

# Nested Cycles in Large Triangulations and Crossing-Critical Graphs

César Hernández-Vélez<sup>1</sup>, Gelasio Salazar<sup>\*,1</sup>, and Robin Thomas<sup>†,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí. San Luis Potosí, Mexico 78000*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology. Atlanta, GA, 30332*

November 25, 2009

## Abstract

We show that every sufficiently large plane triangulation has a large collection of nested cycles that either are pairwise disjoint, or pairwise intersect in exactly one vertex, or pairwise intersect in exactly two vertices. We apply this result to show that for each fixed positive integer  $k$ , there are only finitely many  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graphs of average degree at least six. Combined with the recent constructions of crossing-critical graphs given by Bokal, this settles the question of for which numbers  $q > 0$  there is an infinite family of  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graphs of average degree  $q$ .

## 1 Introduction

All *graphs* in this paper are finite, and may have loops and parallel edges. The *crossing number* of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{cr}(G)$ , is the minimum, over all drawings  $\gamma$  of  $G$  in the plane, of the number of crossings in  $\gamma$ . (We will formalize the notion of a drawing later.)

A graph  $G$  is  *$k$ -crossing-critical* if the crossing number of  $G$  is at least  $k$  and  $\text{cr}(G - e) < k$  for every edge  $e$  of  $G$ . The study of crossing-critical graphs is a central part of the emerging structural theory of crossing numbers. Good examples of this aspect of crossing numbers are Hliněný's proof that  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs have bounded path-width [5]; Fox and Tóth's work on the decay of crossing numbers [3]; and Dvořák and Mohar's ingenious construction, for each integer  $k \geq 171$ , of  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs of arbitrarily large maximum degree [9].

The earliest interesting, nontrivial construction of  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs is due to Širáň [17], who gave examples of infinite families of  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs for fixed values

---

\*Partially supported by CONACYT Grant 106432.

†Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0739366.

of  $k$ . These constructions involve graphs with parallel edges. Shortly afterwards, Kochol [7] gave an infinite family of 2-crossing-critical, simple 3-connected graphs.

In their influential paper on crossing-critical graphs, Richter and Thomassen [11] proved that  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs have bounded crossing number. Richter and Thomassen also investigated regular simple crossing-critical graphs. They used their aforementioned result to prove that for each fixed  $k$ , there are only finitely many  $k$ -crossing-critical 6-regular simple graphs, and also constructed an infinite family of 3-crossing-critical, simple 4-connected 4-regular graphs.

We note that degree two vertices affect neither the crossing number nor the crossing criticality of a graph. Also, the crossing number of a disconnected graph is clearly the sum of the crossing numbers of its connected components. Thus the interest in crossing-critical graphs is focused on connected graphs with minimum degree at least 3.

The construction of Richter and Thomassen was generalized in [16], where it was shown that for every rational number  $q \in [4, 6)$ , there exists an integer  $k_q$  such that there is an infinite family of  $k_q$ -crossing-critical simple graphs with average degree  $q$ . Pinontoan and Richter [10] extended the range to every rational  $q \in [3.5, 6)$ , and recently Bokal [2] used his novel technique of zip products to describe a construction that yields an infinite family for every rational  $q \in (3, 6)$ .

What about  $q = 3$  or  $q \geq 6$ ? Let  $G$  and  $H$  be simple 3-regular graphs. Since  $G$  has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of  $H$  if and only if  $H$  is isomorphic to a minor of  $G$ , the Graph Minor Theorem [15] implies that for every integer  $k \geq 1$  there are only finitely many  $k$ -crossing-critical 3-regular simple graphs. In fact, this does not need the full strength of the Graph Minor Theorem; by Hliněný's result [5] that  $k$ -crossing-critical graphs have bounded path-width all that is needed is the fact that graphs of bounded path-width are well-quasi-ordered, which is a lot easier than the general Graph Minor Theorem. On the other hand, it follows easily from the techniques in [11] that for each fixed positive integer  $k$  and rational  $q > 6$  there are only finitely many  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graphs with average degree  $q$ . Thus the only remaining open question is whether for some  $k$  there exists an infinite family of  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graphs of average degree six. In this paper we answer this question in the negative, as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each fixed positive integer  $k$ , the collection of  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graphs with average degree at least six is finite.*

In fact, we prove in Theorem 3.5 below that the conclusion holds for graphs of average degree at least  $6 - c/n$ , where  $c$  is an absolute constant, and  $n$  is the number of vertices of the graph. The assumption that  $G$  be simple cannot be omitted: as shown in [11], for each integer  $p \geq 1$  there is an infinite family of  $4p$ -regular  $3p^2$ -crossing-critical (nonsimple) graphs. Moreover, by adding edges (some of them parallel) to the 4-regular 3-crossing-critical graphs  $H_m$  in [11], it is possible to obtain an infinite family of 6-regular 12-crossing-critical (nonsimple) graphs.

The crucial new result behind the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following theorem, which may be of independent interest. Let  $\gamma$  be a planar drawing of a graph  $G$ , and let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $G$ . We say that  $H$  is *crossing-free* in  $\gamma$  if no edge of  $H$  is crossed in  $\gamma$  by another edge of  $G$ . A sequence  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  of cycles in  $G$  is a *nest* in  $\gamma$  if the cycles are

pairwise edge-disjoint, each of them is crossing-free in  $\gamma$ , and for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$  the cycle  $\gamma(C_{i+1})$  is contained in the closed disk bounded by  $\gamma(C_i)$ . We say that  $t$  is the *size* of the nest. If  $X \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $s := |X|$  and  $V(C_i) \cap V(C_j) = X$  for every two distinct indices  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , then we say that  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  is an  $s$ -nest.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For every integer  $k$  there exists an integer  $n$  such that every planar triangulation on at least  $n$  vertices has an  $s$ -nest of size at least  $k$  for some  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .*

To deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2 we prove that a  $k$ -crossing-critical graph cannot have a large  $s$ -nest for any  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . For  $s = 2$  this was shown in [6], but we give a shorter proof with a slightly better bound.

We formalize the notion of a planar drawing as follows. By a *polygonal arc* we mean a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  which is the union of finitely many straight line segments and is homeomorphic to the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The images of 0 and 1 under the homeomorphism are called the *ends* of  $A$ . A *polygon* is a set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  which is the union of finitely many straight line segments and is homeomorphic to the unit circle  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . Let  $G$  be a graph. A *drawing* of  $G$  is a mapping  $\gamma$  with domain  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  such that

- (i)  $\gamma(v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,
- (ii)  $\gamma(v) \neq \gamma(v')$  for distinct  $v, v' \in V(G)$ ,
- (iii) for every non-loop edge  $e \in E(G)$  with ends  $u$  and  $v$  there exists a polygonal arc  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with ends  $\gamma(u)$  and  $\gamma(v)$  such that  $\gamma(e) = A - \{u, v\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 - \gamma(V(G))$ ,
- (iv) for every loop  $e \in E(G)$  incident with  $u \in V(G)$  there exists a polygon  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  containing  $\gamma(u)$  such that  $\gamma(e) = P - \{u\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 - \gamma(V(G))$ , and
- (v) if  $e, e' \in E(G)$  are distinct, then  $\gamma(e) \cap \gamma(e')$  is finite.

If  $e, e' \in E(G)$  are distinct and  $\gamma(e) \cap \gamma(e') \neq \emptyset$ , then we say that  $e$  and  $e'$  *cross* in  $\gamma$  and that every point of  $\gamma(e) \cap \gamma(e')$  is a *crossing*. (Thus a point where  $\gamma(e)$  and  $\gamma(e')$  “touch” also counts as a crossing.) If  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , then by  $\gamma(H)$  we denote the image of  $H$  under  $\gamma$ ; that is, the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that either are equal to  $\gamma(v)$  for some  $v \in V(H)$  or belong to  $\gamma(e)$  for some  $e \in E(H)$ . A *plane graph* is a graph  $G$  such that  $V(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , every edge of  $G$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the identity mapping  $V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow V(G) \cup E(G)$  is a drawing of  $G$  with no crossings.

We are restricting ourselves to piecewise linear drawings merely for convenience. This restriction does not change the class of graphs that admit drawings with a specified number of crossings, while piecewise linear drawings are much easier to handle.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 2 and Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.

## 2 Finding a nest

A *tree decomposition* of a graph  $G$  is a triple  $(T, W, r)$  where  $T$  is a tree,  $r \in V(T)$  and  $W = (W_t : t \in V(T))$  is a collection of subsets of  $V(G)$  such that

- (T1)  $\bigcup_{t \in V(T)} W_t = V(G)$  and every edge of  $G$  has both ends in some  $W_t$ , and
- (T2) if  $t, t', t'' \in V(T)$  and  $t'$  belongs to the unique path in  $T$  connecting  $t$  and  $t''$ , then  $W_t \cap W_{t''} \subseteq W_{t'}$ .

The *width* of the tree-decomposition  $(T, W, r)$  is the maximum of  $|W_t| - 1$  over all  $t \in V(T)$ . Now let  $G$  be a plane graph. We say that the tree-decomposition  $(T, W, r)$  of  $G$  is *standard* if

(T3) for every edge  $e = tt' \in E(T)$  the set  $W_t \cap W_{t'}$  is the vertex-set of a cycle  $C_e$  in  $G$ , and

(T4) if  $e, e' \in E(T)$  are distinct, and  $e$  lies on the unique path from  $r$  to  $e'$ , then  $C_{e'} \neq C_e$  and  $C_{e'}$  belongs to the closed disk bounded by  $C_e$ .

The cycles  $C_e$  will be called the *rings* of  $(T, W, r)$ .

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a triangulation of the plane. Then  $G$  has either a 0-nest of size  $k$ , or a standard tree-decomposition of width at most  $12k - 1$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that  $G$  has no 0-nest of size  $k$ . Let  $(T, W, r)$  be a standard tree-decomposition of  $G$  such that

(a)  $T$  has at least one edge and maximum degree at most three,

(b)  $|W_t| \leq 12k$  if  $t = r$  or if  $t$  is not a leaf of  $T$ ,

(c) each ring of  $(W, T, r)$  has length at most  $8k$ ,

(d) if  $t \in V(T) - \{r\}$  and  $t'$  is the unique neighbor of  $t$  in the path in  $T$  from  $t$  to  $r$ , then  $W_t$  consists precisely of the vertices of  $G$  drawn in the closed disk bounded by  $C_{tt'}$ , and subject to (a)–(d)

(e)  $T$  is maximal.

Such a choice is possible, because of the following construction. Let  $T$  be a tree with vertex-set  $\{t_1, t_2\}$ , let  $C$  be the triangle bounding the outer face of  $G$ , let  $W_{t_1} = V(C)$ , and let  $W_{t_2} = V(G)$ . Then  $(T, W, t_1)$  satisfies (a)–(d).

So let  $(T, W, r)$  satisfy (a)–(e). We claim that  $(T, W, r)$  has width at most  $12k - 1$ . To prove that suppose to the contrary that  $|W_{t_0}| > 12k$  for some  $t_0 \in V(T)$ . Then by (b)  $t_0 \neq r$  and  $t_0$  is a leaf of  $T$ . Let  $t_1$  be the unique neighbor of  $t_0$  in  $T$ , and let  $C$  denote the ring  $C_{t_0t_1}$ . Then  $|V(C)| \leq 8k$  by (c). Let  $\Delta$  denote the closed disk bounded by  $C$ , and let  $H$  be the near-triangulation consisting of all vertices and edges of  $G$  drawn in  $\Delta$ . By (d) we have  $V(H) = W_{t_0}$ . For  $u, v \in V(C)$ , let  $c(u, v)$  (respectively,  $d(u, v)$ ) be the number of edges in the shortest path of  $C$  (respectively,  $H$ ) between  $u$  and  $v$ .

(1)  $c(u, v) = d(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in V(C)$ .

To prove (1) we certainly have  $d(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$  since  $C$  is a subgraph of  $H$ . If possible, choose a pair  $u, v \in V(C)$  with  $d(u, v)$  minimum such that  $d(u, v) < c(u, v)$ . Let  $P$  be a path of  $H$  between  $u$  and  $v$ , with  $d(u, v)$  edges. Suppose that some internal vertex  $w$  of  $P$  belongs to  $V(C)$ . Then

$$d(u, w) + d(w, v) = d(u, v) < c(u, v) \leq c(u, w) + c(w, v)$$

and so either  $d(u, w) < c(u, w)$  or  $d(w, v) < c(w, v)$ , in either case contrary to the choice of  $u, v$ . Thus there is no such  $w$ . Let  $C, C_1, C_2$  be the three cycles of  $C \cup P$ , let  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$  be

the closed disks they bound, and for  $i = 1, 2$  let  $H_i$  be the subgraph of  $H$  consisting of all vertices and edges drawn in  $\Delta_i$ . Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have length at most  $8k$ . Let  $T'$  be the tree obtained from  $T$  by adding two vertices  $r_1, r_2$ , both joined to  $t_0$ . For  $t \in V(T) - \{t_0\}$  let  $W'_t = W_t$ , let  $W'_{t_0} = V(C \cup P)$ , let  $W'_{r_i} = V(H_i)$ , and let  $W' = (W'_t : t \in V(T'))$ . Then  $(T', W', r)$  satisfies (a)-(d), contrary to (e). This proves (1).

(2)  $C$  has length exactly  $8k$ .

To prove (2) suppose for a contradiction that  $C$  has length at most  $8k - 1$ . Let  $uv$  be an edge of  $C$ , and let  $w$  be the third vertex of the face incident with  $uv$  and contained in the disk bounded by  $C$ . Then  $w \notin V(C)$  by (1) and the fact that  $|W_{t_0}| > 12k$ . Let  $T'$  be obtained from  $T$  by adding a new vertex  $r_0$  joined to  $t_0$ , for  $t \in V(T) - \{t_0\}$  let  $W'_t = W_t$ , let  $W'_{t_0} = V(C) \cup \{w\}$ , let  $W'_{r_0} = W_{t_0}$ , and let  $W' = (W'_t : t \in V(T'))$ . Then  $(T', W', r)$  is a standard tree-decomposition satisfying (a)-(d), contrary to (e). This proves (2).

Now let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{8k}$  be the vertices of  $C$  in order. By (1) and [14, Theorem (3.6)] there exist  $2k$  disjoint paths from  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k}\}$  to  $\{v_{4k+1}, v_{4k+2}, \dots, v_{6k}\}$ , and  $2k$  disjoint paths from  $\{v_{2k+1}, v_{2k+2}, \dots, v_{4k}\}$  to  $\{v_{6k+1}, v_{6k+2}, \dots, v_{8k}\}$ . Using those sets of paths it is easy to construct a 0-nest in  $G$  of size  $k$ . In fact, using the argument of [12, Theorem (4.1)] it can be shown that  $G$  has a  $2k \times 2k$  grid minor, and hence a 0-nest of size  $k$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be a given integer, let  $h$  be an integer such that for every coloring of the edges of the complete graph on  $h$  vertices using at most  $12k$  colors, there is a monochromatic clique of size  $24k^2$ , and let  $n = 36k \cdot 2^{h+1}$ . The integer  $h$  exists by Ramsey's theorem. We claim that  $n$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. To prove the claim let  $G$  be a triangulation of the plane on at least  $n$  vertices. By Lemma 2.1 we may assume that  $G$  has a standard tree-decomposition  $(T, W, r)$  of width at most  $12k$ . It follows that  $T$  has at least  $n/(12k)$  vertices. Thus  $|V(T)| > 3 \cdot 2^{h+1} - 2$ , and hence  $T$  has a path on  $h + 1$  vertices starting in  $r$ . Let  $t_0 = r, t_1, \dots, t_h$  be the vertices of one such path, and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$  let  $C_i$  denote the ring  $C_{t_{i-1}t_i}$ . Then by (T3) and (T4)  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_h$  is a sequence of distinct cycles such that for indices  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq h$  the cycle  $C_j$  belongs to the closed disk bounded by  $C_i$ . We shall refer to the latter condition as the nesting property. Let  $K$  be a complete graph with vertex-set  $\{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ . We color the edges of  $K$  by saying that the edge  $ij$  is colored using  $|V(C_i) \cap V(C_j)|$ . By the choice of  $n$  there exist a subsequence  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{24k^2}$  of  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_h$  and an integer  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, 12k - 1\}$  such that  $|V(D_i) \cap V(D_j)| = t$  for every pair of distinct integers  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 24k^2\}$ . Since the sequence  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{24k^2}$  satisfies the nesting property, we deduce that there exists a set  $X$  such that  $V(D_i) \cap V(D_j) = X$  for every pair of distinct integers  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 24k^2\}$ . If  $|X| \leq 1$ , then the sequence  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. We may therefore assume that  $|X| \geq 2$ . Let the elements of  $X$  be numbered  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t = x_0$  in such a way that they appear on  $D_1$  in the order listed. It follows that they appear on each cycle  $D_j$  in the order listed. Now for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 24k^2$  let  $P_{ij}$  be the subpath of  $D_j$  with ends  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  that is disjoint from  $X - \{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$  (if  $|X| = 2$  we number the two subpaths of  $D_j$  arbitrarily). Since the cycles  $D_j$  are pairwise distinct and

$t \leq 12k - 1$ , we deduce that there exists an index  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$  such that the path  $P_{i_j}$  has at least one internal vertex for at least  $2k$  distinct integers  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 24k^2\}$ . Let us fix this index  $i$ , and let  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2k}$  be a subsequence of  $P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots$  such that each  $Q_j$  has at least one internal vertex. It follows that the paths  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2k}$  are internally disjoint and pairwise distinct. Thus  $Q_1 \cup Q_{2k}, Q_2 \cup Q_{2k-1}, \dots, Q_k \cup Q_{k+1}$  is a 2-nest in  $G$  of size  $k$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Using a nest

To prove Theorem 1.1 we need several lemmas, but first we need a couple of definitions. We say that an  $s$ -nest  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  in a drawing  $\gamma$  of a graph  $G$  is *clean* if every crossing in  $\gamma$  belongs either to the open disk bounded by  $\gamma(C_t)$ , or to the complement of the closed disk bounded by  $\gamma(C_1)$ . We say that a drawing  $\gamma$  of a graph  $G$  is *generic* if it satisfies (i)-(v) and

- (vi) every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  belongs to  $\gamma(e)$  for at most two edges  $e \in E(G)$ , and
- (vii) if  $\gamma(e) \cap \gamma(e') \neq \emptyset$  for distinct edges  $e, e' \in E(G)$ , then  $e$  and  $e'$  are not adjacent.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every three integers  $\ell, r, t \geq 0$  there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that for every simple graph  $G$  on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices of average degree at least  $6 - r/n$  and every generic drawing  $\gamma$  of  $G$  with at most  $\ell$  crossings there exists an  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  of size  $t$  for some  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\ell, t, r$  be given, and let  $n_0$  be an integer such that Theorem 1.2 holds when  $k$  is replaced by  $t' := t + 2\ell + r - 6$  and  $n$  is replaced by  $n_0$ . We will prove that  $n_0$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. To that end let  $G$  be a simple graph on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices of average degree at least  $6 - r/n$  and let  $\gamma$  be a generic drawing of  $G$  with at most  $\ell$  crossings. We will prove that  $\gamma$  has a desired  $s$ -nest. Let  $G'$  denote the plane graph obtained from  $\gamma$  by converting each crossing into a vertex. Let  $V_4$  be the set of these new vertices. Then  $|V_4| \leq \ell$ . By (vi) each vertex in  $V_4$  has degree four in  $G'$ , and since  $G$  is simple it follows from (vii) that  $G'$  is simple. Let  $\deg(v)$  denote the degree of  $v$  in  $G'$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of faces of  $G'$ , and for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  let  $|f|$  denote the length of the boundary of  $f$ ; that is, the sum of the lengths of the walks forming the boundary of  $f$ . By Euler's formula we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G')} (6 - \deg(v)) + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} 2(3 - |f|) = 12.$$

But  $\sum_{v \in V(G) - V_4} (6 - \deg(v)) \leq r$  by hypothesis, and so

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (|f| - 3) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_4} (6 - \deg(v)) - 6 + r = |V_4| - 6 + r \leq \ell + r - 6,$$

because every vertex in  $V_4$  has degree four in  $G'$ . Thus  $G'$  has at most  $\ell + r - 6$  non-triangular faces, each of size at most  $\ell + r - 3$ .

Let  $G''$  be the triangulation obtained from  $G'$  by adding a vertex into each non-triangular face and joining it to each vertex on the boundary of that face. Thus every added vertex

has degree in  $G''$  at most  $\ell + r - 3$ . By Theorem 1.2 the triangulation  $G''$  has an  $s$ -nest  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{t'}$  of size  $t'$  for some  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Let  $X$  be the set of vertices every two distinct cycle  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  have in common. Then every vertex of  $X$  has degree at least  $2t'$ , and hence belongs to  $V(G)$ , because every vertex of  $V(G'') - V(G)$  has degree four or at most  $\ell + r - 3$ . Thus at most  $\ell + (\ell + r - 6) = 2\ell + r - 6$  cycles  $C_i$  contain a vertex not in  $G$ , and by removing all those cycles we obtain a desired  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 1$  be integers, let  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $\gamma$  be a drawing of  $G$  with at most  $k$  crossings and an  $s$ -nest of size  $(k + 1)(t - 1) + 1$ . Then  $\gamma$  has a clean  $s$ -nest of size  $t$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{(k+1)(t-1)+1}$  be an  $s$ -nest in  $G$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, (k + 1)(t - 1)$  let  $\Omega_i$  denote the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  obtained from the closed disk bounded by  $\gamma(C_i)$  by removing the open disk bounded by  $\gamma(C_{i+1})$ . Since there are at most  $k$  crossings in  $\gamma$ , it follows that  $\Omega_i$  includes no crossing of  $\gamma$  for  $t - 1$  consecutive integers in  $\{1, 2, \dots, (k + 1)(t - 1)\}$ , say  $i, i + 1, \dots, i + t - 2$ . Then  $C_i, C_{i+1}, \dots, C_{i+t-1}$  is a clean  $s$ -nest of size  $t$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, let  $s \in \{0, 1\}$  and let  $\gamma$  be a drawing of a graph  $G$  with a clean  $s$ -nest of size  $4k + 1$ . If  $\text{cr}(G - e) < k$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ , then  $\text{cr}(G) < k$ .*

**Proof.** If  $C$  is a cycle of  $G$  that is crossing-free in  $\gamma$ , then we denote by  $\Delta(C)$  the disk bounded by  $\gamma(C)$ . Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{4k+1}$  be a clean  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  of size  $4k + 1$ . We may assume that the  $s$ -nest is chosen so that

- (1) for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, 2k$ , if  $D$  is a cycle in  $G$  such that  $D_{i-1}, D, D_{i+1}$  is an  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  and  $\Delta(D_i) \subseteq \Delta(D)$ , then  $D_i = D$ , and
- (2) for  $i = 2k + 2, 2k + 3, \dots, 4k$ , if  $D$  is a cycle in  $G$  such that  $D_{i-1}, D, D_{i+1}$  is an  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  and  $\Delta(D) \subseteq \Delta(D_i)$ , then  $D_i = D$ .

Let  $e \in E(D_{2k+1})$ . By hypothesis there exists a drawing  $\gamma'$  of  $G - e$  with at most  $k - 1$  crossings. Thus at most  $2k - 2$  cycles among  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{2k}$  include an edge that is crossed by another edge in  $\gamma'$ , and similarly for  $D_{2k+2}, D_{2k+3}, \dots, D_{4k+1}$ . Hence there exist indices  $i_2 \in \{2, 3, \dots, 2k\}$  and  $i_4 \in \{2k + 2, 2k + 3, \dots, 4k\}$  such that  $D_{i_2}$  and  $D_{i_4}$  are crossing-free in  $\gamma'$ . Let  $C_1 := D_{i_2-1}$ ,  $C_2 := D_{i_2}$ ,  $C_3 := D_{2k+1}$ ,  $C_4 := D_{i_4}$ , and  $C_5 := D_{i_4+1}$ . Then  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  is a clean  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$ . Let  $H := C_2 \cup C_4$ , let  $B_1$  be the  $H$ -bridge containing  $C_1$ , and let  $B_5$  be the  $H$ -bridge containing  $C_5$ . Since  $H$  is crossing-free in  $\gamma$  we see that  $B_1 \neq B_5$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the face of  $\gamma(H)$  that is incident with edges of both  $C_2$  and  $C_4$ . Thus if  $s = 0$ , then  $\Omega$  is an annulus, and if  $s = 1$  it is a ‘‘pinched annulus’’. An  $H$ -bridge  $B$  of  $G$  will be called *interior* if  $\gamma(B)$  is a subset of the closure of  $\Omega$  and it will be called *exterior* otherwise. We need the following claim.

- (3) *If  $B$  is an exterior  $H$ -bridge of  $G$  with at least two attachments, then either  $B = B_1$ , or  $B = B_5$ .*

To prove (3) let  $B$  be an exterior  $H$ -bridge of  $G$  with at least two attachments. Since  $C_2$  and  $C_4$  are crossing-free in  $\gamma$  it follows that either all attachments of  $B$  belong to  $C_2$ , or they all belong to  $C_4$ . From the symmetry we may assume the former. We may also assume that

$B \neq B_1$ , for otherwise the claim holds. Thus  $B$  is disjoint from  $C_1$ . Since  $B$  has at least two attachments it includes a path  $P$  with both ends in  $C_2$  and otherwise disjoint from it. Since  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  is a clean  $s$ -nest, no edge of  $P$  is crossed by another edge in  $\gamma$ . Thus  $C_2 \cup P$  includes a cycle  $D$  disjoint from  $C_1 = D_{i_2-1}$  with  $\Delta(C_2) \subseteq \Delta(D)$ , contrary to (1). This proves (3).

We may assume, by composing  $\gamma'$  with a homeomorphism of the plane, that  $\gamma(H) = \gamma'(H)$ . We now define a new drawing  $\delta$  of  $G$  as follows. For every vertex and edge  $x$  that belongs to  $H$  or to an interior  $H$ -bridge of  $G$  we define  $\delta(x) = \gamma(x)$ . If  $\gamma'(B_5) \subseteq \Delta(C_4)$ , then for every  $x \in V(B_5) \cup E(B_5)$  we define  $\delta(x) = \gamma'(x)$ ; otherwise we use circular inversion to redraw  $\gamma'(B_5)$  in  $\Delta(C_4)$  and use the inversion of  $\gamma'(B_5)$  to define  $\delta(B_5)$ . We define  $\delta(B_1)$  analogously. Finally, for an  $H$ -bridge  $B$  with at most one attachment we define  $\delta(B)$  by scaling  $\gamma'(B)$  suitably so that it does not intersect any other  $H$ -bridge of  $G$ . Thus every crossing of  $\delta$  is also a crossing of  $\gamma'$ , and hence  $\delta$  has at most  $k - 1$  crossings, as desired.  $\square$

We also need a version of Lemma 3.3 for 2-nests. Such a lemma follows from [6, Theorem 1.3], but we give a proof from first principles, because we have already done a lot of the needed work in the previous lemma. Furthermore, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 imply a small numerical improvement to [6, Theorem 1.3].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $\gamma$  be a drawing of a graph  $G$  with a clean 2-nest of size  $4k + 1$ . If  $\text{cr}(G - e) < k$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ , then  $\text{cr}(G) < k$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed similarly as in Lemma 3.3. Let  $\Delta(C)$  be as in Lemma 3.3. We select our 2-nest so that it satisfies (1) and (2) from Lemma 3.3. We pick  $e \in E(D_{2k+1})$ , but we now require that  $e$  be incident with a vertex in  $X$ . Let  $\gamma'$  be a drawing of  $G - e$  with at most  $k - 1$  crossings. We choose a clean nest  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  in the same way as before, with one caveat: the index  $i_4$  can be chosen so that there exists an index  $i_5$  such that  $i_4 < i_5 \leq 4k + 1$  and  $D_{i_5}$  is crossing-free in  $\gamma'$ . We put  $C_6 := D_{i_5}$ . Now  $\gamma(H)$  has four faces, and we define  $\Omega$  to be the one containing  $\gamma(e)$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$  let  $P_i$  be a subpath of  $C_i$  with ends in  $X$  chosen as follows:  $P_2$  and  $P_4$  are defined by saying that  $P_2 \cup P_4$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$ ,  $P_3$  is defined by  $\gamma(P_3) \subseteq \Omega$ , and  $P_1$  and  $P_5$  are defined by saying that  $\Delta(P_1 \cup P_2)$  and  $\Delta(P_4 \cup P_5)$  are disjoint from  $\Omega$ . We define  $B_1$  as the  $H$ -bridge of  $G$  containing  $P_1$  and  $B_5$  as the  $H$ -bridge containing  $P_5$ . It is now possible that  $B_1 = B_5$ .

Let us say that an  $H$ -bridge is *singular* if its set of attachments is  $X$ . The following is an analogue of claim (3) from Lemma 3.3. The proof follows the same lines, and so we omit it.

(3) *If  $B$  is an exterior  $H$ -bridge of  $G$  with at least two attachments, then either  $B = B_1$ , or  $B = B_5$ , or  $B$  is singular.*

Again, we may assume that  $\gamma(H) = \gamma'(H)$ . If  $B_1 \neq B_5$ , then the argument proceeds in the same way as in Lemma 3.3. All singular  $H$ -bridges can be drawn outside  $\Omega$  so that they will be disjoint from each other and from all other  $H$ -bridges.

Thus we may assume that  $B_1 = B_5$ . Now we may assume, by applying a circular inversion with respect to  $H$  if necessary, that  $\gamma'(B_1)$  lies in the complement of  $\Omega$ . Finally, we may assume that, subject to the conditions already imposed on  $\gamma'$ ,

(4) *the number of  $H$ -bridges  $B$  with  $\gamma'(B)$  contained in the closure of  $\Omega$  is minimum.*

Let  $d_1$  be the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths in  $G - X$  from  $P_2$  to  $P_4$  that are contained in interior  $H$ -bridges, and let  $d_2$  be the maximum number of edge-disjoint such paths contained in exterior  $H$ -bridges. Let  $d'_1, d'_2$  be defined analogously, but with respect to the drawing  $\gamma'$ .

(5)  *$d_1 = d'_1$  and  $d_2 = d'_2$*

To prove (5) we notice that a path in  $G - X$  from  $P_2$  to  $P_4$  belongs to a  $H$ -bridge that has an attachment in both  $P_2 - X$  and  $P_4 - X$ . Let us call such an  $H$ -bridge *global*. Then  $B_1$  is the only global exterior bridge by (3), and  $\gamma'(B_1) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ , because we chose  $\gamma'$  that way. Conversely, if  $B$  is a global interior bridge, then  $\gamma'(B - e)$  lies in the closure of  $\Omega$ , for otherwise  $\gamma'(B - e)$  would have to intersect  $\gamma'(C_6)$  (because  $C_6$  is crossing-free in  $\gamma'$ ), and hence  $B = B_1$ , contrary to the fact that  $B$  is interior. Since  $e$  is incident with  $X$ , claim (5) follows.

(6) *If there exists an exterior singular  $H$ -bridge, then  $d_1 \geq d_2$ .*

To prove (6) let  $B$  be an exterior singular bridge. Let  $d$  be the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths in  $B$  that join the vertices of  $X$ . Then  $d > 0$ , because  $B$  is singular. In  $\gamma$  the bridges  $B$  and  $B_1$  cross at least  $dd_2$  times by definition of  $d$  and  $d_2$ . By Menger's theorem there is a set of edges  $F \subseteq E(B)$  of size  $d$  such that  $B - F$  includes no path joining the two vertices in  $X$ . Let  $J$  be the union of  $H$  and all interior  $H$ -bridges. Similarly, there is a set of edges  $F_1 \subseteq E(J)$  of size  $d_1$  such that  $J - F_1$  has no edge from  $P_2$  to  $P_4$ . Now  $\gamma$  can be changed by redrawing  $B$  inside  $\Omega$ . This can be done in such a way that every edge of  $F$  crosses every edge of  $F_1$ , and these are the only crossings of an edge of  $B$  with an edge not in  $B$ . Thus the redrawing of  $B$  removes the at least  $dd_2$  crossings of  $B$  and  $B_1$  and introduces exactly  $dd_1$  new crossings. Since  $G$  has crossing number exactly  $k$ , the new drawing has at least  $k$  crossings, and hence  $d_1 \geq d_2$ , as desired. This proves (6).

(7) *Every exterior  $H$ -bridge  $B$  satisfies  $\gamma'(B) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ .*

To prove (7) let  $B$  be an exterior  $H$ -bridge. If  $B$  has only one attachment, then it clearly satisfies the conclusion of (7), for otherwise it can be redrawn outside of  $\Omega$ , contrary to (4). Thus  $B$  has at least two attachments, and hence  $B = B_1$ , or  $B$  is singular by (3). If  $B = B_1$ , then the conclusion of (7) holds, and so we may assume that  $B$  is singular. By (6)  $d_1 \geq d_2$ , and hence  $d'_1 \geq d'_2$  by (5). If  $\gamma'(B) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ , then using the argument of (6) we can change the drawing  $\gamma'$  by drawing  $B$  in the complement of  $\Omega$ , contrary to (4). This proves (7).

Let  $\delta$  be a drawing of  $G$  defined to coincide with  $\gamma$  on  $H$  and all interior  $H$ -bridges, and to coincide with  $\gamma'$  for all other  $H$ -bridges. By (7) this is a well-defined drawing of  $G$ , and every crossing of  $\delta$  is a crossing of  $\gamma'$ , because there are no crossings in  $\Omega$ . Thus  $\delta$  has at most  $k - 1$  crossings, as desired.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1, which we restate in a slightly stronger form.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For all integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 0$  there is an integer  $n_0 := n_0(k, r)$  such that if  $G$  is a  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graph on  $n$  vertices with average degree at least  $6 - r/n$ , then  $n < n_0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 0$  be integers, let  $\ell := 2.5k + 16$ , let  $t := 4k(\ell + 1) + 1$ , let  $n_0$  be an integer such that Lemma 3.1 holds, and let  $G$  be a  $k$ -crossing-critical simple graph on  $n$  vertices with average degree at least  $6 - r/n$ . We claim that  $n < n_0$ . To prove the claim suppose to the contrary that  $n \geq n_0$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a drawing of  $G$  with at most  $\ell$  crossings; such a drawing exists by [11, Theorem 3]. By a standard and well-known argument we may assume that  $\gamma$  is generic. By Lemma 3.1 there is an integer  $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and an  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  of size  $t$ , and by Lemma 3.2 there is a clean  $s$ -nest in  $\gamma$  of size  $4k + 1$ . That contradicts Lemma 3.3 if  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ , or Lemma 3.4 if  $s = 2$ . Thus  $n < n_0$ , as desired.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, Planar separators. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **7** (1994), no. 2, 184–193.
- [2] D. Bokal, Infinite families of crossing-critical graphs with prescribed average degree and crossing number. *Journal of Graph Theory*, in press (2009).
- [3] J. Fox and C. .D. Tóth, On the decay of crossing numbers. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98** (2008), no. 1, 33–42.
- [4] J. Geelen, R.B. Richter, and G. Salazar, Embedding grids in surfaces. *European J. Combin.* **25** (2004), no. 6, 785–792.
- [5] P. Hliněný, Crossing–number critical graphs have bounded path–width. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **88** (2003), no. 2, 347–367.
- [6] P. Hliněný and G. Salazar, Stars and Bonds in Crossing–Critical Graphs. Manuscript (2008).
- [7] M. Kochol. Construction of crossing–critical graphs, *Discrete Math.* **66** (1987), no. 3, 311–313.
- [8] B. Oporowski, J. Oxley, and R. Thomas, Typical subgraphs of 3- and 4-connected graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **57** (1993), no. 2, 239–257.
- [9] B. Mohar and Dvořák, Crossing–critical graphs with large maximum degree. Manuscript (2009).
- [10] B. Pinontoan and R.B. Richter, Crossing numbers of sequences of graphs. II. Planar tiles. *J. Graph Theory* **42** (2003), no. 4, 332–341.

- [11] R.B. Richter and C. Thomassen, Minimal graphs with crossing number at least  $k$ . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **58** (1993), no. 2, 217–224.
- [12] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph Minors III. Planar tree-width, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **36** (1984), 49–63.
- [13] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. IV. Tree-width and well-quasi-ordering. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **48** (1990), no. 2, 227–254.
- [14] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph Minors VI. Disjoint paths across a disc, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **41** (1986), 115–138.
- [15] N. Robertson and P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. XX. Wagner’s conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **92** (2004), no. 2, 325–357.
- [16] G. Salazar, Infinite families of crossing-critical graphs with given average degree. *Discrete Math.* **271** (2003), no. 1-3, 343–350.
- [17] J. Širáň, Infinite families of crossing-critical graphs with a given crossing number. *Discrete Math.* **48** (1984), no. 1, 129–132.
- [18] C. Thomassen, A simpler proof of the excluded minor theorem for higher surfaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **70** (1997), no. 2, 306–311.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.