

# Functions of A Matrix

DAR-VEIG HO

December 8, 2003

ABSTRACT. Definition of functions of a matrix given in Gantmacher's text is attained from a different aspect.

**1. Functions of a matrix.** After I have derived formulas in [1, 2] for power, exponential, and logarithm functions of a matrix  $A$ , it is only natural that one wishes to do so for more matrix functions. From those results, a pattern will emerge for a formula or a definition of a wider class of functions of  $A$ .

Suppose that the characteristic polynomial of a given matrix  $A$  is

$$p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{m_i}$$

where  $m_i$  is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Recall that in [1], the Herod's projection matrices  $P_i(A)$  can be obtained readily from the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ . For readers' convenience, we mention some of the properties of these matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^s P_i(A) &= I, \\ P_i(A)P_j(A) &= P_i(A)\delta_{ij}, \\ P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)^{m_i} &= [P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)]^{m_i} = 0, \quad m_i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_i(A)$  denote the nilpotent  $P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)$ . The nonzero matrices of the set  $\{P_i(A), N_i(A), \dots, N_i^{m_i-1}(A), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s, \}$  will be used to serve as a basis for all valid  $f(A)$  of a given matrix  $A$ . We first identify those nonzero elements and then show their linear independence. Note that  $P_i(A)$  can not be a zero matrix. Suppose that

$$P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I) \neq 0$$

then

$$P_i(A) \neq 0.$$

If, on the other hand,

$$P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I) = 0,$$

then

$$AP_i(A) = \lambda_i P_i(A)$$

and a nonzero column of  $P_i(A)$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  so  $P_i(A)$  can not be a zero matrix. However the nilpotent matrix  $N_i^j(A) = P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)^j$  may become a zero matrix for  $j < m_i$ . Let  $n_i$  ( $1 \leq n_i \leq m_i$ ) be such that  $N_i^{n_i}(A) = 0$  but  $N_i^{n_i-1}(A) \neq 0$ . ( $n_i$  is the so-called index of nilpotency.) Thus none of the set

$$\{P_i(A), N_i(A), \dots, N_i^{n_i-1}(A), 1 \leq i \leq s\} \quad (1)$$

is a zero matrix and now we can show the set to be linearly independent. Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s [c_{i0} P_i(A) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} c_{ij} N_i^j(A)] = 0$$

which means

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} c_{ij} P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)^j = 0. \quad (2)$$

Multiply the above equation by  $P_k(A)$  and use the property

$$P_k(A)P_i(A) = P_k(A)\delta_{ki}$$

to find

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} c_{kj} P_k(A)(A - \lambda_k I)^j = 0. \quad (3)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $(A - \lambda_k I)^{n_k-1}$ , we get

$$c_{k0} P_k(A)(A - \lambda_k I)^{n_k-1} = 0$$

because  $P_k(A)(A - \lambda_k I)^{n_k} = 0$ . But  $P_k(A)(A - \lambda_k I)^{n_k-1} \neq 0$  so  $c_{k0}$  must be zero. Repeatedly multiplying (3) by  $(A - \lambda_k I)^{n_k-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n_k - 1$  and using the same argument, we find all  $c_{kj} = 0$ . The set (1) is thus linearly independent and can be used as a basis for  $f(A)$ .

**2. A definition of  $f(A)$ .** In the following we summarize some results from [1, 2], from which a pattern for a formula of  $f(A)$  will emerge.

**(i) Polynomials of  $A$**

The formula for positive integral powers of  $A$

$$A^n = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \binom{n}{j} P_i(A) \lambda_i^{n-j} (A - \lambda_i I)^j$$

was obtained in [1]. Note here we made use of  $P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)^{n_i} = 0$ . We can thus formulate a polynomial of  $A$ . Let  $Q(\lambda)$  be a polynomial of  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(A) &= \sum_{n=0}^N c_n A^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N c_n \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \binom{n}{j} P_i(A) \lambda_i^{n-j} (A - \lambda_i I)^j \quad \text{by (9) of [1]} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^N c_n n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-j+1) \lambda_i^{n-j} \right] P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} Q^{(j)}(\lambda_i) P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j. \end{aligned}$$

**(ii) Taylor series**

Suppose that

$$u(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} u^{(j)}(\lambda_i) (\lambda - \lambda_i)^j.$$

We assert

$$\begin{aligned} u(A) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} u^{(j)}(\lambda_i) (A - \lambda_i I)^j \\ P_i(A)u(A) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} u^{(j)}(\lambda_i) P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j \quad \text{since } P_i(A)(A - \lambda_i I)^{n_i} = 0 \\ u(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^s P_i(A)u(A) \quad \text{by } \sum_{i=1}^s P_i(A) = I, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} u^{(j)}(\lambda_i) P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, there is no need to require  $u(\lambda)$  to be analytic for each  $\lambda_i$ . We need only to assume that the first  $m_i$  derivatives exist and plus a Lagrange type remainder, i.e.,

$$u(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} u^{(j)}(\lambda_i) (\lambda - \lambda_i)^j + R(\lambda; \lambda_i) (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{m_i}.$$

That remainder will be annihilated upon multiplying it by  $P_i(A)$ .

### (iii) The inverse of $A$

$A^{-1}$  does not belong to the above categories, yet it is perhaps one of the most commonly used functions of  $A$ . In [2], we found

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^k P_i(A) \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} \binom{-1}{j} \lambda_i^{-j-1} (A - \lambda_i I)^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k P_i(A) \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (-1)(-2)\dots(-j) \lambda_i^{-j-1} (A - \lambda_i I)^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda^{-1}) \right]_{\lambda=\lambda_i} P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j \end{aligned}$$

These results are sufficient to motivate the following definition:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) P_i(A) (A - \lambda_i I)^j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s [f(\lambda_i) P_1(A) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) N_i^j(A)] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for every  $f(A)$  defined on the spectrum of  $A$ , by which we mean that the set

$$f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s \text{ and } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_i - 1 \quad (5)$$

exist. Each  $f(A)$  is a linear combination of the set of the basis (1) so that the collection of all functions of a given  $A$  forms a finite dimensional space. I wish that I could claim that I have discovered this definition of  $f(A)$ . Actually I find it in the treatise on matrices by Gantmakher [3]. It also appeared in other texts such as those by Lancaster [4] and Lancaster and Tismenetsky [5]. Gantmakher defined  $f(A)$  through interpolation polynomials  $r(\lambda)$ , which coincides with a given function  $f(\lambda)$  at the spectrum points of  $A$ .  $r(A)$  thus becomes  $f(A)$ . Somehow I feel more comfortable to arrive at it by generalization from those special cases.

Notice that Gantmakher built the definition of  $f(A)$  on the minimum polynomial of  $A$  whereas we constructed the basis (1) by using  $n_i$  in place of  $m_i$ , so that the set  $\{N_i^j(A), i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$  consists of nonzero matrices. The following theorem will show they are equivalent.

**Theorem**

The polynomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i},$$

where  $n_i \leq k_i \leq m_i$ , is the minimum polynomial of  $A$  if, and only if, the nilpotent  $N_i^j(A)$  in the expression

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^s [f(\lambda_i)P_i(A) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \frac{1}{j!} f^{(j)}(\lambda_i)N_i^j(A)], \quad (6)$$

are nonzero..

**Proof**

If  $N_i^j(A) \neq 0$ , let

$$f(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$$

so that

$$f(\lambda_i) = f'(\lambda_i) = \dots = f^{(k_i-1)}(\lambda_i) = 0.$$

Substituting into (6), we get

$$f(A) = \prod_{i=1}^s (A - \lambda_i I)^{k_i} = 0$$

However if  $f(\lambda)$  is of lower degree, say

$$f(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{k_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i},$$

then

$$f^{(k_1-1)}(\lambda_1) = (k_1 - 1)! \prod_{i=2}^s (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)^{k_i} \neq 0, \quad \text{but all other} \quad f^{(j)}(\lambda_i) = 0.$$

By (6),

$$f(A) = (A - \lambda_1 I)^{k_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^s (A - \lambda_i I)^{k_i} = [\prod_{i=2}^s (\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)^{k_i}] N_1^{k_1-1}(A), \quad (7)$$

which is not a zero matrix by our hypothesis  $N_i^j(A) \neq 0$ . The polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$  is thus the unique minimum polynomial of  $A$ . Conversely, if any  $N_i^j(A)$  appeared in (6) is a zero matrix, say  $N_1^j(A) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k_1 - 1$ , then  $N_1^{k_1-1}(A) =$

$[N_i^j(A)]^{k_1-1-j} = 0$ . The above equation shows that the polynomial  $(\lambda - \lambda_1)^{k_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$ , of lower degree, annihilates  $A$ , so  $\prod_{i=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$  can not be the minimum polynomial.

The theorem demonstrates that our using  $n_i$  in the definition of  $f(A)$  is equivalent to Gantmakher's use of the minimum polynomial. It is known that finding the minimum polynomial can be tedious. The following example illustrates a scheme to find the index  $n_i$  for each nilpotent  $N_i(A)$  and thereby find  $f(A)$ .

**Example.**

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial is  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2(\lambda - 2)^2$ , by which (4) becomes

$$f(A) = f(0)P_1(A) + f'(0)N_1(A) + f(2)P_2(A) + f'(2)N_2(A). \tag{8}$$

Notice that the formula for  $f(A)$  is valid for all  $f(\lambda)$  defined on the spectrum of  $A$ . Therefore we can choose  $f(\lambda)$  judiciously to determine  $P_i(A)$  and  $N_i^j(A)$ . Naturally one should start with the  $N_i^j(A)$  of the highest degree in the formula, not only because it can be done readily also if it is zero, the formula will be simplified. So we start with  $N_1(A)$  in the current example. Choose

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)^2, \text{ so that } f(0) = f(2) = f'(2) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 4.$$

Thus substituting all these into (8), we get

$$N_1(A) = \frac{1}{4}A(A - 2I)^2 = 0.$$

As we mentioned before that  $P_1(A) \neq 0$ , therefore  $n_1 = 1$ . The formula (8) is simplified to

$$f(A) = f(0)P_1(A) + f(2)P_2(A) + f'(2)N_2(A).$$

Next determine  $N_2(A)$  by choosing

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \lambda(\lambda - 2) \\ f(0) &= f(2) = 0, \quad f'(2) = 2 \\ N_2(A) &= \frac{1}{2}A(A - 2I) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $n_2 = 1$  and the minimum polynomial is  $m(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2)$ , and

$$f(A) = f(0)P_1(A) + f(2)P_2(A).$$

For  $P_1(A)$ , we choose

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \lambda - 2 \\ A - 2I &= -2P_1(A) \\ P_1(A) &= -\frac{1}{2}(A - 2I). \end{aligned}$$

Finally let

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda,$$

which gives us

$$P_2(A) = \frac{1}{2}A.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= f(0)P_1(A) + f(2)P_2(A) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}f(0)(A - 2I) + \frac{1}{2}f(2)A. \end{aligned}$$

Consider some simple matrix functions:

(i) If  $f(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}$ , the above formula gives us

$$\sqrt{A} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

(ii) If  $f(\lambda) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda)$ , then

$$\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}A) = -\frac{1}{2}(1)(A - 2I) + (\frac{1}{2})(-1)A = I - A.$$

The last equation seems to indicate that the cosine of  $A$  is not an even function of  $A$ . In fact if we compute  $\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}B)$  with  $B = -A$ , we will find

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= \frac{1}{2}f(0)(B + 2I) - \frac{1}{2}f(-2)B \\ \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}B) &= (1)\frac{1}{2}(B + 2I) - \frac{1}{2}(-1)B = I + B \\ &= I - A = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}A). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. A different basis.

Ting in [6] uses the set

$$\{I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{m-1}\}$$

to formulate all analytic functions,  $f(A)$ , of an  $m$  by  $m$  matrix  $A$ , and defines

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{k+1} A^k$$

as a consequence of Cayley-Hamilton Theorem. The coefficients  $a_k$  can be determined by the spectral values of  $f(\lambda)$ . For the matrix  $A$  in the last example, the four coefficients  $a$ 's are to be solved from the 4 equations

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1) &= a_1 + a_2 \lambda_1 + a_3 \lambda_1^2 + a_4 \lambda_1^3 \\ f'(\lambda_1) &= a_2 + 2a_3 \lambda_1 + 3a_4 \lambda_1^2 \\ f(\lambda_2) &= a_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 + a_3 \lambda_2^2 + a_4 \lambda_2^3 \\ f'(\lambda_2) &= a_2 + 2a_3 \lambda_2 + 3a_4 \lambda_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is complicated. However if, at the beginning, we know the minimum polynomial for  $A$  is

$$m(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 2),$$

so that

$$A(A - 2I) = 0.$$

No power higher than one is needed for  $f(A)$  and the formula becomes simply

$$f(\lambda) = a_1 + a_2 \lambda.$$

(i) For the case  $f(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1) &= 0 = a_1 \\ f(\lambda_2) &= \sqrt{2} = a_1 + 2a_2 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sqrt{A} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

(ii) For the case  $f(\lambda) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1) &= 1 = a_1 \\ f(\lambda_2) &= -1 = a_1 + 2a_2 \end{aligned}$$

from which we find

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = -1,$$

and

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}A\right) = I - A.$$

### References

- [1] D.V. Ho, *The power & exponential of a matrix*, <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~ho>.
- [2] D.V. Ho, *Real powers & logarithm of a matrix*, <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~ho>.
- [3] Gantmacher, F.R., *Matrix Theory*, Chelsea Publishing Company. 1959
- [4] Lancaster, P., *Theory of Matrices*, Academic Press. 1969
- [5] Lancaster, P. & Tismenetsky, M., *Theory of Matrices, Ed. 2*, Academic Press. 1985
- [6] T.C.T. Ting, *Determination of  $\mathbf{C}^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^{-1/2}$  and More General Isotropic Tensor Functions of  $\mathbf{C}$* , J. Elasticity, 15, pp.319-323 (1985).