

double induction, part 1

Johan G. F. Belinfante and Ming Li
2006 April 13

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel80.12a; << tools.m

:Package Title: goedel80.12a          2006 April 12 at 9:25 p.m.

It is now: 2006 Apr 13 at 10:54

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                      Revised 2006 March 7

weightlimit = 40
```

summary

Raymond M. Smullyan and Melvin Fitting present a double induction theorem of a general nature, along with applications to functions x that satisfy `subclass[x, S]`.

```
In[2]:= "Raymond M. Smullyan and Melvin Fitting, Set Theory and the Continuum Problem,
        Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996. (see page 33)";
```

In this notebook, a variant of their double induction theorem is derived for the classic case of the successor function `SUCC`. The general case, as well as the application, will be obtained as a corollary of this special case in a separate notebook.

the hypotheses

The double induction theorem has two hypotheses, the first of which can be viewed as an initial condition. (Comment: The relation x here corresponds what Smullyan and Fitting call \mathbf{R} .) For the classic case, this hypothesis is:

```
In[3]:= assert[forall[u, implies[member[u, omega], member[pair[u, 0], x]]]]
Out[3]= subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]
```

Their second hypothesis can be viewed as a recursion condition. For the classic case this hypothesis is:

```
In[4]:= assert[
        forall[u, v, implies[and[member[u, omega], member[v, omega], member[pair[u, v], x],
        member[pair[v, u], x], member[pair[u, succ[v]], x]]]] // RotateFix
Out[4]= subclass[composite[id[omega], SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x], id[omega]], x]
```

In this notebook, the recursion hypothesis will be simplified slightly as follows: (Note that both hypotheses are satisfied by `cart[omega, omega]`.)

```
In[5]:= hypotheses[x_] := and[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x],
    subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]]
```

The function `SUCC` in the recursion hypothesis can be moved from one side of the inclusion to the other. For convenience, this will be made into a temporary rewrite rule. (One could entertain the idea of making this a permanent rewrite rule, but it is not clear in which direction it would best be oriented.)

```
In[6]:= subclass[intersection[x, inverse[x]], composite[inverse[SUCC], x]] // AssertTest
```

```
Out[6]= subclass[intersection[x, inverse[x]], composite[inverse[SUCC], x]] ==
    subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]
```

```
In[7]:= subclass[intersection[x_, inverse[x_]], composite[inverse[SUCC], x_]] :=
    subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]
```

introducing variables

Introducing the variables `u` and `v`, the initial condition yields:

```
In[8]:= Map[or[member[pair[v, u], x], #] &, SubstTest[implies, and[member[r, s], subclass[s, t]],
    member[r, t], {r -> v, s -> omega, t -> image[inverse[x], set[u]}]]]
```

```
Out[8]= or[member[pair[v, u], x], not[member[v, omega]],
    not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]]] == True
```

```
In[9]:= (% /. {u -> u_, v -> v_, x -> x_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

In particular, when `v = 0`, this reduces to:

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[or, member[pair[v, u], x], not[member[v, omega]],
    not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]], v -> 0]
```

```
Out[10]= or[member[pair[0, u], x], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]]] == True
```

```
In[11]:= (% /. {u -> u_, x -> x_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Similarly, introducing variables into the transposed form of the recursion relation yields:

```
In[12]:= Map[implies[and[member[u, omega], member[v, omega]], #] &,
    SubstTest[implies, and[member[r, s], subclass[s, t], member[r, t],
    {r -> pair[u, v], s -> intersection[x, inverse[x]], t -> composite[inverse[SUCC], x]}]]]
```

```
Out[12]= or[member[pair[u, succ[v]], x], not[member[u, omega]],
    not[member[v, omega]], not[member[pair[u, v], x]], not[member[pair[v, u], x]],
    not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]]] == True
```

```
In[13]:= (% /. {u -> u_, v -> v_, x -> x_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

a key lemma

Lemma.

```
In[14]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p3],
  implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p4], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p4]], {p1 →
    and[member[u, omega], member[v, omega], subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]],
      subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]],
    p2 → member[pair[u, v], x], p3 → member[pair[v, u], x],
    p4 → member[pair[u, succ[v]], x]]}]
```

```
Out[14]= or[member[pair[u, succ[v]], x], not[member[u, omega]], not[member[v, omega]],
  not[member[pair[u, v], x]], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]]] = True
```

```
In[15]:= (% /. {u → u_, v → v_, x → x_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The variable `v` will now be eliminated. To achieve this, the key idea is to turn off the **simplify** flag.

```
In[16]:= simplify = False;
```

```
In[17]:= Map[equal[V, #] &,
  SubstTest[class, v, or[member[pair[u, v], z], not[member[pair[u, v], y]],
    not[subclass[omega, r]], not[subclass[t, w]]], {y → restrict[x, omega, omega],
    z → composite[inverse[SUCC], x], r → image[inverse[x], set[u]],
    t → intersection[x, inverse[x]], w → composite[inverse[SUCC], x]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[17]= or[not[member[u, omega]], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]],
  subclass[intersection[omega, image[SUCC, image[x, set[u]]]], image[x, set[u]]]] = True
```

```
In[18]:= (% /. {u → u_, x → x_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

a first application of induction

Now use induction.

```
In[19]:= Map[implies[member[u, omega], #] &, SubstTest[implies, INDUCTIVE[z],
  subclass[omega, z], z → intersection[omega, image[x, set[u]]]]]
```

```
Out[19]= or[not[member[u, omega]], not[member[pair[u, 0], x]],
  not[subclass[intersection[omega, image[SUCC, image[x, set[u]]]], image[x, set[u]]]],
  subclass[omega, image[x, set[u]]]] = True
```

```
In[20]:= (% /. {u → u_, x → x_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Theorem. (In the terminology of Smullyan and Fitting, this says right-normal implies left-normal.)

```

In[21]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p1, p3],
  implies[p1, p4], implies[and[p1, p3, p4], p5], not[implies[p1, p5]], {p1 ->
    and[member[u, omega], hypotheses[x], subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]},
    p2 -> member[pair[0, u], x], p3 -> member[pair[u, 0], x],
    p4 -> subclass[intersection[omega, image[SUCC, image[x, set[u]]]], image[x, set[u]]],
    p5 -> subclass[omega, image[x, set[u]]]}]]

Out[21]= or[not[member[u, omega]], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[u]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]],
  subclass[omega, image[x, set[u]]] == True

In[22]:= (% /. {u -> u_, x -> x_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed

```

a second application of induction

Theorem.

```

In[23]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p1, p3],
  implies[and[p1, p3], p4], implies[and[p1, p2, p4], p5],
  not[implies[p1, p5]], {p1 -> and[member[u, omega], member[v, omega],
    hypotheses[x], subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[v]]]},
    p2 -> member[pair[u, v], x], p3 -> subclass[omega, image[x, set[v]]],
    p4 -> member[pair[v, u], x], p5 -> member[pair[u, succ[v]], x]}]]

Out[23]= or[member[pair[u, succ[v]], x], not[member[u, omega]],
  not[member[v, omega]], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[v]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]] == True

In[24]:= (% /. {u -> u_, v -> v_, x -> x_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed

```

The variables **u** and **v** will now be eliminated. The result obtained says that the hypotheses imply that **intersection[omega,ub[x,omega]]** is invariant under **SUCC**.

```

In[25]:= Map[equal[0, composite[Id, complement[#]]] &, SubstTest[class, pair[u, v],
  or[member[pair[u, succ[v]], x], not[member[u, omega]],
    not[member[v, omega]], not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
    not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[v]]]], not[subclass[s, t]]],
  {s -> intersection[x, inverse[x]], t -> composite[inverse[SUCC], x]}] // Reverse

Out[25]= or[not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]],
  subclass[cart[omega, intersection[omega, image[SUCC, ub[x, omega]]]], x] == True

In[26]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed

```

Induction is used again.

```
In[27]:= SubstTest[implies, INDUCTIVE[z],
  subclass[omega, z], z -> intersection[omega, ub[x, omega]]]
```

```
Out[27]= or[not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[cart[omega, intersection[omega, image[SUCC, ub[x, omega]]]], x]],
  subclass[cart[omega, omega], x]] = True
```

```
In[28]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Double Induction Theorem.

```
In[29]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 -> hypotheses[x], p2 -> INDUCTIVE[intersection[omega, ub[x, omega]]],
  p3 -> subclass[cart[omega, omega], x]}]]
```

```
Out[29]= or[not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]]], x]],
  subclass[cart[omega, omega], x]] = True
```

```
In[30]:= or[not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x_], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[SUCC, intersection[inverse[x_], x_]], x_]],
  subclass[cart[omega, omega], x_] := True
```

Corollary. The theorem with the original (unsimplified) hypotheses is obtained if one restricts x to natural numbers.

```
In[31]:= SubstTest[implies, hypotheses[y],
  subclass[cart[omega, omega], y], y -> restrict[x, omega, omega]]
```

```
Out[31]= or[not[subclass[omega, image[inverse[x], set[0]]]],
  not[subclass[composite[id[omega], SUCC, intersection[x, inverse[x]], id[omega]], x]],
  subclass[cart[omega, omega], x]] = True
```