## INEQUALITIES IN DIMENSION THEORY FOR POSETS WILLIAM T. TROTTER, JR.

ABSTRACT. The dimension of a poset (X, P), denoted dim(X, P), is the minimum number of linear extensions of P whose intersection is P. It follows from Dilworth's decomposition theorem that dim $(X, P) \le$ width(X, P). Hiraguchi showed that dim $(X, P) \le |X|/2$ . In this paper, A denotes an antichain of (X, P) and E the set of maximal elements. We then prove that dim $(X, P) \le |X - A|$ ; dim $(X, P) \le 1$  + width (X - E); and dim $(X, P) \le 1 + 2$  width (X - A). We also construct examples to show that these inequalities are sharp.

1. Introduction. Dushnik and Miller [4] defined the dimension of a poset, denoted dim (X, P) or dim X, to be the minimum number of linear extensions of P whose intersection is P. Equivalently, Ore [7] defined dim (X) to be the smallest integer k such that (X, P) is isomorphic to a subposet of  $R^k$ . We refer the reader to [1], [2], and [8] for other definitions and preliminaries. In this paper we establish inequalities involving dimension, width, height, and cardinality. A number of such inequalities are known and we begin by stating a sampling of them.

**Theorem.** For any posets X, Y, any chain  $C \subseteq X$ , and any point  $x \in X$ , the following inequalities hold.

- (1)  $\dim (X x) \leq \dim X \leq 1 + \dim (X x)$  [5], [1],
- (2) dim  $X \le 2 + \dim(X C)$  [5],
- (3) dim  $X \leq$  width X [5],
- (4) dim  $X \leq |X|/2$  (Hiraguchi's theorem [5], [1]),
- (5)  $\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$ .

A poset has dimension one iff it is a chain. If a poset consists of an antichain of at least two points, then its dimension is two. Throughout the remainder of this paper we will assume that X is a poset which is neither a chain nor an antichain. We will use the symbols A and E to denote an arbitrary antichain in X and the set of maximal elements respectively. If

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors July 6, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 06A10, 05A20.

Key words and phrases. Poset, dimension, irreducible.

|X - A| = 1, but X is not a chain, then it is trivial to show that dim X = 2. Therefore we will assume that for any antichain  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $|X - A| \ge 2$ . Furthermore we do not distinguish between a poset and its dual.

2. Some new inequalities. In this section we establish some new inequalities for the dimension of a poset.

Lemma 1. Suppose x and y are incomparable points in a poset X, but for every  $z \in X - \{x, y\}$ , z > x iff z > y and z < x iff z < y. Then  $\dim(X - x)$ = dim X unless X - x is a chain.

**Proof.** If X - x is not a chain then dim  $X - x \ge 2$ ; let  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_t$ be linear extensions of  $P \mid X - x = P'$  whose intersection is P'. In  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{t-1}$  insert y immediately over x, and in  $L_t$  insert y immediately under x. The resulting linear extensions of P intersect to give P, and thus dim  $X \le \dim X - x$ . We note that if X - x is a chain, then dim  $X - x = \dim X - y = 1$ , but dim X = 2.

A trivial modification of this argument also proves the following statement.

Lemma 2. Suppose x > y in P but for every  $z \in X - \{x, y\}, z > x$  iff z > y and z < x iff z < y. Then dim  $X = \dim X - x = \dim X - y$ .

Lemma 3. If |X - A| = 2, then dim X = 2.

**Proof.** We may assume without loss of generality that X cannot be reduced by either of the preceeding lemmas to a poset with the same dimension as X by having fewer number of points. Then it is easy to see that X is isomorphic to a subposet of one of the following posets.



Figure 1

But the coordinatizations given in Figure 1 show that each of these has dimension 2.

312

Since the removal of a point cannot decrease the dimension more than one, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2. If  $|X - A| \ge 2$ , then  $\cdot \dim X \le |X - A|$ .

Combining this result with the easily obtained bound dim  $X \leq$  width (X), we have established Hiraguchi's theorem<sup>1</sup> that dim  $X \leq |X|/2$  when  $|X| \geq 4$ .

We also note that the standard examples of maximal dimensional posets, denoted  $S_n^0$  [2], [8], show that the bounds dim  $X \leq$  width (X), dim  $X \leq |X - A|$  and dim  $X \leq |X|/2$  are best possible.

Theorem 3. dim  $X \leq \text{width}(X - E) + 1$ .

**Proof.** Let t = width(X - E); then by Dilworth's theorem [3], there is a partition  $X - E = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_t$ , where each  $C_i$  is a chain. For each *i*, let  $L_i$  be a linear extension of *P* which is a lower extension [1] with respect to  $C_i$ . Form a linear extension  $L_{t+1}$  of *X* by placing all maximal elements on top of some linear extension *M* of X - E and then ordering the maximal elements in  $L_{t+1}$  in the reverse order imposed on them by  $L_t$ . It is easy to see that  $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap \cdots \cap L_{t+1} = P$ , and the proof of our theorem is complete.

For w = 1 and w = 2, the following examples show that the bound is best possible.



Figure 2

For  $n \ge 3$ , we construct a poset  $Y_n$  as follows.  $Y_n$  has 3n + 2 points  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{p\}$ . The points  $\{a_i \mid i \le n\}, \{y_i \mid i \le n\}$  form a copy of  $S_n^0$ . Each  $y_i$  covers  $x_i$ ; p covers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  but  $p \mid a_{n+1}$ ; and  $a_{n+1}$  covers all x's. We illustrate this construction with the Hasse diagram for  $Y_3$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> K. P. Bogart first suggested that an elementary proof of Hiraguchi's theorem might be produced by considering the complement of the largest antichain. R. Kimble has independently discovered this result; his proof will appear in his thesis [6].



Figure 3

It is clear that if  $E = \{p, a_{n+1}\}$ , then  $w(Y_n - E) = n$ . We now show that dim  $Y_n = n + 1$ .

Suppose dim  $Y_n \le n$ ; let  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  be linear extensions of  $Y_n$  whose intersection is the partial ordering on  $Y_n$ . We may assume that the L's have been numbered so that  $x_i$  is over  $a_i$  in  $L_i$ . Now  $a_{n+1}$  is over all x's; since  $y_i l a_{n+1}$  but  $y_i < a_j$  for all  $j \ne i$ ,  $j \le n$ ,  $y_i$  is under  $a_{n+1}$  in all lists except possibly  $L_i$ . Hence we must have  $y_i$  over  $a_{n+1}$  in  $L_i$ . Since  $p > y_i$  for all i, this implies p is over  $a_{n+1}$  in every  $L_i$ . The contradiction shows that dim  $Y_n = n + 1$ .

We note that it is straightforward to show that each  $Y_n$  is irreducible; i.e., the removal of any point from  $Y_n$  lowers the dimension to n. We refer the reader to [9] for details.

Theorem 4. dim  $X \leq 2$  width (X - A) + 1.

**Proof.** Suppose t = width(X - A) and let  $X - A = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_t$ be a decomposition into chains. For each *i*, let  $L_{2i-1}$  and  $L_{2i}$  be upper and lower extensions, respectively, of  $C_i$ . Then let *M* be an ordering of *A* which is the reverse of ordering imposed on *A* by  $L_{2t}$ ; then let  $L_{2t+1}$  be any linear extension of *P* whose restriction to *A* is *M*. Clearly  $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap \cdots \cap L_{2t+1} = P$  and the proof of our theorem is complete.

To show that the inequality of Theorem 4 is best possible, we construct for each  $n \ge 1$ ,  $b \ge 1$  a poset X(n, b) as follows. X(n, b) contains a maximal antichain A, and  $X(n, b) - A = X_U \cup X_L$  is the natural decomposition into upper and lower halves.  $X_U$  and  $X_L$  each consist of n incomparable chains with each chain containing b points. Every point in  $X_U$  is greater than every point in  $X_L$ . For each ordered pair (S, T) where S is an order ideal of  $\hat{X}_U$  and T is an order ideal of  $X_L$ , there is a point in A which is less than all points in S and greater than all points in T. We illustrate this definition with the Hasse diagrams for X(1, 2) and X(2, 1).





We note that the width of X(n, h) - A is n. However, it can be shown [10] that for sufficiently large h, dim X(n, h) = 2n + 1.

3. Some open problems. Although we have outlined in this paper an elementary proof of Hiraguchi's theorem: dim  $X \le |X/2|$ , it is not known whether or not every poset contains a pair of points whose removal lowers the dimension at most 1.

A second problem involves cartesian products. Although  $\dim (X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$ , it is easy to construct posets X, Y for which  $\dim (X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$ . (In fact  $\dim (S_n^0 \times S_n^0) \leq 2n - 2$ .) The question involves the accuracy of the lower bound  $\dim (X \times Y) \geq \max \{\dim X, \dim Y\}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. K. Bogart, Maximal dimensional partially ordered sets. I, Discrete Math. 5 (1973), 21-32.

2. K. Bogart and W. Trotter, Maximal dimensional partially ordered sets. II, Discrete Math. 5 (1973), 33-44.

3. R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), 161-166. MR 11, 309.

4. B. Dushnik and E. Miller, Partially ordered sets, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941) 600-610. MR 3, 73.

5. T. Hiraguchi, On the dimension of orders, Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ. 4 (1955), 1-20. MR 17, 1045; 19, 1431.

6. R. Kimble, Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass.

7. O. Ore, Theory of graphs, Amer. Math., Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1962. MR 27 #740.

8. W. T. Trotter, Dimension of the crown  $S_n^k$ , Discrete Math. 8 (1974), 85-103.

## W. T. TROTTER, JR.

9. W. T. Trotter, Some families of irreducible partially ordered sets, U.S.C. Math. Tech. Rep. 06A10-2, 1974.

10. \_\_\_\_\_, Irreducible posets with large height exist, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 17 (1974).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208

316