

**Note**

**A Sperner Theorem on Unrelated Chains of Subsets**

JERROLD R. GRIGGS,<sup>\*,†</sup> JÜRGEN STAHL, AND WILLIAM T. TROTTER, JR.\*

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics,  
University of South Carolina,  
Columbia, South Carolina 29208*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received July 12, 1982

A theorem of Sperner [2] states that a collection of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , no two ordered by inclusion, contains at most  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  sets. How many two-element chains  $A \subset B$  of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  can be found such that sets in different chains are not related? More generally, we seek to determine  $f_k(n)$ , defined to be the maximum  $m$  such that there exist subsets  $A(i, j) \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , satisfying

$$\text{for all } i, A(i, 0) \subset A(i, 1) \subset \dots \subset A(i, k) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\text{for all } i, i', j, j', \text{ with } i \neq i', A(i, j) \not\subseteq A(i', j'). \tag{2}$$

We can obtain such a collection of  $m = \binom{n-k}{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor}$  unrelated chains of  $k+1$  sets each as follows: The sets  $A(i, 0)$  are the  $\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor$ -subsets of  $\{k+1, \dots, n\}$ , and for  $j \geq 1$ ,  $A(i, j) = A(i, 0) \cup \{1, \dots, j\}$ . In fact this  $m$  is best-possible for all  $k \geq 0$ , which will follow from this generalization of Lubell's inequality [1].

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $A_1 \subseteq B_1, \dots, A_m \subseteq B_m$  are subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A_i \not\subseteq B_{i'}$ , for  $i \neq i'$ .*

*Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\binom{n - |B_i - A_i|}{|A_i|}} \leq 1.$$

\* Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

† Supported in part by a USC Research and Productive Scholarship.

*Proof.* A maximal chain of subsets is of the form

$$\phi = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_n = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The chain is formed by adding one element at a time in some order. When does such a chain intersect an interval  $[A_i, B_i] = \{C | A_i \subseteq C \subseteq B_i\}$ ? They intersect if and only if all elements of  $A_i$  are added to the chain before any elements outside  $B_i$  are added to the chain. There are  $n - |B_i - A_i|$  elements which are either in  $A_i$  or not in  $B_i$ . The orders in which these elements are added to the chains are equally likely. The proportion of maximal chains which intersect  $[A_i, B_i]$  is thus  $1/\binom{n - |B_i - A_i|}{|A_i|}$ . No chain intersects more than one of the intervals  $[A_i, B_i]$  because if, say,  $S_a \subset S_b$  and  $S_a \in [A_i, B_i]$  and  $S_b \in [A_j, B_j]$  then  $A_i \subseteq B_j$  which implies  $i = j$ . The sum of these proportions is then at most 1, which is the desired inequality. ■

Lubell's inequality is obtained for antichains  $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$  by taking  $B_i = A_i$  for all  $i$ . We now determine  $f_k(n)$ . This reduces to Sperner's theorem for  $k = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.**  $f_k(n) = \binom{n-k}{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor}$ .

*Proof.* For given  $k$  and  $n$ , let  $A(i, j)$  be a collection of  $m = f_k(n)$  chains of subsets  $A(i, j)$  satisfying (1) and (2). Let  $A_i = A(i, 0)$ ,  $B_i = A(i, k)$ . Then,

$$\binom{n - |B_i - A_i|}{|A_i|} \leq \binom{n - k}{|A_i|} \leq \binom{n - k}{\lfloor (n - k)/2 \rfloor}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^m 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \binom{n - k}{\lfloor (n - k)/2 \rfloor} / \binom{n - |B_i - A_i|}{|A_i|} \right) \\ &\leq \binom{n - k}{\lfloor (n - k)/2 \rfloor}, \end{aligned}$$

by the inequality in Theorem 1 which applies to these  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ . The theorem follows by the construction above of a collection with  $\binom{n-k}{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor}$  chains. ■

The problem which motivated this study was to determine the 2-dimension of a union of two-element chains [3]. Theorem 2 above implies the solution to this problem, stated in Theorem 3, and generalized to the union of chains with any number of elements.  $\underline{k}$  denotes a chain with  $k$  elements.  $\underline{2}^n$ , the

product of  $n$  copies of  $\underline{2}$ , is isomorphic to the lattice of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .  $\dim_2(P)$ , the 2-dimension of  $P$ , is the smallest  $n$  such that  $P$  can be embedded in  $\underline{2}^n$  [4].  $mP$  denotes the disjoint union of  $m$  copies of  $P$ . We can determine  $\dim_2(P)$  not just for  $P$  a union of  $m$   $(k+1)$ -chains, but also for a union of  $m$  copies of  $\underline{2}^k$ , because two chains in  $\underline{2}^n$  are unrelated if and only if the full intervals with the same tops and bottoms are unrelated.

**THEOREM 3.** For  $k \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_2(m(k+1)) &= \dim_2(m(\underline{2}^k)) \\ &= \min \left\{ n \mid \binom{n-k}{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor} \geq m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remarks.* 1. Sperner's theorem actually says more than Theorem 2 restricted to  $k=0$ . It states that the only antichain(s) of maximum size in  $\underline{2}^n$  are the collection of all subsets of size  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and, for odd  $n$ , the collection of all subsets of size  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . We conjecture that for general  $k$ , the only maximum-sized collections of chains are obtained in this natural way: The  $A_i$ 's consist of all  $\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor$ -subsets of some  $(n-k)$ -set (or all  $\lceil (n-k)/2 \rceil$ -subsets), and each  $B_i$  equals  $A_i$  with the remaining  $k$  elements added. The chains can be completed between  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  in any fashion. Theorem 1 implies that in any maximum-sized collection, each  $|A_i|$  equals  $\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor$  or  $\lceil (n-k)/2 \rceil$  (but not necessarily all  $|A_i|$  are equal), and that  $|B_i - A_i| = k$  for all  $i$ .

2. Theorem 1 induces a lower bound on the 2-dimension of a union of chains of varying length. Although the bound is sharp when all chains have the same length, this is not true in general. For instance, if  $P$  is a union of  $\underline{1}$ ,  $\underline{2}$ , and  $\underline{3}$ ,  $\dim_2(P) = 5$ , yet the inequality of Theorem 1 works for  $n=4$ , with  $|A_1| = |B_1| = 2$ ,  $|A_2| = 1$ ,  $|B_2| = 2$ ,  $|A_3| = 1$ ,  $|B_3| = 3$ .

3. Determining the  $t$ -dimension of  $P$  (i.e., the minimum  $n$  such that  $P$  can be embedded in  $\underline{t}^n$ ), for  $P$  a union of chains seems to be much more difficult when  $t > 2$ . For the problem of finding the largest size  $m$  of a collection of  $t$ -chains in  $\underline{t}^n$  we conjecture that a result similar to Theorem 3 holds:  $m$  should be given as the size of the largest antichain in  $\underline{t}^{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). The general problem of determining the maximal size of a union of  $k$ -chains that can be embedded in  $\underline{t}^n$  for  $k+1 \leq t$  appears to be totally open.

4. The arguments here can be adapted to prove an inequality for the lattice of subspaces of a finite vector space which is analogous to Theorem 1 for the lattice of subsets.

## REFERENCES

1. D. LUBELL, A short proof of Sperner's theorem, *J. Combin Theory* **1** (1966), 299.
2. E. SPERNER, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.* **27** (1928), 544–548.
3. J. STAHL, "Beiträge zur 2-Dimension geordneter Mengen," Diplomarbeit TH Darmstadt, 1981.
4. W. T. TROTTER JR., Embedding finite posets in cubes, *Discrete Math.* **12** (1975), 165–172.