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In 1973, P. Erdós conjectured that for each $k \geqq 2$, there exists a constant $c_{k}$ so that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices and $G$ has no odd cycle with length less than $c_{k} n^{1 / k}$, then the chromatic number of $G$ is at most $k+1$. Constructions due to Lovasz and Schriver show that $c_{k}$, if it exists, must be at least 1 . In this paper we settle Erdős' conjecture in the affirmative. We actually prove a stronger result which provides an upper bound on the chromatic number of a graph in which we have a bound on the chromatic number of subgraphs with small diameter.

## 0. Introduction

P. Erdôs conjectured that for every positive integer $k$, there exists a constant $c_{k}$ such that if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices with no odd cycle of length less than $c_{k} n^{1 / k}$ then the chromatic number of $G$ is at most $k+1$. In this paper we prove the following theorem of which Erdös' conjecture is the special case $c=2$, with $c_{k}=4 k$.

Theorem 1. For each pair of positive integers $c$ and $k$, if $G$ is a graph on $n$ vertices with no subgraph $H$, whose chromatic number is greater than $c$ and whose radius in $G$ is at most $2 k n^{1 / k}$, then the chromatic number of $G$ is at most $k(c-1)+1$.

We refer the reader to Erdős' paper [1] for a discussion of the background of his conjecture. In section 2, we discuss two constructions. The first construction shows that our theorem is essentially best possible when $c=2$. The second shows that our theorem is essentially best possible for any rational number $k \leqq 2$.

Expressions of the form $n^{m / k}$ will always mean $\left[n^{1 / k}\right]^{m}$. If $x$ and $y$ are vertices of a graph $G$, the distance from $x$ to $y$, denoted by $d_{G}(x, y)$, is the number of edges in the shortest path in $G$ from $x$ to $y$. If $S$ is a set of vertices in $G$ the distance from $x$ to $S$, denoted by $d_{G}(x, S)$, is $d_{G}(x, S)=\min _{y \in S} d_{G}(x, y)$. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ then the radius of $H$ in $G$, denoted by $R_{G}(H)$, is $R_{G}(H)=\min _{x \in H}\left(\max _{y \in H} d_{G}(x, y)\right.$ ). When $G$ is clear from the context we will omit the subscript in the above notations. The chromatic number of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\chi(G)$.

[^0]
## 1. Proof of the Principal Theorem

We begin with a definition.
Definition. An (\%, $\beta$ )-obstruction in a graph $G$ is a subgraph $Q$ of $G$ such that $Q: \%$ and $R(Q)=\beta$.

In order to make our inductive argument we shall prove the following more general lemma which yields the theorem in the case $k=1, W=G$, and $|I|=1$. since if $\chi(G)>k(c-1)+1$, there are not enough vertices in $G-I$ for the required obstruction.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be agraph on n vertices such that for any subgraph $H$ of $G$. if $R_{G}(H)$兰 $2 k^{1 / k}$, then $\chi(H) \leq c$. If $0 \leq l=k$, WCG, $\chi(W)>l(c-1)+1$, and $I=W$ is independent, then $W-I$ contains an ( $n^{1 / k} .2 h^{1 / k}$ )-obstruction.

Prof. We shall argue by induction on $l$. First suppose that $l=0$. Then $\chi(W) \equiv 2$. so there exist two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ in $W$. One of these, say $u$. is not in $I$, so $\{u\}$ is a ( 1,0 )-obstruction in $W-I$.

Now asstime the result for $l=m$ and consider the case $l=m+l \equiv k$. Let $H$ be a $c$-partite subgraph of $W$, with $c$-partition $H=\left(J_{6}, \ldots, J_{c-1}\right)$, having the maximum number of vertices. Consider the subgraph $W^{\prime}=W-1 J_{i-c-1}$. Clearly $\quad \not J^{\prime}$. $=m(c-1)+1$, so by the inductive hypothesis $W^{\prime}-J_{c-1}$ contains an $\left(n^{m / k}, 2 m n^{1 / k}\right)$ olnstruction $P_{0}$. Note that $H \cap P_{0}=0$. For each $j>0$, let $P_{j}=\left\{x \in H: d\left(x, P_{4}\right)=i\right\}$. Let $Q=\int_{i=2 n^{1} k} P_{i}$. Clearly $R(Q)-2 n^{1 / k}+R\left(P_{0}\right)=2 / n^{1 / k}$.

Claim. For any $j<2 n^{1 / k}, \quad\left(P_{j} \cup P_{j+1}\right)-I^{\prime} \geq n^{m / k}$. If not then the cardinality of $H^{\prime}$ $=\left(H-\left(\left(P_{j} \cup P_{j+1}\right)-I\right) \cup P_{0}\right)$ is greater than the cardinality of $H$. We shall obtain a contradiction by showing that $\chi\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq c$. Partition $H^{\prime}$ into $\left(H_{0}, H_{1}\right)$ where $H_{0}$
 Since $H_{1} \subset H, \chi\left(H_{1}\right)=c$. Since $I$ is independent, there are no edges between $H_{1}$ and $H_{\mathrm{i}}$. Thus $\chi\left(H^{\prime}\right)=c$.

By the claim, it is clear that $Q-I \mid=1 / 2 \cdot 2 n^{1 / k} \cdot m^{m / k}$. Thus $Q-I$ is an $\left(n^{1 / k}\right.$, $2 h^{1 / 4}$ )-obstruction.

## 2. Examples

In this section, we consider whether the bound on $\gamma(G)$ of Theorem I can be improved for integer values of $k$ and also whether we gain anything by allowing $k$ do be any rational number. We shall not be interested in lowering the constant in the bound on $R(H)$. The first example, due to Gallai in the cace $k=2$, Lovasz [2] in the general case, and independently to Schrijver [4], shows that when $c=2$, our hound is best possible, regardless of whether $k$ is rational.

Example 3. For every positive rational $k$, there exists a graph $G$ on n wertices such that if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ with $R(H)=1 / 2 \cdot n^{1 / k}$, then $\chi(H) \leqq 2$, but $\chi(G)=k+2$.

The second example shows that regardless of $c$, if $1<k=2$ then our result cannot be improved. It was constructed by Schmerl [3] to prove a result in recursive combinatorics.

Example 4. For any rational number $k$ and any positite integer e such that $1<k<2$, there exists a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices such that if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ with $R(H)$ $<1 / 2 n^{1 / k}$, then $\chi(H) \triangleq c$, but $\chi(G)=2(c-1)+1$.

The question of whether Theorem 1 is best possible when both $c$ and $k$ are greater than 2 is still open.
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