

a8 => axch

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2004 November 23

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["i:"]; << goedel63.21a; << tools.m

:Package Title: goedel63.21a      2004 November 21 at 11:25 p.m.

It is now: 2004 Nov 23 at 16:26

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                          Revised 2004 November 17

weightlimit = 40
```

summary

This notebook is devoted to the proof that Bertrand Russell's form **a8** of the axiom of choice implies the cross-section form **ac2**. The easier reverse implication had already been established previously. Recall that Russell's form of the axiom of choice says that for any pairwise disjoint collection \mathbf{x} of nonempty sets, there is a set \mathbf{z} whose intersection with each member of \mathbf{x} is a singleton. The form **ac2** says that any set \mathbf{x} has a subset \mathbf{y} which is a function with the same domain. The idea of the proof is to apply Russell's statement to the pairwise disjoint collection of nonempty vertical strips obtained from \mathbf{x} by making vertical sections. Each vertical strip in this collection is a cartesian product $\text{cart}[\text{singleton}[\mathbf{u}], \text{image}[\mathbf{x}, \text{singleton}[\mathbf{u}]]]$, where \mathbf{u} is an element of the domain of \mathbf{x} . The main obstacle that had to be overcome was to verify how the selecting set \mathbf{z} yields a cross-section of \mathbf{x} .

a temporary abbreviation

For convenience, the following abbreviation will be adopted in this notebook:

```
In[2]:= a8 := subclass[
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]]]
```

Note that **cliques[union[DISJOINT,Id]]** is the class of all pairwise disjoint collections of sets, **P[complement[singleton[0]]]** is the class of all collections of non-empty sets, and the class **domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP],range[SINGLETON]]]]** is the class of all sets for which there is a set whose intersection with each member is a singleton.

axch => a8

The implication **axch => a8** has already been established earlier, and is available in the **GOEDEL** program as a temporary rewrite rule:

```
In[3]:= or[not[axch], subclass[
    intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
    domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]]]
```

```
Out[3]= True
```

Restatement:

```
In[4]:= implies[axch, a8]
```

```
Out[4]= True
```

The results of this notebook will justify replacing this temporary rule with the better rule that says in effect **a8 := axch**.

a pairwise disjoint collection

If **x** is a function, then the class **image[CART, composite[x, inverse[SINGLETON]]]** is a pairwise disjoint collection of sets. The first order of business is to show that this class is a set when **x** is a set. To show this, one needs this function:

```
In[5]:= abstract[x, image[CART, composite[x, inverse[SINGLETON]]]]
```

```
Out[5]= composite[CART, cross[SINGLETON, Id]]
```

The axiom of replacement is applied to this function:

```
In[6]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[y, V], FUNCTION[z]],
  member[image[z, y], V], {z -> composite[CART, cross[SINGLETON, Id]],
  y -> composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]]}]
```

```
Out[6]= member[image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]],
  id[domain[setpart[x]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]], V] == True
```

```
In[7]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The pairwise disjointness statement is:

```
In[8]:= SubstTest[implies, FUNCTION[w],
  subclass[cart[image[CART, composite[w, inverse[SINGLETON]]],
  image[CART, composite[w, inverse[SINGLETON]]], union[DISJOINT, Id]],
  w -> composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]]]]
```

```
Out[8]= subclass[
  cart[image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]],
  inverse[SINGLETON]]], image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]],
  id[domain[setpart[x]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]], union[DISJOINT, Id]] == True
```

```
In[9]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Russell's statement is applied to this pairwise disjoint collection of vertical strips:

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[u, v], subclass[v, w]], member[u, w],
  {u -> image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]],
  id[domain[setpart[x]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]], v ->
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  w -> domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]}]
```

```
Out[10]= or[not[
  equal[0, ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]], image[CART, composite[
  VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]]],
  not[subclass[intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]],
  P[complement[singleton[0]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]]]] == True
```

```
In[11]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

extra IMAGE factor

Functions can be characterized by the fact that their vertical sections are singletons. A rewrite rule to this effect was derived earlier, but here this result is needed with an extra **IMAGE** factor. The new result can be deduced from the old one using this rewrite rule:

```

In[12]:= composite[IMAGE[z], VERTSECT[x]] // ReInNormality // Reverse
Out[12]= composite[VERTSECT[composite[z, x]], id[domain[VERTSECT[x]]]] ==
  composite[IMAGE[z], VERTSECT[x]]

In[13]:= composite[VERTSECT[composite[z_, x_]], id[domain[VERTSECT[x_]]]] :=
  composite[IMAGE[z], VERTSECT[x]]

```

The result is:

```

In[14]:= Map[subclass[#, range[SINGLETON]] &,
  ImageComp[VERTSECT[composite[z, x]], id[domain[VERTSECT[x]]], y]]
Out[14]= subclass[image[IMAGE[z], image[VERTSECT[x], y]], range[SINGLETON]] ==
  and[FUNCTION[composite[thinpart[composite[z, x]],
  id[intersection[y, domain[VERTSECT[x]]]]]], subclass[
  intersection[y, domain[VERTSECT[x]]], image[inverse[x], domain[z]]]]

In[15]:= subclass[image[IMAGE[z_], image[VERTSECT[x_], y_]], range[SINGLETON]] :=
  and[FUNCTION[composite[thinpart[composite[z, x]],
  id[intersection[y, domain[VERTSECT[x]]]]]], subclass[
  intersection[y, domain[VERTSECT[x]]], image[inverse[x], domain[z]]]]

```

cross identity

The following **cross** identity was established earlier for the special case that $z = \mathbf{V}$, but in this notebook, this more general result is needed.

```

In[16]:= composite[cross[x, y], id[id[z]]] // ReInNormality
Out[16]= composite[cross[x, y], id[id[z]]] ==
  composite[intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], x],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], y]], id[z], inverse[DUP]]

In[17]:= composite[cross[x_, y_], id[id[z_]]] :=
  composite[intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], x],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], y]], id[z], inverse[DUP]]

```

Application:

```

In[18]:= (SubstTest[subclass,
  image[IMAGE[id[z]], image[VERTSECT[cross[Id, w]], id[domain[w]]]],
  range[SINGLETON], w → setpart[x]]) // InvertFix

Out[18]= subclass[image[IMAGE[id[z]], image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]],
  id[domain[setpart[x]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]], range[SINGLETON]] =
and[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]],
  subclass[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]]]

In[19]:= subclass[image[IMAGE[id[z_]], image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x_]],
  id[domain[setpart[x_]]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]], range[SINGLETON]] :=
and[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]],
  subclass[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]]]

```

hiding the construction

The idea now is to hide the details of the construction. The following lemma eliminates the variable z from the conclusion:

```

In[20]:= SubstTest[implies, member[u, v], not[empty[v]],
  {u → composite[Id, intersection[setpart[x], z]], v → X[setpart[x]]}]

Out[20]= or[not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]]],
  not[equal[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]]] = True

In[21]:= (% /. {x → x_, z → z_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed

```

An application of **AssertTest** is needed to convert an inclusion to an equivalent equation, needed for pattern matching.

```

In[22]:= implies[subclass[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]],
  equal[domain[setpart[x]],
  fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]] // AssertTest

Out[22]= or[equal[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]],
  not[subclass[domain[setpart[x]],
  fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]]] = True

In[23]:= (% /. {x → x_, z → z_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed

```

An application of **NotNotTest** is needed to simplify the membership statements in the following.

```
In[24]:= implies[
  member[z, ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]], image[CART, composite[
    VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]],
  member[composite[Id, intersection[setpart[x], z]],
    X[setpart[x]]] // NotNotTest
```

```
Out[24]= or[and[not[equal[0, domain[setpart[x]]], not[
  subclass[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]],
  equal[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[z, V]]] == True
```

```
In[25]:= (% /. {x -> x_, z -> z_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The construction is now hidden:

```
In[26]:= Map[not,
  SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]], {p1 ->
    member[z, ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]], image[CART, composite[
      VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]],
    p2 -> member[composite[Id, intersection[setpart[x], z]], X[setpart[x]]],
    p3 -> not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]]]}]
```

```
Out[26]= or[not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[z, V]], not[subclass[domain[setpart[x]],
    fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]]] == True
```

```
In[27]:= (% /. {x -> x_, z -> z_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Another application of **NotNotTest** is needed to prepare for the next step.

```
In[28]:= implies[
  member[z, ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]], image[CART, composite[
    VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]], inverse[SINGLETON]]]],
  not[empty[X[setpart[x]]]] // NotNotTest
```

```
Out[28]= or[and[not[equal[0, domain[setpart[x]]], not[
  subclass[domain[setpart[x]], fix[composite[inverse[z], setpart[x]]]],
  not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[composite[Id, intersection[z, setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[z, V]]] == True
```

```
In[29]:= (% /. {x -> x_, z -> z_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The variable **z** is now eliminated:

```
In[30]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, z, implies[member[z, u], not[empty[v]]],
  {u -> ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]],
    image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]],
      inverse[SINGLETON]]]}, v -> X[setpart[x]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[30]= or[equal[0, ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]],
  image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]],
    inverse[SINGLETON]]]}, not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]]]] = True
```

```
In[31]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The next step is to eliminate the literal containing **ub**.

```
In[32]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 -> a8, p2 -> not[empty[ub[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]],
    image[CART, composite[VERTSECT[setpart[x]], id[domain[setpart[x]]],
      inverse[SINGLETON]]]}], p3 -> member[setpart[x], SELECT]}]]
```

```
Out[32]= or[not[equal[0, X[setpart[x]]], not[subclass[
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]]]]] = True
```

```
In[33]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

eliminating the variable **x**

Eliminating the variable **x** yields the result that **a8** => **axch**.

```
In[34]:= Map[equal[V, #] &,
  SubstTest[class, x, implies[subclass[u, v], member[setpart[x], w]], {u ->
    intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  v -> domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]},
  w -> SELECT}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[34]= or[axch, not[subclass[
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]]]]] = True
```

```
In[35]:= % /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

It follows that **a8** and **axch** are equivalent.

```
In[36]:= equiv[subclass[
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]], axch]
```

```
Out[36]= True
```

```
In[37]:= subclass[
  intersection[cliques[union[DISJOINT, Id]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]],
  domain[UB[image[inverse[CAP], range[SINGLETON]]]] := axch
```