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\[
\frac{2\pi}{3} = R
\]
Symmetric Mapping Class Group

$\text{SMod}(S_2) = \mathcal{N}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(\langle R \rangle)$
Symplectic Representation

\[ \Phi : \text{Mod}(S_2) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \]

Example:

\[ \Phi : R \mapsto E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]
McMullen’s Question

**Question:** Is $\Phi(S\text{Mod}(S_g))$ finite index in $N_{\text{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z})}(\Phi(\langle R_d \rangle))$?

**Venkataramana:** Yes, if # branch points $\geq 2*\text{degree}$
Main Theorem:

\[ \Phi(S\text{Mod}(S_2)) = N_{\text{Sp}(4,\mathbb{Z})}(\langle E \rangle) \]
Main Theorem:

$$\Phi(\text{SMod}(S_2)) = N_{\text{Sp}(4,\mathbb{Z})}(\langle E \rangle)$$
\[ \Phi(\text{SMod}(S_2)) = N_{\text{Sp}(4,\mathbb{Z})}(\langle E \rangle) \]

Find \( M \in \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \) such that:

\[ M E M^{-1} = E^{\pm 1} \]

**Lemma:** It is enough to find \( M \) such that:

\[ M E M^{-1} = E^{-1} \]
MATLAB Output

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
-z_0 & z_1 & -z_2 & z_0 + z_3 & z_1 \\
-z_4 & -z_5 & -z_6 & z_4 & z_7 - z_6 \\
z_3 & z_1 & z_0 & z_2 \\
z_4 & z_7 & z_5 & z_6
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Refine using the symplectic condition

\[
M\Omega M^T = \Omega
\]
MATLAB Output

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2x & 1 & -x & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
2x & -1 & -x & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -2x & 0 & -x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & x & 1 & 2x
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2x & 0 & -x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & x & -1 & 2x
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x & 1 & x & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
2x & 1 & -x & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
x & -1 & x & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2x & -1 & -x & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & x & -1 & 2x \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & x & 1 & -x
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & x & 1 & 2x \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & x & -1 & -x
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & x & 1 & 2x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 2x & 0 & x \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & x & -1 & 2x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 2x & 0 & x \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2x & 1 & x & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2x & -1 & x & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Ghaswala-Winarski give generators for \( \text{SMod}(S_2) \).

\[
\Phi(\text{SMod}(S_2)) = N_{\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})}(\langle E \rangle)
\]

\[
\Phi(\tilde{T}_\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
How can we obtain this matrix from $\Phi(S\text{Mod}(S_2))$?

$$\begin{bmatrix}
2x & 1 & -x & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2x & 1 & -x & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 0 & -2x & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \Phi \left( \widehat{H}_\delta \circ \widehat{T}_\alpha \circ \widehat{H}_c \right)
\]
Main Theorem:

\[ \Phi(S\text{Mod}(S_2)) = N_{\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})}(\langle E \rangle) \]
Salem Number Stretch Factors

Joshua Pankau

University of California, Santa Barbara
Advisor: Darren Long

12/10/2017
I. Background

Definition of pseudo-Anosov map

A homeomorphism $\phi$ from a closed, orientable surface $S$ to itself is called **pseudo-Anosov** if there are two transverse, measured foliations, $\mathcal{F}_u$ and $\mathcal{F}_s$, along with a real number $\lambda > 1$, such that $\phi$ stretches $S$ along $\mathcal{F}_u$ by a factor of $\lambda$ and contracts $S$ along $\mathcal{F}_s$ by a factor of $\lambda^{-1}$. The number $\lambda$ is known as the **stretch factor** of $\phi$. 

Theorem (Thurston 1974)

If $\lambda$ is the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a genus $g$ surface, then $\lambda$ is an algebraic unit such that $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) : \mathbb{Q} \leq 6g - 6$.

Main Question

Which algebraic units can appear as stretch factors?
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Main Question

Which algebraic units can appear as stretch factors?
II. Constructions

There are several general constructions of pseudo-Anosov maps. The following two consist of taking products of Dehn twists.

Penner's Construction

Restriction: Shin and Strenner showed that stretch factors of pseudo-Anosov maps coming from Penner's construction cannot have Galois conjugates on the unit circle.

Thurston's Construction

Restriction: Veech showed that if $\lambda$ is the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov map coming from Thurston's construction then $\lambda + \lambda - 1$ is a totally real algebraic integer.
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Salem number

A real algebraic unit, $\lambda > 1$, is called a Salem number if $\lambda^{-1}$ is a Galois conjugate, and all other conjugates lie on the unit circle.

Theorem A (P. 2017)

Given a Salem number $\lambda$, there are positive integers $k, g$ such that $\lambda^k$ is the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $\phi : S_g \to S_g$, where $\phi$ arises from Thurston's construction. Moreover, $g$ depends only on the degree of $\lambda$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. 
IV. Connecting Salem numbers to Thurston’s construction

Thurston’s construction requires a collection of curves that cut the surface into disks. The intersection matrix of these curves also plays a crucial role.
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Theorem (P. 2017)

Every Salem number $\lambda$ has a power $k$ such that $\lambda^k + \lambda^{-k}$ is the dominating eigenvalue of an invertible, positive, symmetric, integer matrix.
IV. Connecting Salem numbers to Thurston’s construction

Thurston’s construction requires a collection of curves that cut the surface into disks. The intersection matrix of these curves also plays a crucial role.

**Theorem (P. 2017)**

Every Salem number $\lambda$ has a power $k$ such that $\lambda^k + \lambda^{-k}$ is the dominating eigenvalue of an invertible, positive, symmetric, integer matrix.

**Theorem (P. 2017)**

Given an invertible, positive, integer matrix $Q$, there is a closed, orientable surface $S$ along with a collection of curves that cut $S$ into disks, such that the intersection matrix of those curves is $Q$. 
Methods and results used to prove Theorem A can be adapted to prove the following:

**Theorem B (P. 2017)**

Every totally real number field is of the form $K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda + \lambda^{-1})$ where $\lambda$ is the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov map coming from Thurston's construction.
V. Totally real number fields

Methods and results used to prove Theorem A can be adapted to prove the following:

Theorem B (P. 2017)

Every totally real number field is of the form $K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda + \lambda^{-1})$ where $\lambda$ is the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov map coming from Thurston’s construction.
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Theorem (Ozsváth, Szabó; Bloom; Scaduto; Daemi; Kronhemier, Mrowka)

Let $L$ be a link in $S^3$. Let $\Sigma(L)$ be the double cover of $S^3$ branched along $L$. There are spectral sequences

Khovanov homology $\Rightarrow$ Floer homology of $\Sigma(L)$

- Heegaard
- Monopole
- Framed instanton
- Plane
- Singular instanton

I am missing a few words like “mirror of” and “reduced.”
**Theorem (Ozsváth, Szabó; Bloom; Scaduto; Daemi; Kronhemier, Mrowka)**

Let $L$ be a link in $S^3$. Let $\Sigma(L)$ be the double cover of $S^3$ branched along $L$. There are spectral sequences

- Khovanov homology
- Heegaard Floer homology of $\Sigma(L)$
- Monopole Framed instanton Plane
- Singular instanton
- Lee Bar-Natan Szabó
- link homology

I am missing a few words like “mirror of” and “reduced.”
Khovanov–Floer theories

Definition (Baldwin, Hedden, and Lobb)

A Khovanov–Floer theory is a gadget:

\[ \mathcal{D} \rightsquigarrow E^i(\mathcal{D}) \]

- \( \mathcal{D} \) is the link diagram
- \( E^i(\mathcal{D}) \) is the spectral sequence

\[ \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{one-handle attachment}} \mathcal{D}' \rightsquigarrow F_i : E^i(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow E^i(\mathcal{D}') \]

- Map of spectral sequences

- \( E^2(\mathcal{D}) = \text{Kh}(\mathcal{D}) \)
- \( F_2 \) agrees with the standard map \( \text{Kh}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Kh}(\mathcal{D}') \).
- K"unneth formula, etc.
**Theorem (Baldwin, Hedden, Lobb)**

All of the homology theories from the second slide are Khovanov-Floer theories.

---

**Theorem (Baldwin, Hedden, Lobb)**

Khovanov-Floer theories are

- *link invariants.*
- *functorial*: they assign maps to isotopy classes of link cobordisms in $S^3 \times I$.

---

Everything that works for Khovanov homology works for Khovanov-Floer theories because that’s how maps on spectral sequences work.
A priori, $F_* \neq F_\infty$!
A strong Khovanov-Floer theory is a gadget:

\[ D \xrightarrow{\sim} K(D) \]

link diagram \hspace{2cm} filtered complex

\[ D \rightarrow D' \xrightarrow{\sim} F: K(D) \rightarrow K(D') \]

handle attachment \hspace{2cm} filtered chain map

so that

• For a crossingless diagrams, \( H(K(D)) \) agrees with \( Kh(D) \) (or another Frobenius algebra).

• Handle attachment maps satisfy some relations (e.g. swapping distant handles, Bar-Natan’s \( S, T \), and \( 4Tu \)).

• Künneth formula, etc.
**Strong Khovanov-Floer theories: the good**

### Definition

A strong Khovanov-Floer theory is *conic* if, for $\mathcal{D}$ with crossings,

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{cone}(\mathcal{h} : \mathcal{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1)$$

where $\mathcal{h}$ is a one-handle attachment map.
**Strong Khovanov-Floer theories: the good**

**Definition**

A strong Khovanov-Floer theory is **conic** if, for $\mathcal{D}$ with crossings,

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{cone}(h: \mathcal{D}_0 \to \mathcal{D}_1)$$

where $h$ is a one-handle attachment map.

**Theorem (S.)**

*Conic strong Khovanov-Floer theories are*

- link invariants. (chain homotopy type)
- **functorial**: they assign (chain homotopy types of) maps to isotopy classes of link cobordisms in $S^3 \times I$.

Everything that works for **Bar-Natan’s cobordism-theoretic construction of link homology** works for strong Khovanov-Floer theories.
Theorem (S.)

Heegaard Floer homology, singular instanton homology, Szabó homology, and Lee/Bar-Natan homology all produce conic strong Khovanov-Floer theories. (The rest probably are, too.)

Theorem (S.)

A conic strong Khovanov-Floer theory yields a Khovanov-Floer theory.
**Strong Khovanov-Floer theories: what’s next**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>🤔</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How does this help us understand invariants of transverse links and contact structures?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>🤔</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What other link homology theories can we use besides Khovanov homology? (E.g. Lin has constructed a spectral sequence from Bar-Natan-Lee homology to monopole Floer homology)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>🙏🤔🙏</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can we understand e.g. Heegaard Floer homology via Morse theory on surfaces?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Least Dilatation of Pure Surface Braids
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What are pure surface braids?

- pure mapping classes
- isotopic to the identity on the closed surface
- denoted $\text{PB}_n(S_g)$
What is the dilatation?

- a real number $> 1$
- associated to a mapping class $f$
- denoted $\lambda(f)$
What *did I prove?*
Theorem (L., 2017)

\[ c \log \left[ \frac{\log g}{n} \right] + c \leq L \left( PB_n(S_g) \right) \leq c' \log \left[ \frac{g}{n} \right] + c' \]
Why should we care?
Theorem (Penner, 1991)
$L(\text{Mod}(S_g))$ goes to zero as $g$ goes to infinity.

Theorem (Farb-Leininger-Margalit, 2008)
$L(I_g)$ is universally bounded between 0.197 and 4.127.
Theorem (Dowdall, Aougab—Taylor)

\[ \frac{1}{5} \log(2g) \leq L(PB_1(S_g)) < 4 \log(g) + 2 \log(24) \]
How *did I prove it?*
The Upper Bound
The Upper Bound
The Upper Bound
The Lower Bound

Kra: 
\[ \max_{x} d(x, \tilde{F}_{t}(x)) \leq \lambda(\tilde{F}_{t}) \]

Imayoshi—Ito—Yamamoto: 
\[ \lambda(F_{t}) \leq \lambda(f) \]

\( PB_{n}(S_{g}) \ni f \sim \pi(x) \cdot \pi(\tilde{F}_{t}(x)) \)

\( \pi \)

\( H^{2} \)

\( x \quad \tilde{F}_{t}(x) \)
The Lower Bound

"max \(d(x, F_t(x)) \leq \lambda(f)\)"

\[ \max_{x} H_d(x, \tilde{F}_t(x)) \leq \lambda(\tilde{F}_t) \]

Imayoshi—Ito—Yamamoto:
"\(\lambda(F_t) \leq \lambda(f)\)"

\[ PB_n(S_g) \ni f \sim \pi(x) \sim \pi(\tilde{F}_t(x)) \]
The Lower Bound

Theorem (L.—Parlier, 2017)

A filling graph $\Gamma$ embedded in a surface $S_g$ has diameter at least

$$\frac{\log\left(\frac{g-2}{3}\right)}{40}.$$
Thank you!
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Truncated Heegaard Floer homology and concordance invariants
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Motivation

Our motivation is to better understand knot concordance.

Definition

\( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are **concordant** if they cobound a smooth cylinder in \( S^3 \times [0, 1] \).

Definition

The **concordance group** is \( \mathcal{C} = \{ \text{knots in } S^3 / \sim, \# \} \), where \( K_1 \sim K_2 \) if \( K_1 \) is concordant to \( K_2 \).
Open Questions

There are many open questions about knot concordance.

Question

Is every slice knot a ribbon knot?

Figure: “Square ribbon knot”; figure by David Eppstein, Wikipedia.

The boundary of a self-intersecting disk with only “ribbon singularities” is called a ribbon knot.

Question

Is there any torsion in the concordance group $C$ besides 2-torsion?
Truncated Heegaard Floer homology

Heegaard Floer homology is an invariant for three-manifolds defined by Ozsváth and Szabó.

Truncated Heegaard Floer homology, denoted $HF^n(Y, s)$ (Ozsváth-Szabó, Ozsváth-Manolescu), is the homology of the kernel $CF^n(Y, s)$ of the multiplication map

$$U^n : CF^+(Y, s) \to CF^+(Y, s)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. 

Remark

Note for $n = 1$, truncated Heegaard Floer homology equals $\hat{HF}(Y, s)$. 
Truncated Concordance Invariants

Motivated by the constructions of the Ozsváth-Szabó $\nu(K)$ and Hom-Wu $\nu^+(K)$, we construct a sequence of knot invariants $\nu_n(K)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

**Definition**
For $n > 0$, define

$$\nu_n(K) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \nu_s^n : CF^n(S^3_N(K), s_s) \to CF^n(S^3) \text{ induces a surjection on homology}\},$$

where $N$ is sufficiently large so that the Ozsváth-Szabó large integer surgery formula holds, and $s_s$ denotes the restriction to $S^3_N(K)$ of a Spin$^c$ structure $t$ on the corresponding 2-handle cobordism such that

$$\langle c_1(t), [\hat{F}] \rangle + N = 2s,$$

where $\hat{F}$ is a capped-off Seifert surface for $K$. 
Definition

For \( n < 0 \), define

\[
\nu_n(K) = \max\{ s \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \nu_s^n : CF^{-n}(S^3) \to CF^{-n}(S^3_{-N}(K), s_s) \text{ induces an injection on homology} \},
\]

where \( N \) is sufficiently large so that the Ozsváth-Szabó large integer surgery formula holds, and \( s_s \) denotes the restriction to \( S^3_{-N}(K) \) of a Spin\(^c\) structure \( t \) on the corresponding 2-handle cobordism such that

\[
\langle c_1(t), [\widehat{F}] \rangle - N = 2s,
\]

where \( \widehat{F} \) is a capped-off Seifert surface for \( K \).

For \( n = 0 \), we define \( \nu_0(K) = \tau(K) \).
Properties of $\nu_n(K)$

The knot invariants $\nu_n(K)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the following properties:

- $\nu_n(K)$ is a concordance invariant.
- $\nu_1(K) = \nu(K)$.
- $\nu_n(K) \leq \nu_{n+1}(K)$.
- For sufficiently large $n$, $\nu_n(K) = \nu^+(K)$.
- $\nu_n(-K) = -\nu_{-n}(K)$, where $-K$ is the mirror of $K$.
- $\nu_n(K) \leq g_4(K)$. 

Homologically thin knots are knots with $\widehat{HFK}$ supported in a single $\delta = A - M$ grading.

**Theorem**

Let $K$ be a homologically thin knot with $\tau(K) = \tau$.

(i) If $\tau = 0$, $\nu_n(K) = 0$ for all $n$.

(ii) If $\tau > 0$, 

$$
\nu_n(K) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{for } n \leq -(\tau + 1)/2, \\
\tau + 2n + 1, & \text{for } -\tau/2 \leq n \leq -1, \\
\tau, & \text{for } n \geq 0.
\end{cases}
$$

(iii) If $\tau < 0$, 

$$
\nu_n(K) = \begin{cases} 
\tau, & \text{for } n \leq 0, \\
\tau + 2n - 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq -\tau/2, \\
0, & \text{for } n \geq (-\tau + 1)/2.
\end{cases}
$$
In fact, the difference between $\nu_n(K)$ and $\nu_{n+1}(K)$ can be arbitrarily big.

**Theorem**

Let $T_{p,p+1}$ denote the $(p, p + 1)$ torus knot. For $p > 3$, 

$$\nu_{-1}(T_{p,p+1}) - \nu_{-2}(T_{p,p+1}) = p.$$
Thank you!
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An **embedded exact Lagrangian filling** of $\Lambda$ is a 2-dimensional embedded surface $L$ in $(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3, \omega = d(e^t \alpha))$ such that

- $L$ is cylindrical over $\Lambda$ when $t$ is big enough;
- there exists a function $f : L \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^t \alpha|_{TL} = df$ and $f$ is constant on $\Lambda$. 

![Diagram](image-url)
By [Ekholm-Honda-Kálmán, ’12], an exact Lagrangian filling $L \rightarrow \Rightarrow$ an augmentation $\epsilon$ of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$
Correspondence

Derived Fukaya Category  Augmentation Category

However, not all the augmentations of $A(\Lambda)$ are induced from embedded exact Lagrangian fillings of $\Lambda$. 

Yu Pan  
Augmentations and Immersed Exact Lagrangian Fillings
## Correspondence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derived Fukaya Category</th>
<th>Augmentation Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objects:</td>
<td>Objects:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exact Lagrangian Fillings</td>
<td>Augmentations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correspondence

Derived Fukaya Category | Augmentation Category

Objects: Exact Lagrangian Fillings | Augmentations

However, not all the augmentations of $A(\Lambda)$ are induced from embedded exact Lagrangian fillings of $\Lambda$. 
Immersed Exact Lagrangian fillings
Suppose that $\Sigma$ can be lifted to an embedded Legendrian surface $L$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathcal{A}(L)$ has an augmentation $\epsilon_L$. 

\[ \Lambda \quad (\mathcal{A}(\Lambda), \partial) \quad \mathcal{A}(L), \partial \]
Augmentations induced from immersed exact Lagrangian fillings

Suppose that $\Sigma$ can be lifted to an embedded Legendrian surface $L$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathcal{A}(L)$ has an augmentation $\epsilon_L$.

Thus $\epsilon = \epsilon_L \circ f$ is an augmentation of $\mathcal{A}(\Lambda)$. 

![Diagram showing lifting and augmentations](image-url)
Result

Theorem (P.-D. Rutherford)

All the augmentations of $A(\Lambda)$ are induced from possibly immersed exact Lagrangian fillings of $\Lambda$. 
Lightning Talks III
Tech Topology Conference
December 10, 2017
Trisections of Complex Surfaces
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Trisections of 4-manifolds
The complex surface K3 is the 2-fold branched cover of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ over a degree 6 curve.
Exotic 4-manifolds

For $d \geq 5$, the degree $d$ hypersurface $S_d$ in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is an exotic 4-manifold.

$S_d$ is the $d$-fold branched cover of a degree $d$ curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

There is a homeomorphism $\zeta : \Sigma_{53} \to \Sigma_{63}$ in the Torelli group $\text{Tor}(\Sigma_{53})$ that does not extend across the genus 53 handlebody $H_{53}$ but

\[
\begin{array}{c}
9\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 44\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \\
S_5
\end{array}
\]

\[
S^3 \cong H_\alpha \cup_{\phi_1} H_\gamma \\
\cong H_\beta \cup_{\phi_2} H_\gamma \\
S^3 \cong H_\alpha \cup_{\zeta_0 \phi_1} H_\gamma \\
\cong H_\beta \cup_{\zeta_0 \phi_2} H_\gamma
\]
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