

# Math 4280

## - Additional Problem 1/30/08.

Let  $S$  be a source with probabilities  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{12}$  average.

a) What is the word length of a binary optimal code for  $S$ ?

We find the average length of a binary Huffman code, which is optimal.

$$\text{Let } S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\text{Let } s_5 = s_3 \vee s_4, p_5 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$S' = \{s_1, s_2, s_5\}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{Let } s_6 = s_2 \vee s_5, p_6 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$S'' = \{s_6, s_1\}$$

$$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{Let } s_7 = s_6 \vee s_1, p_7 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1$$

$$\text{So } L(C) = p_5 + p_6 + p_7 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + 1 = 2$$

b) What are the possible word lengths  $l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4$  for a binary optimal code for  $S$ ?

The above reduction of  $S$  gives

$$s_6 \rightarrow 0$$

$$s_1 \rightarrow 1$$

$$s_2 \rightarrow 00$$

$$s_5 \rightarrow 01$$

$$s_3 \rightarrow 010$$

$$s_4 \rightarrow 011$$

$$\text{So } C = \{1, 00, 010, 011\}$$

is an optimal code for  $S$

with word lengths

$$l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, l_3 = l_4 = 3$$

1/30/08

There is another way to reduce  $S$ .

$$S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$$

$$s_5 = s_3 \vee s_4 \quad p_5 = 1/4 + 1/12 = 1/3 \text{ as before}$$

$$S' = \{s_1, s_2, s_5\}$$

$1/3, 1/3, 1/3$

Now let  $s_6 = s_1 \vee s_2$   $p_6 = 1/3 + 1/3 = 2/3$ , since  $s_1, s_2$  &  $s_5$  all have prob  $1/3$  we can combine any two of them to make  $s_6$ .

$$S'' = \{s_6, s_5\}$$

$$s_7 = s_6 \vee s_5 \quad p_7 = 1.$$

Now

$s_8 \rightarrow 0$	$s_0 \in \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$
$s_5 \rightarrow 1$	is a k-optimal code with 4 similar
$s_1 \rightarrow 00$	word lengths $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = 2$ .
$s_2 \rightarrow 01$	
$s_3 \rightarrow 10$	
$s_4 \rightarrow 11$	

We must also show that these sets of word lengths  $\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$  and  $\{2, 2, 2, 2\}$  are the only possibilities.

Suppose that  $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq l_4$  are word lengths of an optimal code for  $S$ .

Then since optimal codes are instantaneous  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{2^{l_i}} \leq 1$  and since the average length of an optimal code is 2,

$$\frac{1}{3}l_1 + \frac{1}{3}l_2 + \frac{1}{4}l_3 + \frac{1}{2}l_4 = 2.$$

$l_1 = \min(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)$  so  $l_1 \leq 2$ , the average word length. Since  $l_1$  is a positive integer  $l_1 = 1$  or  $l_1 = 2$ .

1/30/08

Case 1.  $l_1 = 1$ ,  
If  $l_2 = 1$  also then

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{2^{l_i}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{l_3}} + \frac{1}{2^{l_4}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{l_3}} + \frac{1}{2^{l_4}} > 1,$$

so the code can't be instantaneous.

Thus  $l_1 = 1$  and  $l_2 \geq 2$ ,

If  $l_1 = 1$  and  $l_2 = 2$  and  $l_3 = 2$  then

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{2^{l_i}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2^{l_4}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{l_4}} > 1$$

so  $l_3 \geq 3$  and as  $l_4 \geq l_3 \geq 3$   $l_4 \geq 3$ .

If  $l_1 = 1$ ,  $l_2 = 2$ ,  $l_3 = 3$ ,  $l_4 = 3$  is the sol'n we know about.

If  $l_1 = 1$  and  $l_2 > 2 \Rightarrow l_2 \geq 3 \Rightarrow l_3 \geq 3 \Rightarrow l_4 \geq 3$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{3}l_1 + \frac{1}{3}l_2 + \frac{1}{4}l_3 + \frac{1}{12}l_4 \geq \frac{1}{3} + 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = 2 + \frac{1}{3} > 2$  so  
can't be optimal

Thus the only sol'n with  $l_1 = 1$  is  $1, 2, 3, 3$ .

Case 2  $l_1 = 2$ .

Since  $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq l_4 \Rightarrow l_2 \geq 2, l_3 \geq 2, l_4 \geq 2$ .

We know that  $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = 2$  is our other sol'n.

If any of  $l_2, l_3$  or  $l_4$  is strictly greater than 2,  
then so is  $l_4$ , in this case

$$\frac{1}{3}l_1 + \frac{1}{3}l_2 + \frac{1}{4}l_3 + \frac{1}{12}l_4 > \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{12} = 2$$

so no other solutions with  $l_1 = 2$ .

(3)

1/30/08

(c) Describe all the binary optimal codes for  $S$ .

Case 1  $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = 2$ .

In this case there is only one possible set of code words  $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ , and any one-to-one map from  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$  to  $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$  gives a code. There are  $4!$  such maps.

Case 2  $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, l_3 = l_4 = 3$ .

First we count the possible sets of code words. There are  $\binom{2}{1} = 2$  ways to pick the word of length 1, leaving 2 words of length 2 & 4 words of length 3. There are  $\binom{2}{2} = 1$  way to pick the word of length 2, leaving 2 words of length 3. There is  $\binom{2}{2} = 1$  way to pick the words of length 3. So there are  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 4$  sets of code words.

(Explicitly  $\{0, 10, 110, 111\}$ ,  $\{0, 11, 100, 101\}$ ,  
 $\{1, 00, 010, 011\}$ ,  $\{1, 01, 000, 001\}$ )

a map from  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$  to one of these sets gives an optimal code if and only if  $p_i > p_j$  implies  $l_i \leq l_j$ .

Since  $p_1 = p_2 = 1/3$ ,  $s_1$  can map to the word of length 1 or the word of length 2, 2 choices, then  $s_2$  must map to the other one, 1 choice. Since  $l_3 = l_4 = 3$ ,  $s_3$  can map to either word of length 3, 2 choices, and  $s_4$  must then map to the other one, 1 choice.

So for each set of code-words, there are  $2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 4$  optimal codes.

This gives  $4 \cdot 4 = 16$  optimal codes.

③ ④

1/30/08

(d) Which of the binary optimal codes for  $S$  are Huffman codes.

Case 1  $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4$ .

Binary Huffman codes for these word lengths arise from the following reduction of the source.

$$S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{12}$$

$$s_5 = s_3 \vee s_4, \quad p_5 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$S' = \{s_5, s_1, s_2\}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$$

$$s_6 = s_1 \vee s_2, \quad p_6 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$S'' = \{s_6, s_5\}$$

$$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$$

$$s_7 = s_5 \vee s_6, \quad p_7 = 1.$$

Since  $s_5 = s_3 \vee s_4$  and  $s_6 = s_1 \vee s_2$ , any binary Huffman code will have  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  starting with the same bit and  $s_3$  and  $s_4$  starting with the same bit.

4 choices for  $s_1$

1 choice for  $s_2$

2 choices for  $s_3$

1 choice for  $s_4$

So  $4 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 8$  binary Huffman codes.

(4) (5)

(d)

Case 2  $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, l_3 = l_4 = 3.$

The binary Huffman code with these word lengths come from the following source reduction

$$S = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$$

$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{12}$

$$S' = \{S_5, S_1, S_2, S_3\}$$

$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$

$$S'' = \{S_6, S_1\}$$

$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$

$$S''' = \{S_7\}$$

or  $S' = \{S_2, S_1, S_5\}$

$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$

$$S'' = \{S_6, S_2\}$$

$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$

$$S''' = \{S_7\}$$

In constructing a binary Huffman code from this reduction, there are 2 choices for  $C''$ , 2 choices for  $C'$ , & 2 choices for  $C$ .

So  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8$  codes

The same 8 choices in make  $C$  using this reduction. So there are  $8 + 8 = 16$ , binary Huffman codes, i.e., all the optimal codes with word lengths  $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, l_3 = l_4 = 4.$

(6) (5)