

# Analysis Homework

Soniya Khan, Russel Krenek, Brendy Gallien, Matias Perez

November 13, 2008

1)  
$$\int_0^1 x dx = \frac{1}{2}$$
 proof:

Let the partition  $P = \{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$ . Therefore we have that  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} = \frac{1}{n}$

Now since  $f(x)$  is an increasing function on the interval  $[0, 1]$  we have that

$$U_f = \sum_{i=1}^n l.u.b. [x_i, x_{i-1}] f(x) \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{(n+1)n}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$L_f = \sum_{i=1}^n g.l.b. [x_i, x_{i-1}] f(x) \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i-1}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n i - 1 = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$$

Therefore by taking the limit as  $n$  goes to infinity we get

$$U_U = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{(n+1)n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and similarly we have

$$L_L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{(n-1)n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus we have that  $\int_0^1 x dx = \frac{1}{2}$

2)

We want to show that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$  if  $f(\frac{1}{n}) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for all other  $x$ .

Proof:

It is tempting to say that this statement is false. We may look at it in the following way and reach this fallacy. Let us consider the partition  $P = \{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$  so that  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} = \frac{1}{n}$ . And now we use the Darboux definition for an integrable function. We let

$$U_{f,P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{n}$$

and we let

$$L_{f,P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{n}$$

now we have

$$U_{f,P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} n = 1$$

and

$$L_{f,P} = 0$$

since  $\sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 1$  and  $\inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 0$ . Now we take

$$I_U = \inf U_{f,P} = 1$$

and

$$I_L = \sup L_{f,P} = 0$$

This clearly tells us that the statement made in problem 2 is wrong, since  $I_L \neq I_U$  but this logic is incorrect. We assumed something that was not true and that is that we can find  $f(x) = 1$  for every partition in  $[0, 1]$ . Lets consider that

we take  $[\frac{4}{6}, \frac{5}{6}]$ , then  $x \neq \frac{1}{n}$  in this interval. But we summed  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}n = 1$

considering that  $\sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 1$ ,  $n$  times. So now we must look for a better

partition, one that will tell us exactly how many time  $\sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 1$ . If we

want  $\sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 1$ ,  $n + 1$  times we must have that  $\Delta x_i = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}$  since

if  $k < n$  then  $\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} > \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ . So now we take the partition

$P_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \frac{2}{n(n+1)}, \dots, \frac{n^2+n-1}{n(n+1)}, \frac{n(n+1)}{n(n+1)} \right\}$  and we let  $\Delta x_i = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}$ . So now

we have

$$U_{f,P_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n(n+1)} \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{(n+1)n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(n+1)n} = \frac{1}{(n+1)n} (n+1) = \frac{1}{n}$$

since  $\sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) = 1$ ,  $n + 1$  times and  $f(x) = 0$  in every other partition.

and

$$L_{f,P_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n(n+1)} \inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

So we have

$$I_U = \inf U_{f,P_2} = \inf \frac{1}{n} = 0 \text{ and } I_L = \sup L_{f,P_2} = 0 \text{ and we are done.}$$

3)

Does  $\int_0^1 f(x)dx$  exist for

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } x = \frac{p}{q} \text{ and } GCD(p, q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We will claim that  $\int_0^1 f(x)dx$  does exist

In this problem we will use the Riemann definition of integrable functions. This means that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|S - A| < \epsilon$  for  $|\Delta x| < \delta$ . Where  $S$  is a Riemann sum of  $f(x)$  and  $A$  is the Riemann integral of  $f(x)$ .

We must show that for any Riemann integral the definition above holds.

It is obvious from the last problem that the Riemann sum goes to zero for the

$\inf_{[x_{i+1}, x_i]} f(x)$  since  $\inf_{[x_{i+1}, x_i]} f(x) = 0$ . Now we must show that any Riemann

sum of  $f(x)$  must also go to 0. for this we will take the Riemann sum on the

$\sup_{[x_{i+1}, x_i]} f(x)$  and show that it is smaller the  $\epsilon$ . First we must note that when the

function takes the value of  $\frac{1}{n}$  there are finitly many  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) > \frac{1}{n}$ .

Therefore there are finitly many points  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and we can name these points  $x_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N - 1, N\}$ . Now lets consider the

following partition, let  $P$  be a partition centered at  $x_i$   $[x_i - \frac{\epsilon}{4N}, x_i + \frac{\epsilon}{4N}]$  and let  $|\Delta x| = \frac{\epsilon}{2N}$  where  $N$  is the finite number of points greater than  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Therefore now we have that

$$|S| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{\epsilon}{2N} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2N}N = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

7)

We want to show that if  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and not continuous at a finite number of points then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a  $\delta < 0$  such that  $|\Delta x_i| < \delta$  implies that  $|S_f - A| < \epsilon$  where  $P$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$ .

Now let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{N-1}, t_N$  be the points in  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(t_i)$  is not continuous for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1, N\}$

Now let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be defined as

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} l.u.b. \{f(x) | x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})\} & \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n\} \\ f(x) & \text{if } x = x_i \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(x) = \begin{cases} g.l.b. \{f(x) | x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})\} & \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n\} \\ f(x) & \text{if } x = x_i \end{cases}$$

where  $t_i = x$  for  $x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\}$

Now since  $f(x)$  is bounded we have  $f_2(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_1(x)$ . Now we have that since  $f(x)$  is continuous in  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  we have that for any  $x', x'' \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$  that  $|x'' - x'| < |x_i - x_{i+1}| < \delta$

so that

$$|f(x'') - f(x')| < | \sup_{(x_i, x_{i+1})} f(x) - \inf_{(x_i, x_{i+1})} f(x) | < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$$

which implies that  $|f_1(x) - f_2(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$

Now we get that

$$\int_a^b (f_1(x) - f_2(x))dx < \max\{f_1 - f_2\}(b-a) < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}(b-a) = \epsilon$$

8)

Show that if  $f(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strictly increasing (or decreasing) we have that  $f(x)$  is integrable.

Proof:

Now since  $f(x)$  is increasing on  $[a, b]$  and  $[a, b]$  is bounded then  $f(b) \geq f(x)$  and  $f(a) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Now let's consider the partition  $P = \{\frac{a}{n}, \frac{a+1}{n}, \frac{a+2}{n}, \dots, \frac{a+n-1}{n}, b\}$  so that we have that  $\delta x_i = \frac{1}{n}$  now we take

$$U_f = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{1}{n}$$

and we let

$$L_f = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i-1} \frac{1}{n}$$

Now since  $f(x)$  is increasing and  $[a, b]$  is bounded then we have that there exist a  $M$  such that  $|f(b) - f(a)| \geq M$ . Therefore we have that

$$|U_f - L_f| < \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{n} \leq \frac{2M}{n}. \text{ Now take } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and we are done.}$$

9)

We want to show that if the function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable on the interval  $[a, b]$  then so is  $|f|$ , and  $|\int_a^b f(x)dx| \leq \int_a^b |f(x)|dx$ .

Proof:

So now we look at

$$U_{|f|,P} - L_{|f|,P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \Delta x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \Delta x_i =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sup_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) - \inf_{[x_i, x_{i-1}]} f(x) \right) \Delta x_i$$

Now from definition we have that for  $z, y \in A$  we have that

$$\sup_A |f(x)| \leq |f(y)| + \epsilon$$

$$\inf_A |f(x)| \geq |f(z)| - \epsilon$$

so now we have

$$\sup_A |f(x)| - \inf_A |f(x)| \leq |f(y)| + \epsilon - |f(z)| + \epsilon$$

$$\leq |f(y) - f(z)| + 2\epsilon \leq \sup_A f(x) - \inf_A f(x) + 2\epsilon$$

thus

$$\sup_A |f(x)| - \inf_A |f(x)| \leq \sup_A f(x) - \inf_A f(x)$$

and

$$f(y) - f(z) \leq \sup_A f(x) - \inf_A f(x)$$

$$f(z) - f(y) \leq \sup_A f(x) - \inf_A f(x)$$

which implies

$$|f(y) - f(z)| \leq \sup_A f(x) - \inf_A f(x)$$

Now we have that  $U_{|f|,P} - L_{|f|,P} \leq U_{f,P} - L_{f,P}$

therefore if  $U_{f,P} - L_{f,P}$  converges then so does  $U_{|f|,P} - L_{|f|,P}$  so therefore  $|f(x)|$  is also integrable.

Now we have  $-\sup f(x) \leq \sup f(x)$  since we have that

$$-|f(x)| \leq f(x) \leq |f(x)|. \text{ Thus we have}$$

$$U_{-|f|,P} \leq U_{f,P}, \text{ so } \int_a^b -|f(x)| dx = I_{-|f|,P} \leq I_{f,P} = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Now we also have that  $\sup f(x) \leq \sup |f(x)|$  thus we have  $U_{f,P} \leq U_{-|f|,P}$

$$\text{So } \int_a^b f(x) dx = I_{f,P} \leq I_{|f(x)|} = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$$

So therefore we have

$$\int_a^b -|f(x)| dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$$

and therefore we have  $|\int_a^b f(x) dx| \leq \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$  and we are done.

10)

We want to show that if  $f(x)$  is integrable in  $[a, b]$  then  $f^2(x)$  is integrable in  $[a, b]$ . We will also show, with this result and the identity  $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ , that if  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$  then  $g(x)f(x)$  is also integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

proof 1:

Now we will divide this proof into two cases, case 1 when  $f(x) \geq 0$  and case 2 when  $f(x) < 0$ .

case 1:

Since  $f(x)$  is integrable, we have that there exist  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  step function such that

$$f_2(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_1(x) \text{ and therefore}$$

$$f_2^2(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_1^2(x)$$

And since  $f(x)$  is an integrable function then

$$U_{f,P} - L_{f,P} < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{b-a} \text{ so we can pick } f_1(x) - f_2(x) < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{b-a}.$$

Therefore we have that

$$(f_1(x) - f_2(x))^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}. \text{ So therefore we have that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (f_1(x) - f_2(x))^2 dx &= \\ \int_a^b (f_1^2(x) - 2f_1(x)f_2(x) + f_2^2(x)) dx &< \int_a^b (f_1^2(x) - 2f_2^2(x) + f_2^2(x)) dx = \\ \int_a^b (f_1^2(x) - f_2^2(x)) dx &< \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}(b-a) = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

case 2:

Since  $f(x) < 0$  we have that

$$f_1^2(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_2^2(x)$$

and now we pick our step function such that

$$f_1(x) - f_2(x) < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{b-a}$$

Therefore we have that

$$(f_2(x) - f_1(x))^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$$

So therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (f_1(x) - f_2(x))^2 dx &= \\ \int_a^b (f_2^2(x) - 2f_1(x)f_2(x) + f_1^2(x)) dx &< \int_a^b (f_2^2(x) - 2f_1^2(x) + f_1^2(x)) dx = \\ \int_a^b (f_2^2(x) - f_1^2(x)) dx &< \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}(b-a) = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Proof 2:

Now lets consider that  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  is integrable, then we have that  $f(x) - g(x) = h(x)$  then  $h(x)$  is integrable and using the result from the beggining of this problem we have that  $h^2(x)$  is also integrable. So then

$$\int_a^b h^2(x) dx = \int_a^b (f(x) - g(x))^2 dx = \int_a^b (f^2(x) - 2f(x)g(x) + g^2(x)) dx$$

and therefore

$$\int_a^b g(x)f(x) dx = \frac{\int_a^b (f^2(x) + g^2(x)) dx}{2}$$

and since  $f^2(x)$  and  $g^2(x)$  we are done.

11)

Prove that if  $f$  is a continuous real value function on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x \in [a, b]$  then the  $\int_a^b f(x) dx > 0$ .

Proof:

Since  $f$  is a continuous value function on some integral  $f$  must be bounded and integrable. We choose some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  such that  $\epsilon = f(x_0) > 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)|, \epsilon, \text{ whenever } |x_0 - x| < \delta$$

therefore we have  $-f(x_0) < 0$  will imply  $0 < f(x) < 2\epsilon$  whenever  $|x_0 - x| < \delta$

We know we can find an integer  $m$  such that  $\frac{1}{m} < \delta$ . If we make our partition  $P_n$  where  $n \geq 2m$ , then at least one partition will be completely contained in the interval  $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$ , which is an interval where  $f(x) > 0$  everywhere.

Thus,  $L_{f,P_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{x \in [\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]} \frac{f(x)}{n}$  has at least one term greater than 0 and no negative terms.

Therefore  $L_{f,P_n} > 0$  for all  $n \geq 2m$ , end

$$I_L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{f,P_n} > 0$$

Since  $f$  is integrable,  $I_L = I_U = I$ , so  $I > 0$