

axch => image[Q, Ω] = V

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2011 July 8

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.11jul08a
      :Package Title: goedel.11jul08a          2011 July 8 at 10:20 a.m.
      Loading takes about eleven minutes, half that time due to builtin pauses.
      It is now: 2011 Jul 8 at 16:47
      Loading Simplification Rules
      TOOLS.M is now incorporated in the GOEDEL program as of 2010 September 3
      weightlimit = 40
      Loading completed.
      It is now: 2011 Jul 8 at 16:58
```

summary

In this notebook it is shown that the axiom of choice is equivalent to the statement that every set is equipollent to some ordinal.

introduction

The goal in this notebook is to show that $\mathbf{axch} \iff \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{image}[Q, \Omega]$. The implication in one direction has already been derived 2005 July 20 in the posted notebook **wo-ac.nb**.

```
In[2]:= implies[equal[V, image[Q, OMEGA]], axch]
```

```
Out[2]= True
```

In deriving the converse, one can use Zorn's lemma because the hypothesis is that **axch** holds. The following simple version of Zorn's lemma will suffice:

```
In[3]:= implies[and[axch, equal[Uchains[t], t], member[t, V]], not[empty[maximal[S, t]]]]
```

```
Out[3]= True
```

The intention is to apply Zorn's lemma to the class $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{BIJ} \cap \mathbf{image}[\mathbf{inverse}[\mathbf{IMAGE}[\mathbf{FIRST}], \Omega] \cap \mathbf{P}[\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{x}]$, where \mathbf{x} is any set. This class \mathbf{t} is the class of all bijections whose domain is an ordinal, and whose range is contained in \mathbf{x} .

```
In[4]:= member[w, intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, x]]]
Out[4]= and[FUNCTION[inverse[w]], member[w, map[domain[w], x]], member[domain[w], OMEGA]]
```

This class **t** is closed under chain unions because it is the intersection of three classes each of which has this property. The nontrivial fact that **t** is a set was recently shown in the posted notebook **hart-bij.nb** based on the theorem that the Hartogs number of any set is a set. (This fact about Hartogs numbers was derived 2010 November 8 in the posted notebook **hart-do.nb**.)

Theorem. Application of Zorn's lemma to the set **t**.

```
In[5]:= SubstTest[implies, and[axch, equal[Uchains[t], t], member[t, V]],
  not[subclass[t, image[inverse[PS], t]]], t -> intersection[BIJ,
  image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]] // Reverse
Out[5]= or[not[axch], not[subclass[
  intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]],
  image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
  P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]] = True
In[6]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Restatement.

```
In[7]:= implies[axch, not[empty[maximal[S,
  intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]]
Out[7]= True
```

To complete the proof it must be shown that if a set **x** is not equipollent to an ordinal, then there is no maximal bijection from any ordinal into **x**.

maximal bijections

In this section it will be shown that if a set **x** is not equipollent to any ordinal, then there is no maximal bijection from an ordinal into **x**. The idea is to show that if **w** is any bijection from an ordinal into **x**, then **range[w]** cannot be equal to **x**, and so if **y** is any member of **x - range[w]**, one can adjoin the point **pair[domain[w], y]** to **w** to obtain a bijection from the successor of the ordinal **domain[w]** into **x**.

Lemma. Adding a new point to an inverse function.

```
In[8]:= SubstTest[implies, and[FUNCTION[t], not[member[x, domain[t]]],
  FUNCTION[union[t, set[PAIR[x, y]]], t -> inverse[w]] // Reverse
Out[8]= or[FUNCTION[union[cart[set[x], set[y]], inverse[w]],
  member[x, range[w]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]] = True
In[9]:= or[FUNCTION[union[cart[set[x_], set[y_]], inverse[w_]],
  member[x_, range[w_]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w_]]] := True
```

Lemma. If one can add the point $\text{PAIR}[\text{domain}[w], \text{setpart}[w]]$ to $w \in t = \text{BIJ} \cap \text{image}[\text{inverse}[\text{IMAGE}[\text{FIRST}], \Omega] \cap \text{P}[\text{V} \times \text{x}]$ to obtain a larger element of t , then w is not a maximal element of t .

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[or, member[u, w], member[w, image[inverse[PS], t]], not[member[u, z]],
  not[member[union[w, set[u]], t]], {u -> PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]],
  t -> intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]},
  z -> cart[V, V]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[10]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  member[pair[domain[w], setpart[y]], w],
  not[FUNCTION[union[w, cart[set[domain[w]], set[setpart[y]]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[union[cart[set[setpart[y]], set[domain[w]], inverse[w]]],
  not[member[w, V]], not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]],
  not[member[setpart[y], setpart[x]], not[subclass[w, cart[V, setpart[x]]]]] = True
```

```
In[11]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The following a general result about adding a new point to a function.

Theorem. If $t: x \rightarrow y$ and u does not belong to x , then $t \cup (\{u\} \times \{v\})$ is a function.

```
In[12]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p3], implies[and[p1, p2], p4],
  implies[and[p3, p4], p5], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p5]], {p1 -> member[t, map[x, y]],
  p2 -> not[member[u, x]], p3 -> FUNCTION[t], p4 -> not[member[u, domain[t]]],
  p5 -> FUNCTION[union[t, cart[set[u], set[v]]]}]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[12]= or[FUNCTION[union[t, cart[set[u], set[v]]]],
  member[u, x], not[member[t, map[x, y]]] = True
```

```
In[13]:= or[FUNCTION[union[t_, cart[set[u_], set[v_]]]],
  member[u_, x_], not[member[t_, map[x_, y_]]] := True
```

Special theorem. If $x \in \Omega$ and $t: x \rightarrow z$, then $t \cup (\{x\} \times \{y\})$ is a function.

```
In[14]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p2, p3], implies[and[p1, p3], p4],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2], p4]], {p1 -> member[t, map[x, z]], p2 -> member[x, OMEGA],
  p3 -> not[member[x, x]], p4 -> FUNCTION[union[t, cart[set[x], set[y]]]}]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[14]= or[FUNCTION[union[t, cart[set[x], set[y]]]],
  not[member[t, map[x, z]], not[member[x, OMEGA]]] = True
```

```
In[15]:= or[FUNCTION[union[t_, cart[set[x_], set[y_]]]],
  not[member[t_, map[x_, z_]], not[member[x_, OMEGA]]] := True
```

It follows in particular that if $w \in \text{BIJ} \cap \text{image}[\text{inverse}[\text{IMAGE}[\text{FIRST}], \Omega] \cap \text{P}[\text{V} \times \text{x}]$ then $w \cup (\{\text{domain}[w]\} \times \{\text{setpart}[y]\})$ is a function.

```
In[16]:= or[FUNCTION[union[w, cart[set[domain[w]], set[setpart[y]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]], not[member[w, map[domain[w], x]]],
  not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]]]
```

```
Out[16]= True
```

For the present purposes one also needs the following special fact which uses the fact that an ordinal cannot be a member of itself.

Special Theorem. If $x \in \Omega$ and $w: x \rightarrow z$, then $\text{pair}[x, y]$ does not belong to w .

```
In[17]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p2, p6], implies[and[p2, p6], p14],
  not[implies[p2, p14]], {p2 -> and[member[x, OMEGA], member[w, map[x, z]]],
  p6 -> not[member[x, x]], p14 -> not[member[pair[x, y], w]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[17]= or[not[member[w, map[x, z]]], not[member[x, OMEGA]], not[member[pair[x, y], w]]] = True
```

```
In[18]:= or[not[member[w_, map[x_, z_]]],
  not[member[x_, OMEGA]], not[member[pair[x_, y_], w_]]] := True
```

Lemma. A technical simplification.

```
In[19]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p2, p13], implies[p2, p14],
  implies[and[p8, p13, p14], p15], not[implies[and[p2, p8], p15]], {p2 -> member[w,
  intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]],
  p8 -> and[FUNCTION[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]],
  member[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]], V],
  FUNCTION[inverse[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]]],
  member[domain[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]], OMEGA],
  subclass[range[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]], setpart[x]]],
  p13 -> subclass[w, cart[V, setpart[x]]],
  p14 -> not[member[pair[domain[w], setpart[y]], w]],
  p15 -> member[w, image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ,
  image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[19]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
  P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
  not[FUNCTION[union[w, cart[set[domain[w]], set[setpart[y]]]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[union[cart[set[setpart[y]], set[domain[w]]], inverse[w]]]],
  not[member[w, map[domain[w], setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]], not[member[setpart[y], setpart[x]]]] = True
```

```
In[20]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The derivation of the following theorem goes faster if one omits the proof step commented out with (* ... *).

Theorem. If $w \in t = \text{BIJ} \cap \text{image}[\text{inverse}[\text{IMAGE}[\text{FIRST}], \Omega] \cap \text{P}[V \times x]$ and y is an element of $x - \text{range}[w]$, then w is not a maximal member of t .

```

In[21]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p2, p8], implies[p2, p9],
  implies[and[p2, p5b], p10], implies[and[p2, p5a], p11], implies[and[p2, p5a], p12],
  (* implies[and[p2, p8, p9, p10, p11, p14, p15], *)
  not[implies[and[p2, p5a, p5b], p15]], {p2 -> member[w,
    intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]],
    p5a -> member[setpart[y], setpart[x]], p5b -> not[member[setpart[y], range[w]]],
    p8 -> FUNCTION[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]],
    p9 -> member[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]], V],
    p10 -> FUNCTION[inverse[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]]],
    p11 -> member[domain[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]], OMEGA],
    p12 -> subclass[range[union[w, set[PAIR[domain[w], setpart[y]]]], setpart[x]],
    p13 -> subclass[w, cart[V, setpart[x]]],
    p14 -> not[member[pair[domain[w], setpart[y]], w]],
    p15 -> member[w, image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ,
      image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]] // Reverse

Out[21]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  member[setpart[y], range[w]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
  not[member[w, map[domain[w], setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]], not[member[setpart[y], setpart[x]]] = True

```

```

In[22]:= or[member[w_, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x_]]]]],
  member[setpart[y_], range[w_]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w_]]],
  not[member[domain[w_], OMEGA]], not[member[w_, map[domain[w_], setpart[x_]]]],
  not[member[setpart[y_], setpart[x_]]] := True

```

Restatement.

```

In[23]:= implies[member[setpart[y], s], not[member[w, t]] /.
  {s -> dif[setpart[x], range[w]], t -> maximal[S,
    intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]}

```

Out[23]= True

eliminating the variable y

In this section, the variable y is eliminated. This turned out to be technically difficult, and required temporarily clearing the **cond** and **simplify** flags.

Lemma. (Eliminating the **setpart** wrapper on y .)

```
In[24]:= SubstTest[implies, equal[y, setpart[t]], implies[member[y, dif[setpart[x], range[w]]],
  not[member[w, maximal[S, intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
    P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]], t → y] // Reverse
```

```
Out[24]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  member[y, range[w]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
  not[member[w, map[domain[w], setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[y, setpart[x]], not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]]] == True
```

```
In[25]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_, w → w_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Lemma. An application of double negation.

```
In[26]:= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  member[setpart[y], range[w]], not[FUNCTION[w]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
  not[member[w, V]], not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]],
  not[member[setpart[y], setpart[x]]],
  not[subclass[w, cart[V, setpart[x]]]] // NotNotTest
```

```
Out[26]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  member[setpart[y], range[w]], not[FUNCTION[w]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
  not[member[w, V]], not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]],
  not[member[setpart[y], setpart[x]], not[subclass[w, cart[V, setpart[x]]]]] == True
```

```
In[27]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_, w → w_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The hard step is to use `class` to eliminate `y`. Here two flags were temporarily cleared.

```
In[28]:= cond = False; simplify = False;
```

Lemma. (Eliminating the variable `y`. Here again double negation was used to simplify the final result.)

```
In[29]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, y, member[setpart[y], t],
  t → union[complement[image[V, intersection[BIIJ, set[w]]]], complement[
    image[V, intersection[OMEGA, set[domain[w]]]], complement[setpart[x]],
    image[V, intersection[OMEGA, image[IMAGE[FIRST], intersection[BIIJ,
      complement[set[w]], image[S, set[w]], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]], image[V,
      intersection[w, complement[cart[V, setpart[x]]]], range[w]]] // MapNotNot
```

```
Out[29]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
  intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]], not[member[w, map[domain[w], setpart[x]]]],
  not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]], subclass[setpart[x], range[w]]] == True
```

```
In[30]:= (% /. {x → x_, w → w_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Restatement.

```
In[31]:= implies[not[subclass[setpart[x], range[w]]], not[member[w, maximal[S,
intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]]]
Out[31]= True
```

The cleared flags can now be reset.

```
In[32]:= cond = True; simplify = True;
```

final steps

Lemma. If a set x is not equipollent to an ordinal, then any bijection w from an ordinal into x is not maximal.

```
In[33]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3], implies[p2, p4],
implies[and[p3, p4], p5], implies[p5, p6], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p6]],
{p1 -> not[member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]]], p2 -> member[w,
intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
p3 -> not[equal[setpart[x], range[w]]], p4 -> subclass[range[w], setpart[x]],
p5 -> not[subclass[setpart[x], range[w]]],
p6 -> not[member[w, maximal[S, intersection[BIJ,
image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]]]] // Reverse
Out[33]= or[member[w, image[inverse[PS],
intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]],
member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[w]]],
not[member[w, map[domain[w], setpart[x]]], not[member[domain[w], OMEGA]]] = True
```

```
In[34]:= (% /. {x -> x_, w -> w_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Theorem. Eliminate the variable w . (This takes quite a while.)

```
In[35]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, w,
implies[not[member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]]], not[member[w, t]], t -> maximal[S,
intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]]
Out[35]= or[member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]],
subclass[intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
P[cart[V, setpart[x]]], image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ,
image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]] = True
In[36]:= or[member[setpart[x_], image[Q, OMEGA]],
subclass[intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
P[cart[V, setpart[x_]]], image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIJ,
image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x_]]]]]]] := True
```

Restatement. If a set x is not equipollent to an ordinal, then there is no maximal bijection from an ordinal into x .

```
In[37]:= implies[not[member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]]], empty[maximal[S,
intersection[BIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]]]
Out[37]= True
```

Theorem. The axiom of choice implies that every set is equipollent to an ordinal.

```
In[38]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 → axch, p2 → not[subclass[intersection[BIIJ, image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA],
    P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]], image[inverse[PS], intersection[BIIJ,
    image[inverse[IMAGE[FIRST]], OMEGA], P[cart[V, setpart[x]]]]]],
  p3 → member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[38]= or[member[setpart[x], image[Q, OMEGA]], not[axch]] == True
```

```
In[39]:= or[member[setpart[x_], image[Q, OMEGA]], not[axch]] := True
```

Lemma. Eliminating the variable x .

```
In[40]:= Map[equal[V, #] &,
  SubstTest[class, x, or[member[setpart[x], t], not[axch]], t → image[Q, OMEGA]]]
```

```
Out[40]= or[equal[V, image[Q, OMEGA]], not[axch]] == True
```

```
In[41]:= % /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The following is a corollary.

Corollary. If the axiom of choice holds, then the relation **SMALLER** is well-founded.

```
In[42]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 → axch, p2 → equal[V, image[Q, OMEGA]], p3 → WELLFOUNDED[SMALLER]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[42]= or[not[axch], WELLFOUNDED[SMALLER]] == True
```

```
In[43]:= or[not[axch], WELLFOUNDED[SMALLER]] := True
```

Theorem. The axiom of choice is equivalent to the equation $V = \text{image}[Q, \Omega]$.

```
In[44]:= equiv[equal[V, image[Q, OMEGA]], axch]
```

```
Out[44]= True
```

```
In[45]:= equal[V, image[Q, OMEGA]] := axch
```

Another immediate corollary is the well-ordering theorem: **axch** implies that every set is the fixed-point class for some well-ordering.

Well-ordering theorem. The axiom of choice implies that every set can be well-ordered.

```
In[46]:= Map[implies[axch, #] &,
  SubstTest[and, equal[u, V], subclass[u, v], {u → image[Q, OMEGA], v → image[FIX, WO]}]]
```

```
Out[46]= or[equal[V, image[IMAGE[inverse[DUP]], WO]], not[axch]] == True
```

```
In[47]:= or[equal[V, image[IMAGE[inverse[DUP]], WO]], not[axch]] := True
```