable conversations with David Kelly on embedding problems for irreducible posets. In particular, Kelly [4] had previously obtained a proof of our principal theorem for $t = 3$ using the concept of dimension products.

References

[1] B. Dushnik and E.W. Miller, Partially ordered sets, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941) 600–610.
[2] T. Hiraguchi, On the dimension of orders, Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ. 4 (1955) 1–20.
[3] D. Kelly, The 3-irreducible partially ordered sets, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977) 367–383.
[4] D. Kelly and W.T. Trotter, Jr., An introduction to the dimension theory of partially ordered sets, to appear.
[5] S. Maurer, I. Rabinovitch and W.T. Trotter, Jr., Large minimal realizers of a partial order II, Discrete Math. 31 (1980) 297–314.
[6] S. Maurer, I. Rabinovitch and W.T. Trotter, Jr., A generalization of Turan's theorem to directed graphs, Discrete Math. 32 (1980) 167–189.
[7] W.T. Trotter, Jr. and J.I. Moore, Jr., Characterization problems for graphs, partially ordered sets, lattices, and families of sets, Discrete Math. 15 (1976) 361–368.