You can use your book and notes. No laptop or wireless devices allowed. Write clearly and try to make your arguments as linear and simple as possible. The complete solution of one exercise will be considered more that two half solutions. In your solution you can use only statements that were proven in class.

Name:

| Question: | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points: | 20 | 35 | 50 | 105 |
| Score: |  |  |  |  |

1. Let $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ be two $\sigma$-algebras of subsets of $X$. Let $\mu_{1}$ be a measure defined on $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ be a measure on $\mathcal{M}_{2}$.
(a) (5 points) Give a definition for the measure $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$. On which $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mu$ defined? Show that your definition defines a measure.

Solution: We can define $\mu(A)=\mu_{1}(A)+\mu_{2}(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{1} \cap \mathcal{M}_{2}$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra. Moreover $\mu$ is a measure on $\mathcal{M}$.
(b) (5 points) Show that if $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are complete than $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$ is complete.

Solution: Let $B$ be such that $B \subset C \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(C)=0$. This implies that $\mu_{1}(C)=0$ so that $B \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mu_{1}(B)=0$. Similarly $B \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}(B)=0$. Thus $B \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu(B)=0$.
(c) (10 points) Show that if $\left.\mu_{1}\right|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\left.\mu_{2}\right|_{\mathcal{M}}$ are $\sigma$-finite than $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$ is also $\sigma$-finite.

Solution: Let $A_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\mu_{1}\left(A_{i}\right)<\infty$ and $\bigcup_{i} A_{i}=X$ and $B_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\mu_{2}\left(B_{i}\right)<\infty$ and $\bigcup_{i} B_{i}=X$. Clearly $C_{i, j}=A_{i} \cap B_{j} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu\left(C_{i, j}\right) \leq \mu_{1}\left(A_{i}\right)+\mu_{2}\left(B_{j}\right)<\infty$. Moreover $\bigcup_{i} C_{i, j}=X$.
2. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $(Y, \mathcal{N})$ be a measurable space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a measurable function.
(a) (5 points) Show that $\nu(B)=\mu\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)$ is a measure on $(Y, \mathcal{N})$.

Solution: If $B_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ are disjoint then

$$
f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i} B_{i}\right)=\bigcup_{i} f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\nu\left(\bigcup_{i} B_{i}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{i} f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{i} \mu\left(f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{i} \nu\left(B_{i}\right)
$$

where we used that $f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(B_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.
(b) (10 points) Clearly if $\mu$ is finite than $\nu$ is finite. Is it true that if $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite than also $\nu$ is $\sigma$-finite? Is it true that if $\mu$ is complete than $\nu$ is complete?

Solution: Assume that $\mu(X)=\infty$ and let $f(x)=y_{0}$ for every $x \in X$ and a given $y_{0} \in Y$. Clearly $\nu$ is not $\sigma$-finite.
Suppose $A \subset B \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\nu(B)=0$. This implies that $\mu\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)=0$ and $f^{-1}(A) \subset f^{-1}(B)$ so that $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu\left(f^{-1}(A)\right)=0$. But this does not imply that $A \in \mathcal{N}$. For example let $f(x)=x$ where $X=Y=\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}$ is the Lebesgue $\sigma$-algebra while $\mathcal{N}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.
(c) (20 points) Assume now that if $X=Y=\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{L}$ and $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure. Assume moreover that $f$ is an increasing function with $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f=\infty$ and $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} f=-\infty$. Show that $\nu$ is a Borel-Stieltjes measure. Construct the distribution function $F(x)$ of $\nu$. Show that $F$ is a distribution function. (Hint assume first that $f$ is continuous and strictly increasing.)

Solution: Assume first that $f$ is continuous and strictly increasing. In this case $f^{-1}$ is a strictly increasing continuous function and

$$
f^{-1}((a, b])=\left(f^{-1}(a), f^{-1}(b)\right]
$$

so that

$$
\nu((a, b])=f^{-1}(b)-f^{-1}(a)
$$

and $F(x)=f^{-1}(x)$ is the distribution of $\nu$.
Let now $f$ be still strictly increasing but not necessarely continuous. Then $f$ has a countable set of discountinuity $y_{n}$. Let $a_{n}^{ \pm}=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{n}^{ \pm}} f(y)$ and $I_{n}=\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. Clearly $f^{-1}(x)$ is well defined on $\mathbb{R} \backslash \bigcup I_{n}$. Define $F(x)=f^{-1}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \backslash \bigcup I_{n}$ and $F(x)=y_{n}$ on $I_{n}$. Clearly $F(x)$ is the distribution of $\nu$.
Finally if $f(x)$ is not strictly increasing we have that $f^{-1}(x)$ is always a closed intervall, possibly empty. In this case we can define $F$ has above if $x$ is such that $f^{-1}(x)$ is empty or a single point. If $f^{-1}(x)=[a, b]$ we set $f(x)=b$. Again $F(x)$ is the distribution of $\nu$.
3. Let $A$ and $B$ be two Lebesgue measurable sets in $\mathbb{R}$ with finite positive measure. Define

$$
F(x)=\int \chi_{A}(x-t) \chi_{B}(t) d \mu(t)
$$

where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure and $\chi_{A}$ is the characteristic function of $A$.
(a) (20 points) Show that $F$ is a bounded continuous function. (Hint: define $F_{\epsilon}$ by replacing $A$ with an open set $A_{\epsilon}$ with $A \subset A_{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)<\mu(A)+\epsilon$. Show that $F_{\epsilon}$ is continuous. Compute $F_{\epsilon}(x)-F(x)$ and take the limit $\left.\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \ldots\right)$

Solution: Let $A_{\epsilon}$ be a finite union of open intervals with $\mu\left(A_{\epsilon} \Delta A\right) \leq \epsilon$. Let

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)=\int \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B}(t) d \mu(t)
$$

Observe first that

$$
\chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B}(t) \leq \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t)
$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.21, we have

$$
\int \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) d \mu(t)=\mu\left(x-A_{\epsilon}\right)=\mu\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)<\infty
$$

Let $x_{n}$ be a sequence that converge to $x$. We have that $\chi_{A_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{n}-t\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t)$ if $t \notin \partial A_{\epsilon}$. But $\partial A_{\epsilon}$ is a finite set so that $\mu\left(\partial A_{\epsilon}\right)=0$. Thus by Dominated Convergence we have that $F_{\epsilon}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow F_{\epsilon}(x)$ and $F_{\epsilon}$ is continuous.
Observe that

$$
\left|F_{\epsilon}(x)-F(x)\right|=\int \chi_{A_{\epsilon} \Delta A}(x-t) \chi_{B}(t) d \mu(t) \leq \int \chi_{A_{\epsilon} \backslash A}(x-t) d \mu(t) \leq \epsilon
$$

so that $F_{\epsilon}$ converge uniformly to $F$. Thus $F$ is continuous. Finally it clearly follows that $F \leq \mu(A)$.
(b) (20 points) Compute

$$
\int F(x) d \mu(x)
$$

(Hint: this time define $F_{\epsilon}$ by replacing $A$ with an open set $A_{\epsilon}$ with $A \subset A_{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)<\mu(A)+\epsilon$ and similarly for $B$. Show that in this case you can use Riemann integral.)

Solution: Assume first that $A$ and $B$ are bounded. Thus $F(x)=0$ for $|x|$ large enough and $F$ is integrable.
Let $A_{\epsilon}$ be an open set such that $A \subset A_{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\left(A_{\epsilon}\right)<\mu(A)+\epsilon$ and $B_{\epsilon}$ be an open set such that $B \subset B_{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\left(B_{\epsilon}\right)<\mu(B)+\epsilon$. Let

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)=\int \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon}}(t) d \mu(t) .
$$

Observe that $\chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon}}(t)$ has a countable set of discontinuity so that

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon}}(t) d t
$$

We know that $F$ is continuous so that

$$
\int F_{\epsilon}(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\epsilon}(x) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{\epsilon}}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon}}(t) d t d x=\mu\left(A_{\epsilon}\right) \mu\left(B_{\epsilon}\right)
$$

by a simple change of variables.
In this case we have

$$
F_{\epsilon}(x)-F(x)=\int \chi_{A_{\epsilon} \backslash A}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon}}(t) d \mu(t)+\int \chi_{A}(x-t) \chi_{B_{\epsilon} \backslash B_{\epsilon}}(t) d \mu(t) \leq 2 \epsilon
$$

and $F_{\epsilon}(x)>F(x)$ so that, by Monotone Convergence,

$$
\int F(x) d \mu(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int F_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) d \mu(x)=\mu(A)(\mu(B)
$$

For generic $A$ and $B$, approximate them by inersecting with $[-n, n]$.
(c) (10 points) Let $A+B=\{x+y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$. Show that $A+B$ contains a non empty open interval. (Hint: Estimate $\chi_{A+B}$ using $F$.)

Solution: Observe that, if $F(x)>0$ then there exists at least one $t$ such that $\chi_{A}(x-t) \chi_{B}(t)>0$. This implies that $x \in A+B$ and $\chi_{A+B}(x)=1$. Thus we have that

$$
\chi_{A+B}(x) \geq \frac{F(x)}{\mu(A)} .
$$

From $\int F(x) d \mu(x)>0$ we know that $F$ is not identically 0 . Thus there exists $x_{0}$ such that $F\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Since $F$ is continuous, there exists an open interval $U$ containing $x_{0}$ such that $F(x)>0$ for every $x \in U$. It follow that $\chi_{A+B}(x)=1$ for every $x \in U$, that is $U \subset A+B$.

