# Polyhedra inscribed in quadrics and their geometry.

#### Sara Maloni (joint w/ J. Danciger & J.-M. Schlenker)

University of Virginia

December 10, 2016

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## A bit of history

#### Question (Steiner (1832))

Which graphs  $\Gamma$  can be obtained as 1-skeletons of a (convex) polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## A bit of history

#### Question (Steiner (1832))

Which graphs  $\Gamma$  can be obtained as 1-skeletons of a (convex) polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

#### Theorem (Steinitz (1916))

 $\Gamma$  is the 1-skeleton of a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \iff \Gamma$  is planar and 3-connected (suppressing 2 vertices leaves a connected graph).



#### Question (Steiner (1832))

Which ones are inscribable in the sphere?

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

#### Question (Steiner (1832))

Which ones are inscribable in the sphere?

#### Theorem (Steinitz (1927))

 $\exists$  3-connected graphs that are not inscribable in a sphere.



Figure: Picture by D. Eppstein and M. B. Dillencourt.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Question (Steiner (1832))

Which 3–connected graphs are inscribable in the sphere?

## Question (Steiner (1832))

Which 3-connected graphs are inscribable in the sphere?

The complete answer was given by Rivin (1992), using hyperbolic geometry.



Figure: Pictures by M. Grady.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Polyhedra inscribed in other quadrics

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Question (Steiner (1832))

What about other quadrics?

## Polyhedra inscribed in other quadrics

Question (Steiner (1832))

What about other quadrics?

Up to projective transformations, there are only 3 quadrics:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- the sphere;
- the cylinder;
- the hyperboloid.

Question (Steiner (1832))

What about other quadrics?

Up to projective transformations, there are only 3 quadrics:

- the sphere;
- the cylinder;
- the hyperboloid.

Jeff Danciger, Jean-Marc Schlenker and I answered, using **anti-de Sitter geometry** and **half-pipe geometry**.

#### Polyhedra inscribed in the cylinder and in the hyperboloid



<□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@

#### Theorem (Danciger–M.–Schlenker (2014))

Let  $\Gamma$  be a planar graph. TFAE:

- (C):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the cylinder C.
- (H):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the hyperboloid H.

(S):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the sphere S and  $\Gamma$  admits a Hamiltonian cycle (that is, a closed path visiting each vertex exactly once).

Rivin (1992) characterizes when  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the sphere S.

# Polyhedra inscribable in the sphere, but not in the hyperboloid or cylinder



Figure: Picture by M. B. Dillencourt.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Polyhedra inscribable in the sphere, but not in the hyperboloid or cylinder



Figure: Pictures courtesy of M. B. Dillencourt.

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

## Computational complexity

#### Theorem (Hodgson-Rivin-Smith (1992))

Given  $\Gamma$ , the problem of deciding if  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in a sphere is decidable in **polynomial time**.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Theorem (Hodgson-Rivin-Smith (1992))

Given  $\Gamma$ , the problem of deciding if  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in a sphere is decidable in **polynomial time**.

Using Dillencourt's and our theorems, we can prove:

Corollary (Danciger-M.-Rivin-Schlenker (2014))

Given  $\Gamma$ , the problem of deciding if  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in a hyperboloid or in a cylinder is **NP-complete**.

## Hyperbolic space

The hyperbolic space is the (open) unit ball

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_4^2 < 0 \} / \mathbb{R}^*$$

with distance

$$d(p,q) = rac{1}{2} log rac{|qa||bp|}{|pa||bq|}$$

Its isometry groups is PO(3, 1).





The anti-de Sitter space  $\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$  is a Lorentzian analogue of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

$$\mathbb{AdS}^3 = \{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 - x_4^2 < 0 \} / \mathbb{R}^*$$

- Its isometry group is PO(2,2).
- $\exists$  embeddings  $\mathbb{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A} d\mathbb{S}^3$ .
- The faces are space-like, and the dihedral angles are in  $\mathbb{R}$ .



## Half-pipe space $\mathbb{HP}^{3}$

The half-pipe space  $\mathbb{HP}^3$ :

- Limit of both  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and  $\mathbb{A}\mathrm{d}\mathbb{S}^3.$
- $\mathbb{HP}^3 = \{ \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 x_4^2 < 0 \} / \mathbb{R}^*.$
- $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \rtimes O(2,1).$
- $\exists$  embeddings  $\mathbb{H}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^3$ .
- The faces are space-like, and the dihedral angles are in  $\mathbb{R}$ .





~ ~ ~ ~ ~

 $\mathbb{H}^2$ -structures collapse down to a point. After rescaling, they limit to  $\mathbb{E}^2$ -structures and then transition to  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -structures.

 $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{O}(2))$  $(\mathbb{H}^2, PO(2, 1))$  $(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathrm{PO}(3))$ 







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

rescale

A

 $\mathbb{H}^2$ -structures collapse down to a point. After rescaling, they limit to  $\mathbb{E}^2$ -structures and then transition to  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -structures.

 $(\mathbb{H}^2, \mathrm{PO}(2, 1)) \qquad (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{O}(2)) \qquad (\mathbb{S}^2, \mathrm{PO}(3))$ 



Jeff Danciger in his thesis studied a similar geometric transition from  $\mathbb{H}^3$  to  $\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$  structure, passing through  $\mathbb{HP}^3$ .

## Dual of a graph

Given a planar graph  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$  we define the dual graph  $\Gamma^*$  by:

- The vertices of  $\Gamma^*$  are the connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Gamma.$
- The edges of Γ\* correspond to adjacent connected components.



Figure: Pictures courtesy of J. Weeks (left) and M. Grady (right).

## Dihedral angles in $\mathbb{H}^3$

Given a planar graph  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $E(\Gamma) = \{ edges \text{ of } \Gamma \}$ .

Let  $\Gamma^*$  be the graph dual to  $\Gamma$ . Then  $E(\Gamma^*) = E(\Gamma)$ 

#### Theorem (Rivin (1992))

Let  $\theta: E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . There is a non-planar convex ideal polyhedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  with 1-skeleton  $\Gamma$  and exterior dihedral angles given by  $\theta$  if and only if:

(i) 
$$\forall e \in E(\Gamma), \theta(e) \in (0,\pi);$$

(ii)  $\forall$  cycle c in  $\Gamma^*$  bounding a face,  $\sum_{e \in c} \theta(e) = 2\pi$ ;

(iii)  $\forall$  cycle c in  $\Gamma^*$  not bounding a face,  $\sum_{e \in c} \theta(e) > 2\pi$ .

Rivin extended a result proved by Andreev (1970) for compact and ideal polyhedra P of finite volume with dihedral angles  $\leq \pi/2$ .

## Dihedral angles in $\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$ or $\mathbb{HP}^3$

Given a planar graph  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $E(\Gamma) = \{ edges of \Gamma \}$ .

Let  $\Gamma^*$  be the graph dual to  $\Gamma$ . Then  $E(\Gamma^*) = E(\Gamma)$ 

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.- Schlenker (2014))

Let  $\theta: E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . There is a non-planar convex ideal polyhedron in  $\mathbb{A}d\mathbb{S}^3$  or  $\mathbb{HP}^3$  with 1-skeleton  $\Gamma$  and exterior dihedral angles given by  $\theta$  if and only if:

(i) The edges on which θ < 0 form a Hamiltonian cycle γ in Γ;</li>
(ii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* bounding a face, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) = 0;
(iii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* not bounding a face, and containing at most two edges of γ, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) > 0.

## Induced metrics

#### Theorem (Rivin (1992))

Any complete hyperbolic metric of finite area on  $\Sigma_{0,N}$  is induced on a unique ideal hyperbolic polyhedron (up to global isometry).

Rivin extended a result proved by Alexandrov (1944-50) for compact polyhedra.

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.- Schlenker)

Any complete hyperbolic metric of finite area on  $\Sigma_{0,N}$  and any closed path going through each vertex exactly once are induced on a unique ideal polyhedron  $P \subset AdS^3$  (up to global isometry).

#### Theorem (Danciger–M.–Schlenker (2014))

Let  $\Gamma$  be a planar graph. TFAE:

(C):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the cylinder C.

(H):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the hyperboloid H.

(S):  $\Gamma$  is inscribable in the sphere S and  $\Gamma$  admits a Hamiltonian cycle.



Let P be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in S with 1-skeleton  $\Gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  be an Hamiltonian cycle, and let  $\theta : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (0, \pi)$  be the dihedral angle map, which satisfies Rivin's conditions.

#### Sketch of the proof: (H) $\Leftrightarrow$ (S) Proof of (H) $\Leftarrow$ (S)

Let P be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in S with 1-skeleton  $\Gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  be an Hamiltonian cycle, and let  $\theta : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (0, \pi)$  be the dihedral angle map, which satisfies Rivin's conditions. We define  $\theta' : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  by

$$heta'(e) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} heta(e) & ext{if } e 
ot \leq \gamma \ heta(e) - \pi & ext{if } e \subseteq \gamma \end{array} 
ight.$$

Then  $\theta'$  satisfies our conditions, so *P* can be inscribed in *H*.

## Statement of the theorem

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.- Schlenker (2014))

Given θ: E(Γ) → ℝ, ∃ an ideal polyhedron in AdS<sup>3</sup> or HP<sup>3</sup> with 1-skeleton Γ and exterior dihedral angles given by θ if and only if:
(i) The edges on which θ < 0 form a Hamiltonian cycle γ in Γ;</li>
(ii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* bounding a face, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) = 0;
(iii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* not bounding a face, and containing at most two edges of γ, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) > 0.

## Statement of the theorem

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.- Schlenker (2014))

Given θ: E(Γ) → ℝ, ∃ an ideal polyhedron in AdS<sup>3</sup> or HP<sup>3</sup> with 1-skeleton Γ and exterior dihedral angles given by θ if and only if:
(i) The edges on which θ < 0 form a Hamiltonian cycle γ in Γ;</li>
(ii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* bounding a face, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) = 0;
(iii) ∀ cycle c in Γ\* not bounding a face, and containing at most two edges of γ, Σ<sub>e∈c</sub> θ(e) > 0.

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.- Schlenker (2014))

The following maps are homeo:

- $\Psi_{HP}$ : HPPoly<sub>N</sub>  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- $\Phi : \operatorname{\overline{AdSPoly}}_{N} = \operatorname{AdSPoly}_{N} \cup \operatorname{polyg}_{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{0,N})$

• 
$$\Psi_{AdS}$$
: AdSPoly<sub>N</sub>  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ 

## Tools the proof

Earthquakes and bending:

- $P \in \operatorname{AdSPoly}_N \rightsquigarrow p_L, p_R \in \operatorname{polyg}_N \rightsquigarrow m_L, m_R \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{0,N});$
- $m_L, m_R$  determines  $P \le w$  bending  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^E \iff m_L = E_{2\theta} m_R$ .



Figure: Pictures courtesy of S. Kerckhoff and Y. Kabaya.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Sketch of the proof (continuation...)

#### • $\Psi_{HP}$ is a homeo:

- $P \in \operatorname{HPPoly}_N \rightsquigarrow (p, V) \rightsquigarrow (m, W);$
- Given  $\theta$ , solve for p by minimizing a length function.

## Sketch of the proof (continuation...)

#### • $\Psi_{HP}$ is a homeo:

- $P \in \operatorname{HPPoly}_N \rightsquigarrow (p, V) \rightsquigarrow (m, W);$
- Given  $\theta$ , solve for p by minimizing a length function.

- Φ is a homeo:
  - Φ proper (direct proof);
  - Φ is a local homeo (use Pogolorov map);

## Sketch of the proof (continuation...)

#### • $\Psi_{HP}$ is a homeo:

- $P \in \operatorname{HPPoly}_N \rightsquigarrow (p, V) \rightsquigarrow (m, W);$
- Given  $\theta$ , solve for p by minimizing a length function.
- Φ is a homeo:
  - Φ proper (direct proof);
  - Φ is a local homeo (use Pogolorov map);
- $\Psi_{AdS}$  is a homeo:
  - $\Psi_{AdS}$  proper (direct proof);
  - $\Psi_{AdS}$  is a local homeo (duality b/ metric and angle data);

## Exotic Delaunay traingulations

Exotic Delaunay traingulations (w/ J. Danciger & J.-M. Schlenker)



Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^2$ : Circles  $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Minkowski space } \mathbb{R}^{1,1} & \mbox{`Limit space' } \mathbb{R}^{1,0,1} \\ \mbox{Hyperbolas} & \mbox{Parabolas} \end{array}$ 

#### Theorem (Danciger-M.-Schlenker)

For any quadratic form Q on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and for any finite set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\exists$  a unique Q-Delaunay triangulation of CH(X).

## Bending conjecture

 $\operatorname{QF}(\Sigma) \subset \{ \text{hyp str on } \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \}.$ Theorem (Bers)  $\operatorname{QF}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{T}(\Sigma).$ Conjecture (Bending in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ )

 $\mathrm{QF}(\Sigma)\cong\mathcal{ML}(\Sigma)\times\mathcal{ML}(\Sigma).$ 

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{GH}(\Sigma) \subset \{ \operatorname{\mathsf{AdS}} \text{ str on } \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \}. \\ & \text{Theorem (Mess)} \\ & \operatorname{GH}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{T}(\Sigma). \\ & \text{Conjecture (Bending in } \operatorname{AdS}^3) \\ & \operatorname{GH}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{ML}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{ML}(\Sigma). \end{split}$$



Fuchsian Case



quasi-Fuchsian Case









・ロト・雪ト・雪ト・雪・ 今日・

## Proof of $(H) \Longrightarrow (S)$

Let *P* be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in *H*. Let  $\theta : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  be the dihedral angle map which satisfies our conditions, and let  $\gamma$  be the cycle of its 'negative' edges..

## Proof of $(H) \Longrightarrow (S)$

Let P be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in H. Let  $\theta: E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  be the dihedral angle map which satisfies our conditions, and let  $\gamma$  be the cycle of its 'negative' edges.. We can choose t > 0 s.t.

• 
$$\forall e \in E(\Gamma), t\theta(e) \in (-\pi, \pi);$$

∀ cycle c in Γ\* not bounding a face, then the sum of the values of tθ on the edges of c is > −π.

## Proof of $(H) \Longrightarrow (S)$

Let P be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in H. Let  $\theta : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  be the dihedral angle map which satisfies our conditions, and let  $\gamma$  be the cycle of its 'negative' edges.. We can choose t > 0 s.t.

• 
$$\forall e \in E(\Gamma), t\theta(e) \in (-\pi, \pi);$$

∀ cycle c in Γ\* not bounding a face, then the sum of the values of tθ on the edges of c is > −π.

Let  $\theta' : E(\Gamma) \longrightarrow (0, \pi)$  be defined by

$$heta'(e) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} t heta(e) & ext{if } e \nsubseteq \gamma \ \pi + t heta(e) & ext{if } e \subseteq \gamma \end{array} 
ight.$$

Then  $\theta'$  satisfies Rivin's conditions. Therefore *P* be a (convex) polyhedron inscribed in *S*.