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#### Abstract

The Ramsey number of a graph $G$ is the least number $t$ for which it is true that whenever the edges of the complete graph on $t$ vertices are colored in an arbitrary fashion using two colors, say red and blue, then it is always the case that either the red subgraph contains $G$ or the blue subgraph contains $G$. A conjecture of P. Erdös and S. Burr is settled in the affirmative by proving that for each $d \geqslant 1$, there exists a constant $c$ so that if $G$ is any graph on $n$ vertices with maximum degree $d$, then the Ramsey number of $G$ is at most cn .


## 1. Introduction

If $F, G$, and $H$ are graphs, we write $F \rightarrow(G, H)$ when the following condition is satisfied: If the edges of $F$ are colored in any fashion with two

[^0]colors, say red and blue, then either the red subgraph contains a copy of $G$ or the blue subgraph contains a copy of $H$. Now let $K_{m}$ denote the complete graph on $m$ vertices. Then it follows easily from Ramsey's theorem that for every pair $(G, H)$ there is a least positive integer $m$ for which $K_{m} \rightarrow(G, H)$. This integer $m$ is called the Ramsey number $r(G, H)$. When $G=H$, we write only $r(G)$. An excellent survey of results concerning Ramsey numbers can be found in the book [3]. Here, we will be concerned with the following conjecture of Burr and Erdös [2]:

Conjecture. For each $d \geqslant 1$, there exists a constant $c$, depending only on $d$, so that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices in which each vertex has at most $d$ neighbors, then $r(G) \leqslant c n$.

Recently, Beck [1] has made some progress on this conjecture by showing that $r(G)<(2 n)^{c}$, where $c=(2 d)^{2 d-1}$. In this paper, we settle the above conjecture in the affirmative. Our proof will depend heavily on the "regularity" lemma of Szemeredi [4]. The presentation of this lemma requires some preliminary definitions.

Let $H$ be a graph and let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint subsets of the vertex set of $H$. Then the density of $(A, B)$, denoted $\delta(A, B)$, is the ratio $n_{1} / n_{2}$, where $n_{1}=$ $\mid\{(a, b): a \in A, b \in B$, and $a$ is adjacent to $b$ in $H\} \mid$ and $n_{2}=|A \times B|$. The density of $(A, B)$ measures the probability that a pair $(a, b)$ selected at random from $A \times B$ determines an edge in $H$. Of course, we always have $0 \leqslant$ $\delta(A, B) \leqslant 1$.

Now let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. Then the pair $(A, B)$ is said to be $\varepsilon$ regular if whenever we have two subsets $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ with $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon|A|$ and $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon|B|$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\delta(A, B)-\varepsilon \leqslant \delta\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \delta(A, B)+\varepsilon .
$$

Next, let $V(H)=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}$ be a partition of the vertex set of $H$ into disjoint subsets. The partition is said to be equipartite if $\left|\left|A_{i}\right|-\left|A_{j}\right|\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. With these definitions, we can now state the following lemma whose proof is given in [4]:

Lemma. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and every integer $m \geqslant 0$, there exist integers $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ (depending on $\varepsilon$ and $m$ ) so that if $H$ is a graph having at least $N_{2}$ vertices, then there exists an equipartite partition $V(H)=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}$, where
(i) $m \leqslant k \leqslant N_{1}$, and
(ii) all but at most $\varepsilon\binom{k}{2}$ of the pairs $\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ are $\varepsilon$-regular.

## 2. The Principal Result

Our goal in this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem. For each positive integer $d$, there exists a constant $c$, depending only on $d$, so that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices with maximum degree at most $d$, then $r(G) \leqslant c n$.

Proof. Let $d$ be any positive integer. Choose the least positive integer $t$ so that if we define $\varepsilon=1 / t$, then $\frac{1}{2} \log (1 / 3 \varepsilon) \geqslant d+1$. Observe that with this choice, we also know that $1 / 3^{d}>2 d^{2} \varepsilon$. Next, set $m=1 / \varepsilon$. Then let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be the values determined by these values of $\varepsilon$ and $m$ in the regularity lemma. Then set $c=\max \left\{N_{2}, N_{1} / d^{2} \varepsilon\right\}$. Note that $c$ is a constant depending only on $d$.

Next, let $G$ be a graph having $n$ vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and maximum degree at most $d$. We show that $r(G) \leqslant c n$. Consider an arbitrary coloring of the edges of the complete graph $K_{c n}$ using two colors, say red and blue. Then let $H$ denote the graph on $c n$ vertices determined by the red edges. The complement of $H$, denoted by $\bar{H}$, is the graph determined by the blue edges. Note that if $A$ and $B$ are disjoint sets of vertices, then $\delta_{H}(A, B)=$ $1-\delta_{\bar{H}}(A, B)$. Furthermore, $(A, B)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular in $H$ if and only if it is $\varepsilon$ regular in $\bar{H}$.

Since $H$ has $c n$ vertices and $c n \geqslant N_{2}$, we know that there exists an equipartite partition, $V(H)=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}$ as guaranteed by the regularity lemma. Then let $H^{*}$ denote the graph whose vertex set is $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ with edges $(i, j)$, where $\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular in $H$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k$. The graph $H^{*}$ has at least $(1-\varepsilon)\binom{k}{2}$ edges and thus by Turan's theorem has a complete subgraph $H^{* *}$ of size (being generous) at least $1 / 2 \varepsilon$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the subsets in the partition have been labelled so that $\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular whenever $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant 1 / 2 \varepsilon$. Now we two color the edges of $H^{* *}$ using the colors green and white. We color $(i, j)$ green if $\delta_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and color $(i, j)$ white if $\delta_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)<\frac{1}{2}$. We pause to recall that $\frac{1}{2} \log (1 / 2 \varepsilon) \geqslant d+1$. Then it follows from Ramsey's theorem that we have (again being generous) a monochromatic complete subgraph $H^{* * *}$ having $d+1$ vertices.

Assume first that $H^{* * *}$ has all of its edges colored green. Then we may relabel the sets in the partition so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i) }\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right) \text { is } \varepsilon \text {-regular, and }  \tag{i}\\
& \text { (ii) } \delta_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $i, j$ with $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d+1$. We now proceed to show that the red subgraph $H$ contains a copy of $G$. (If the edges of $H^{* * *}$ are white, then we
replace $H$ by $\bar{H}$ in the second condition and proceed to show that the blue subgraph $\bar{H}$ contains a copy of $G$.)

To construct a copy of $G$ in $H$, we will proceed inductively to choose vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ from $H$ so that the map $x_{i} \rightarrow y_{i}$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, we will choose these points so that for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) If $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant i$, then $y_{\alpha} \in A_{\beta}$ for some $\beta$ with $1 \leqslant \beta \leqslant d+1$.
(b) If $1 \leqslant \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2} \leqslant i$ and $x_{\alpha_{1}}$ is adjacent to $x_{\alpha_{2}}$ in $G$, then $y_{\alpha_{1}}$ and $y_{\alpha_{2}}$ come from distinct sets in the partition and $y_{\alpha_{1}}$ is adjacent to $y_{a_{2}}$ in $H$.
(c) If $i<\alpha^{\prime} \leqslant n, V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)=\left\{y_{\alpha}: 1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant i, x_{\alpha}\right.$ adjacent to $\left.x_{a^{\prime}}\right\}$, and $v=\left|V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)\right|$, then for each $\beta$ with $1 \leqslant \beta \leqslant d+1$ so that $A_{\beta}$ contains no $y_{\alpha}$ in $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right), A_{\beta}$ contains a subset $A_{\beta}^{\prime}$ having at least $\left|A_{\beta}\right| / 3^{v}$ points so that every point in $A_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to every $y_{\alpha}$ in $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)$.

At first, condition (c) may seem hopelessly complicated to the reader. Upon reflection, however, it will be clear that this condition is precisely what is needed to ensure that the selection of the vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ can proceed indutively as claimed. Here are the details.

Suppose that for some nonnegative integer $i$ with $i<n$, the points $y_{a}$ for $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant i$ have been chosen so that conditions (a)-(c) are satisfied. We show how to make a suitable choice for $y_{i+1}$. (Note that this definition allows $i=0$ because the rule for choosing $y_{1}$ is the same as for all other values of $i$.)

First choose some $\beta_{0}$ with $1 \leqslant \beta_{0} \leqslant d+1$ so that $A_{\beta_{0}}$ does not contain a point from $V(i+1, i)$, i.e., we choose a set among the first $d+1$ in the partition which does contain $y_{\alpha}$ with $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant i$ for which $x_{a}$ is adjacent to $x_{i+1}$. This is possible because $x_{i+1}$ has at most $d$ neighbors. Then let $A_{\beta_{1}}^{\prime}$ be the subset of $A_{\beta_{0}}$ consisting of those points adjacent to every $y_{\alpha}$ in $V(i+1, i)$. By condition (c), we know that $\left|A_{3_{0}}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left|A_{B_{0}}\right| 3^{v}$, where $v=|V(i+1, i)|$. Also note that $1 / 3^{v} \geqslant 1 / 3^{d} \geqslant \varepsilon$.

With the choice of any points from $A_{3_{0}}^{\prime}$ as $y_{i+1}$, we would satisfy conditions (a) and (b). However, some care must be taken to insure that condition (c) is satisfied. It is clear that we need only be concerned with those values $\alpha^{\prime}>i+1$ in which $x_{i+1}$ is adjacent to $x_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. There are at most $d$ such values. Choose one, say $\alpha^{\prime}$, arbitrarily. Then choose a $\beta$ with $\beta \neq \beta_{0}$ so that $A_{\beta}$ does not contain any $y_{\alpha}$ from $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)$ and let $v^{\prime}=\left|V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i+1\right)\right|=$ $1+V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)$. We already know that $A_{\beta}$ contains a subset $A_{\beta}^{\prime}$ containing at least $\left|A_{\beta}\right| / 3^{v^{\prime}-1}$ points so that every point in $A_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to every point in $V\left(\alpha^{\prime}, i\right)$. Note that $\left|A_{\beta}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\left|A_{\beta}\right|$. Furthermore, it is clear that at most $\varepsilon\left|A_{B_{0}}\right|$ of the points in $A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}$ are adjacent to less than one-third of the points in $A_{\beta}^{\prime}$. Fixing $\alpha^{\prime}$ and proceeding through all values of $\beta$, we would then eliminate at most $d \varepsilon\left|A_{\beta_{0}}\right|$ of the points in $A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}$ as candidates for $y_{i+1}$. If we then range over all possible values for $\alpha^{\prime}$, we would eliminate at most $d^{2} \varepsilon\left|A_{\beta_{0}}\right|$ of the
points in $A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}$. In addition, we cannot select any of the points in $A_{B_{0}}^{\prime}$ which have been selected previously. This eliminates at most $n$ additional points. Since the number $k$ of sets in the partition satisfies $k \leqslant N_{1}$ and $c \geqslant N_{1} / d^{2} \varepsilon$, we know that $\left|A_{\beta_{0}}\right| \geqslant c n / N_{1}$ and thus $n \leqslant d^{2} \varepsilon\left|A_{\mathcal{B}_{0}}\right|$.

In order to ensure that the point $y_{i+1}$ can successfully be chosen from $A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}$, we require only that $2 d^{2} \varepsilon\left|A_{\beta_{0}}\right|<\left|A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}\right|$. However, this inequality is satisfied since $\left|A_{\beta_{0}}^{\prime}\right| /\left|A_{\beta_{0}}\right|>1 / 3^{d}>2 d^{2} \varepsilon$. With this observation, the proof of our theorem is complete.

## 3. Concluding Remarks

Although we do not include the details here, the theorem in Section 2 can be modified to allow for more than two colors. Specifically, for each pair ( $d, t$ ), there exists a constant $c$ depending only on $d$ and $t$ so that if $G$ is a graph with $n$ vertices and maximum degree at most $d$, then any coloring of the edges of the complete graph on on vertices using $t$ colors has a monochromatic copy of $G$. A complication arises from the fact that we no longer have the complementary relationship between the red and blue graph which preserves regularity, which in turn requires a generalization of the regularity lemma.

However, our methods are not sufficient to settle the following strong form of the conjecture of Burr and Erdös:

Conjecture. For each $d$, there exists a constant $c$ depending only on $d$ so that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices for which for every subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$, the average degree of a vertex in $G^{\prime}$ is at most $d$, then the Ramsey number $r(G)$ is at most $c n$.
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