

## SEPARATION OF CONVEX SETS

We know from finite dimensional geometry that disjoint convex sets can be separated by planes. In what follows, I follow closely the exposition in the book of H. Brezis, 'Analyse fonctionnelle'.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $X$  be a real normed vector space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional, not necessarily continuous. The set

$$H = \{x \in X : f(x) = \alpha\}$$

is called a hyperplane in  $X$ .

We have the simple

**Proposition 0.2.** The hyperplane  $H$  is closed if and only if  $f$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H$  is closed. Then the complement of  $H$  in  $X$ ,  $H^c$  is open. Pick any  $x_0 \in H^c$ . We may assume that  $f(x_0) > \alpha$ . There exists an open ball  $B(x_0, r) \subset H^c$ . Suppose that there exists  $x_1 \in B(x_0, r)$  with  $f(x_1) < \alpha$ . Then for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $x_t = (1-t)x_0 + tx_1 \in B(x_0, r)$  and hence  $f(x_t) \neq \alpha$ . Pick

$$s = \frac{f(x_0) - \alpha}{f(x_0) - f(x_1)}$$

which, by assumption, is in  $(0, 1)$ . But  $f(x_s) = \alpha$  which contradicts the fact that  $x_s \in H^c$ . Hence we have that  $f(x) > \alpha$  for all  $x \in B(x_0, r)$ . Hence for all  $z \in B(0, 1)$   $f(x_0 - rz) > \alpha$  or

$$f(z) < \frac{f(x_0) - \alpha}{r} .$$

Hence

$$\|f\| \leq \frac{f(x_0) - \alpha}{r} .$$

The converse is evident. □

**Definition 0.3.** Let  $A, B \subset X$  be two sets. We say that the hyperplane  $H$  separates the set  $A$  and  $B$  if

$$f(x) \leq \alpha, x \in A, f(x) \geq \alpha, x \in B .$$

We say that  $H$  separates  $A, B$  strictly if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \leq \alpha - \varepsilon, x \in A, f(x) \geq \alpha + \varepsilon, x \in B .$$

The following lemma is useful and is usually attributed to Hermann Minkowski.

**Lemma 0.4.** Let  $C$  be a non-empty, open and convex set. Assume further that  $0 \in C$ . For  $x \in X$  set

$$p(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : \frac{x}{t} \in C\} .$$

Then

- a)  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x), \lambda > 0, x \in X,$
- b)  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y), x, y \in X,$

$$c) C = \{x \in X : p(x) < 1\} .$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$p(x) \leq K\|x\| .$$

*Proof.* The statement a) is obvious. To prove c), denote the set on the right side in c) by  $C'$ . Obviously

$$p(x) \leq 1 , x \in C' .$$

If  $x \in C$  then  $(1 + \varepsilon)x \in C$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  since  $C$  is open and convex. Hence  $p(x) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} < 1$ . Thus,  $C \subset C'$ . Conversely, if  $x \in C'$ , then  $p(x) < 1$ . There exists  $0 < \alpha < 1$  so that  $\frac{x}{\alpha} \in C$ . But

$$x = \alpha \frac{x}{\alpha} + (1 - \alpha)0 \in C$$

because  $C$  is convex. Hence,  $C = C'$ . To prove b) pick any  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\frac{x}{p(x) + \varepsilon}, \frac{y}{p(y) + \varepsilon} \in C$$

because of c). Set

$$s = \frac{p(y) + \varepsilon}{p(x) + p(y) + 2\varepsilon}$$

and note that  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Since  $C$  is convex

$$\frac{x + y}{p(x) + p(y) + 2\varepsilon} = (1 - s) \frac{x}{p(x) + \varepsilon} + s \frac{y}{p(y) + \varepsilon} \in C .$$

Hence, using c),  $p(\frac{x+y}{p(x)+p(y)+2\varepsilon}) < 1$  and hence

$$p(x + y) < p(x) + p(y) + 2\varepsilon$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For the last point, since  $C$  is open, there exists  $r > 0$  so that the open ball  $B(0, r) \subset C$ . Hence, by c),  $p(x) < 1$  for all  $x \in B(0, r)$ . Hence for all  $x \in X$ ,  $p(x) \leq \frac{\|x\|}{r}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 0.5.** The function  $p(x)$  defines in the previous lemma is often called the **Minkowski gauge for  $C$** .

We first prove a separation theorem for a convex set and a point.

**Lemma 0.6.** Let  $C$  be a non-empty open convex set and let  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \notin C$ . There exists a bounded linear functional such that  $f(x) < f(x_0)$  for all  $x \in C$ , i.e., the hyperplane  $H$  defined by  $f = f(x_0)$  separates  $x_0$  from  $C$ .

*Proof.* By shifting the set we may assume that  $0 \in C$ . Define the subspace

$$E = \{tx_0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\} .$$

On this subspace we have the linear functional

$$g(tx_0) = tp(x_0) ,$$

where  $p$  is the Minkowski gauge for  $C$ . Note that  $p(x_0) \geq 1$  since  $x_0 \notin C$ . We have that  $g(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . If  $t > 0$  then  $g(tx_0) = tp(x_0) = p(tx_0)$ . If  $t \leq 0$  then  $g(tx_0) = -p(|t|x_0) \leq 0 \leq p(tx_0)$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem we may extend  $g$  to a linear functional  $f$  on  $X$  with the property that  $f(x) \leq p(x)$ . Because  $p(x) \leq K\|x\|$ , we have that

$$|f(x)| \leq K\|x\| , x \in X .$$

Hence  $f$  is bounded. Further,  $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = p(x_0) \geq 1$  and  $f(x) \leq p(x) < 1$  for all  $x \in C$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 0.7.** *Let  $A, B \subset X$  be two non-empty disjoint convex sets. Assume that  $A$  is open. Then there exists a closed hyperplane  $H$  that separates  $A$  and  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $C = \{x \in X : x = y - z, y \in A, z \in B\}$ . It is easily seen that the set  $C$  is convex. Since

$$C = \cup_{z \in B} (A - z)$$

and  $A$  is open so is  $C$ .  $C$  is not empty and moreover, the origin  $0 \notin C$ . By the previous lemma there exists a bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $X$  which separates  $C$  and the origin, i.e.,  $f(x) < 0$  all  $x \in C$ , or  $f(y - z) < 0$  for all  $y \in A, z \in B$ . Hence we have that  $f(y) < f(z)$  for all  $y \in A, z \in B$ . From this it follows that

$$\sup_{y \in A} f(y) \leq \inf_{z \in B} f(z)$$

and choosing  $\alpha$  between these two numbers yields the desired hyperplane.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.8.** *Let  $A, B$  be two non-empty convex and disjoint sets. Assume that  $A$  is compact and  $B$  is closed. Then there exists a closed hyperplane that separates the sets strictly.*

*Proof.* Consider the set

$$A_\varepsilon = A + B(0, \varepsilon), B_\varepsilon = B + B(0, \varepsilon).$$

Recall that  $A_\varepsilon$  is the set of all vectors  $x$  that can be written as  $x = y + z$  where  $y \in A$  and  $z \in B(0, \varepsilon)$ . Both sets  $A_\varepsilon$  and  $B_\varepsilon$  are non-empty, open and convex. This is trivial to verify. For  $\varepsilon$  small enough  $A_\varepsilon \cap B_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ . If not there would be a sequence  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence of points  $x_n$  such that  $x_n \in A_{\varepsilon_n} \cap B_{\varepsilon_n}$ . This means that  $x_n = y_n + z_n$  where  $y_n \in A$  and  $z_n \in B(0, \varepsilon_n)$  and since  $A$  is compact there is a convergent subsequence  $y_{n_k}$  which converges to some point in  $y \in A$ . Hence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow y \in A$  and since  $B$  is closed  $y \in B$ , a contradiction. By the previous theorem, there exists a closed hyperplane that separates  $A_\varepsilon$  and  $B_\varepsilon$ . Hence, there exists a bounded linear functional  $f$  and a number  $\alpha$  such that

$$f(x + z_1) \leq \alpha \leq f(y + z_2), x \in A, y \in B, z_1, z_2 \in B(0, \varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$f(x) + \varepsilon \|f\| \leq \alpha \leq f(y) - \varepsilon \|f\|, x \in A, y \in B.$$

$\square$

**Remark 0.9.** *If the underlying space is finite dimensional, then a stronger statement holds. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, and convex, then they can be separated by a hyperplane. No additional assumptions on  $A$  and  $B$  are needed. This is false if the underlying space is infinite dimensional as the following example again taken from Brezis's book, shows.*

Take  $X$  to be  $\ell_1$ , i.e., the space of all summable sequences. Let  $X$  be the set of all sequences of the form

$$x_{2n} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$x = (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, x_5, 0, \dots)$$

where we, of course, assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{2j-1}| < \infty$$

Consider the set  $Y$  given by all sequence that satisfy

$$y_{2n} = \frac{1}{2^n} y_{2n-1} ,$$

i.e., the sequences of the form

$$y = (y_1, \frac{y_1}{2}, y_3, \frac{y_3}{2^2}, y_5, \frac{y_5}{2^3}, \dots)$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |y_{2j-1}| < \infty .$$

It is easy to see that  $X$  as well as  $Y$  are closed subspaces of  $\ell_1$ . Consider the point in  $\ell_1$  given by  $c_{2n-1} = 0$  and  $c_{2n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$ , i.e.,

$$c = (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2^2}, 0, \frac{1}{2^3}, 0, \frac{1}{2^4}, \dots) .$$

This point is neither in  $X$  nor in  $Y$ . However, it is in the closure of the sum,  $\overline{X + Y}$ , in fact we have

$$\overline{X + Y} = \ell_1 .$$

To prove this consider the set  $S$  of all sequences  $z \in \ell_1$  that have only finitely many non-zero elements. We know that  $\overline{S} = \ell_1$ . However, every element in  $S$  can be written as a sum of points in  $X$  and in  $Y$ . We simply have to consider the system of equations

$$z_j = x_j + y_j , j = 1, 2, 3, \dots ,$$

i.e.,

$$z_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1} + y_{2n-1} , z_{2n} = \frac{y_{2n-1}}{2^n} , n = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

which is solved by setting

$$y_{2n-1} = 2^n z_{2n} , x_{2n-1} = z_{2n-1} - 2^n z_{2n} .$$

Because the sequence  $z$  consists of zeros for all but finitely many terms,

$$\sum_j |y_{2j-1}| < \infty , \sum_j |x_{2j-1}| < \infty .$$

Hence,  $\overline{X + Y} = \ell_1$ . Note that  $c \notin X + Y$  since this means that

$$y_{2n-1} = 1 , y_{2n} = \frac{1}{2^n} , x = 0 .$$

The sequence  $y_j$  is not summable. This example shows that, in general, it is not true that whenever  $X, Y$  are closed subspaces then  $X + Y$  is closed. Note that this carries over to  $\ell_p$   $1 < p < \infty$ . Now define the set

$$A = X - c , B = Y .$$

Both sets are closed and disjoint, for if  $d \in A \cap B$  then

$$x - c = d = y$$

for some  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  and hence  $c = x - y$  which is not true. Hence, we have two disjoint closed sets  $A, B$ . The set  $B$  is linear and the set  $A$  is affine. Moreover,  $\overline{A + B} = \ell_1$ . That the two sets cannot be separated by a closed hyperplane hinges now on the following lemma.

**Lemma 0.10.** *Let  $B$  be a linear space and  $f$  a linear functional. Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(y) \geq \alpha$ . Then  $f(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in B$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $0 = f(0) \geq \alpha$  implies that  $\alpha \leq 0$ . Further for any  $\lambda > 0$  and  $y \in B$ ,  $f(\lambda y) \geq \alpha$  and hence  $f(y) \geq \alpha/\lambda$ . Since  $\lambda$  may be any positive number we have that  $f(y) \geq 0$  for all  $y \in B$ . Hence, we may choose  $\alpha = 0$ . The relation  $-f(y) = f(-y) \geq 0$  implies that  $f(y) \leq 0$  for all  $y \in B$  and hence  $f(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in B$ . □

Suppose that there exists a bounded linear functional  $f$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f(x) \leq \alpha, x \in A, f(y) \geq \alpha, y \in B.$$

From the previous lemma we may choose  $\alpha = 0$  and we also have that  $f(y) = 0, y \in B$  and  $f(x) \leq 0, x \in A$ . Pick any  $z \in \ell_1$ . There exists a sequence of points  $x_n \in A$  and  $y_n \in B$  so that  $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n)$ . Hence, since  $f$  is bounded we have that

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n + y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(x_n) + f(y_n)] \leq 0.$$

By replacing  $z$  by  $-z$  we find that  $f(z) = 0$  and since  $z$  is arbitrary,  $f$  must be the zero functional. Hence  $A$  and  $B$  cannot be separated.