## PROOF OF THE HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

We first start with the real case. A convenient notion is the one of a sublinear function p. This is a non-negative function that satisfies

$$p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x) , \lambda \ge 0$$

and

$$p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$$

Note that we do not require that p(x) = p(-x), i.e., that p is symmetric. An example of a sublinear function is a norm. This, however, is a much stronger notion, since we have that  $\|\lambda x\| = \lambda \|x\|$  also for  $\lambda \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** Let L be a linear space over the real numbers and p(x) be a sublinear function on L. Let  $L_0$  be a linear subspace of L and  $f_0$  be a linear functional defined on  $L_0$ . Assume that

$$f_0(x) \le p(x)$$
 for all  $x \in L_0$ .

Then there exists a linear functional f defined on L such that

$$f(x) = f_0(x)$$
 for all  $x \in L_0$ 

and

$$f(x) \le p(x)$$
 for all  $x \in L$ .

Note that if  $f(x) \le p(x)$  and  $-f(x) = f(-x) \le p(-x)$ . Hence, such a functional is in some sense bounded.

*Proof.* We start by going from a the space  $L_0$  up by one dimension. Pick any vector  $y \notin L_0$  and consider the span  $L_1 = \langle y, L \rangle$ . If  $z \in L_1$  we can write it in a unique way as

$$z = \lambda y + x$$
,  $x \in L_0$ .

Hence,

$$f_1(z) = \lambda f_1(y) + f_1(x) = \lambda f_1(y) + f_0(x)$$

Our problem is, to choose  $C := f_1(y)$  in such a way that  $f_1(z) \leq p(z)$  for all  $z \in L_1$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda C + f_0(x_1) \le p(\lambda y + x_1) , \lambda \ge 0$$

as well as

$$-\lambda C + f_0(x_2) \le p(-\lambda y + x_2) , \lambda \ge 0$$
.

Let us write out these inequalities in the form

$$-p(-y+\frac{x_2}{\lambda})+\frac{f_0(x_2)}{\lambda} \le C \le p(y+\frac{x_1}{\lambda})-\frac{f_0(x_1)}{\lambda} \ .$$

Here we have assumed that  $\lambda > 0$ , the case  $\lambda = 0$  being trivial. Hence, our problem is to show that for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all  $x_1, x_2 \in L_0$  we have that

$$-p(-y + \frac{x_2}{\lambda}) + \frac{f_0(x_2)}{\lambda} \le p(y + \frac{x_1}{\lambda}) - \frac{f_0(x_1)}{\lambda}$$

or by setting  $u_j = x_j/\lambda \in L_0$  we have that show that for all  $u_1, u_2 \in L_0$  we have that

$$-p(-y+u_2) + f_0(u_2) \le p(y+u_1) - f_0(u_1) .$$

Once this is established, we have that

$$\sup_{u \in L_0} -p(-y+u) + f_0(u) \le \inf_{u \in L_0} -p(-y+u) + f_0(u)$$

we may choose the C between these values. In other words we have to show that

$$f_0(u_1 + u_2) \le p(y + u_1) + p(-y + u_2)$$

This follows from

$$f_0(u_1 + u_2) \le p(u_1 + u_2) = p(y + u_1 - y + u_2) \le p(y + u_1) + p(-y + u_2)$$
.

The first inequality holds because  $u_1 + u_2 \in L_0$  and the second follows from the subadditivity. Thus, we have found a pair  $(L_1, f_1)$  with the desired properties. Now, consider the collection of all pairs  $P := \{(L_a l p h a, f_\alpha)\}$  such that  $f_\alpha(x) \leq p(x), x \in L_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha(x) = f_0(x), x \in L_0$ . This set is not empty. On this set P we introduce a partial ordering by setting

$$(L_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}) \prec (L_{\beta}, f_{\beta})$$

if  $L_{\alpha}$  is a subspace of  $L_{\beta}$  and  $f_{\beta}(x) = f_{\alpha}(x), x \in L_{\alpha}$ . For any linearly ordered chain there is a supremum element  $(L_{\infty}, f_{\infty}) \in P$  given by

$$L_{\infty} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in chain} L_{\alpha}$$

and if  $x \in L_{\infty}$  then  $x \in L_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in chain$  so that we can set

$$f_{\infty}(x) = f_{\alpha}(x)$$

By Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal element  $(L_{\max}, f_{\max})$ . We have to show that  $L_{\max} = L$ . Suppose not. Then there exists  $y \in L$  but  $y \notin L_{\max}$ . define the subspace  $L_1$  as before and construct as before the functional  $f_1$ . As before we can verify all the properties of the pair  $(L_1, f_1)$  and conclude that  $(L_{\max}, f_{\max}) \prec (L_1, f_1)$  which contradicts the maximality of  $(L_{\max}, f_{\max})$ . hence  $L_{\max} = L$  and  $f_{\max}$  is the desired extension.