

# full and well-founded induction

Johan G. F. Belinfante  
2010 March 10

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.10mar08a;<< tools.m

:Package Title: goedel.10mar08a          2010 March 8 at 4:50 p.m.

It is now: 2010 Mar 10 at 10:49

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                                Revised 2010 February 26

weightlimit = 40
```

---

## summary

Rewrite rules are derived for well-founded induction that closely resemble this standard version of the second form of induction:

```
In[2]:= implies[subclass[intersection[omega, P[x]], x], subclass[omega, x]]

Out[2]= True
```

In particular, it is shown that **omega** can be replaced with any full, regular set. (If the axiom of regularity is assumed, then any set is regular.)

---

## derivation

If a relation **x** is well-founded and if its inverse is thin, then the empty set is the only class that is subvariant under **x**. To obtain a conclusion similar to that in the second form of induction, one need only apply this to the class **domain[x] ~ y**.

Lemma. A basic form of well-founded induction resembling the second form of induction.

```
In[3]:= SubstTest[implies, and[WELLFOUNDED[x], thin[inverse[x]], subclass[t, image[x, t]]],
             equal[0, t], t -> dif[domain[x], y]] // Reverse

Out[3]= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[inverse[x]]]]],
           not[subclass[domain[x], union[y, image[x, complement[y]]]]],
           not[WELLFOUNDED[x]], subclass[domain[x], y]] = True

In[4]:= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[inverse[x_]]]]],
           not[subclass[domain[x_], union[image[x_, complement[y_]], y_]]],
           not[WELLFOUNDED[x_]], subclass[domain[x_], y_]] := True
```

Theorem. A specialization of well-founded induction to restrictions of the membership relation.

```
In[5]:= SubstTest[implies, and[WELLFOUNDED[t], thin[inverse[t]],
  subclass[domain[t], union[image[t, complement[y]], y]],
  subclass[domain[t], y], t → composite[id[x], E]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[5]= or[not[subclass[intersection[P[y], U[x]], y]], not[subclass[U[x], union[x, y]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[composite[id[x], E]]], subclass[U[x], y]] = True
```

```
In[6]:= or[not[subclass[intersection[P[y_], U[x_]], y_]], not[subclass[U[x_], union[x_, y_]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[composite[id[x_], E]]], subclass[U[x_], y_] := True
```

The hypothesis  $U[x] \subset x \cup y$  is certainly satisfied when  $x$  is full, that is, if  $U[x] \subset x$ . Here the wrapper  $tc[x]$  is used for a generic full class.

Corollary. Full induction, using a  $tc$  wrapper.

```
In[7]:= SubstTest[implies,
  and[subclass[intersection[P[y], U[t]], y], subclass[U[t], union[t, y]],
  WELLFOUNDED[composite[id[t], E]]], subclass[U[t], y], t → tc[x]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[7]= or[not[subclass[x, REGULAR]],
  not[subclass[intersection[P[y], U[tc[x]]], y]], subclass[U[tc[x]], y]] = True
```

```
In[8]:= or[not[subclass[x_, REGULAR]],
  not[subclass[intersection[P[y_], U[tc[x_]]], y_]], subclass[U[tc[x_]], y_] := True
```

To eliminate the sum class constructor  $U$ , the preceding result is specialized to a successor set.

Corollary. A form of full induction without the sum class constructor.

```
In[9]:= SubstTest[or, not[subclass[t, REGULAR]], not[subclass[intersection[P[y], U[tc[t]]], y]],
  subclass[U[tc[t]], y], t → succ[setpart[x]]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[9]= or[not[member[setpart[x], REGULAR]],
  not[subclass[intersection[P[y], tc[setpart[x]]], y]],
  subclass[tc[setpart[x]], y]] = True
```

```
In[10]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The double wrapper  $tc[setpart[x]]$  will now be eliminated, one step at a time. First, the  $setpart$  wrapper is eliminated.

Lemma. (Removing the  $setpart$  wrapper.)

```
In[11]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[x, setpart[t]], member[x, REGULAR],
  subclass[intersection[tc[x], P[y]], y]], subclass[tc[x], y], t → x] // Reverse
```

```
Out[11]= or[not[member[x, REGULAR]],
  not[subclass[intersection[P[y], tc[x]], y]], subclass[tc[x], y]] = True
```

```
In[12]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The **tc** wrapper is removed in the following theorem.

Theorem. A generalized second form of induction for any full, regular set **x**.

```
In[13]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[x, tc[t]], member[x, REGULAR],
      subclass[intersection[x, P[y]], y]], subclass[x, y], t → x] // Reverse
Out[13]= or[not[member[x, REGULAR]], not[subclass[intersection[x, P[y]], y]],
      not[subclass[U[x], x]], subclass[x, y]] == True
In[14]:= or[not[member[x_, REGULAR]], not[subclass[intersection[x_, P[y_]], y_]],
      not[subclass[U[x_], x_]], subclass[x_, y_]] := True
```

The term **full induction** will be used in the rest of this notebook to refer to this generalization of the second form of induction.

## examples

Two simple examples of full induction are given in this section. The first special case involves the power class of the set of natural numbers.

Theorem. Full induction for the case of **P[omega]**.

```
In[15]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[t, REGULAR], subclass[intersection[t, P[x]], x],
      subclass[U[t], t]], subclass[t, x], t → P[omega]] // Reverse
Out[15]= or[not[subclass[P[intersection[omega, x]], x]], subclass[P[omega], x]] == True
In[16]:= or[not[subclass[P[intersection[omega, x_]], x_]], subclass[P[omega], x_]] := True
```

A slight variant of this is obtained by restricting attention to finite subsets of the set **omega** of natural numbers.

```
In[17]:= intersection[FINITE, P[omega]]
Out[17]= image[inverse[S], omega]
```

Theorem. A form of full induction for the case of **FINITE ∩ P[omega]**.

```
In[18]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[t, REGULAR], subclass[intersection[t, P[x]], x],
      subclass[U[t], t]], subclass[t, x], t → image[inverse[S], omega]] // Reverse
Out[18]= or[not[subclass[intersection[image[inverse[S], omega], P[x]], x]],
      subclass[image[inverse[S], omega], x]] == True
In[19]:= or[not[subclass[intersection[image[inverse[S], omega], P[x_]], x_]],
      subclass[image[inverse[S], omega], x_]] := True
```

## eliminating variables

When the variable  $y$  in the statement of full induction is eliminated, one obtains a statement involving the compound constructor **allclosed**[ $\text{id}[x]$ ]. The membership rule for this expression is as follows:

```
In[32]:= member[y, allclosed[id[x]]]
Out[32]= and[member[y, V], subclass[intersection[x, P[y]], y]]
```

Lemma. Eliminating the variable  $y$ .

```
In[23]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, t,
      implies[and[member[x, s], subclass[intersection[x, P[t]], t]], subclass[x, t]],
      s → intersection[FULL, REGULAR]]]
```

```
Out[23]= or[not[member[x, REGULAR]],
      not[subclass[U[x], x]], subclass[x, A[allclosed[id[x]]]]] == True
```

```
In[24]:= (% /. x → x_) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The inclusion in the conclusion of the above lemma can be sharpened to an equation.

Theorem. A form of full induction with only one variable.

```
In[30]:= SubstTest[and, implies[p, subclass[x, t]], implies[p, subclass[t, x]],
      {p → and[member[x, REGULAR], full[x]], t → A[allclosed[id[x]]]}
```

```
Out[30]= or[equal[x, A[allclosed[id[x]]]],
      not[member[x, REGULAR]], not[subclass[U[x], x]]] == True
```

```
In[31]:= or[equal[x_, A[allclosed[id[x_]]]],
      not[member[x_, REGULAR]], not[subclass[U[x_], x_]]] := True
```

It is also possible to eliminate both variables, obtaining a variable-free statement of full induction. The resulting statement involves the relation **UB**[**union**[ $E$ , **complement**[ $S$ ]]]. The membership rule for this relation is:

```
In[33]:= member[pair[x, y], UB[union[E, complement[S]]]]
Out[33]= and[member[x, V], member[y, V], subclass[intersection[x, P[y]], setpart[y]]]
```

Theorem. A variable-free statement of full induction.

```
In[35]:= Map[empty[composite[Id, complement[#]]] &, SubstTest[class, pair[x, y],
      implies[and[member[x, s], subclass[intersection[x, P[y]], y]], subclass[x, y]],
      s → intersection[FULL, REGULAR]]]
```

```
Out[35]= subclass[composite[UB[union[E, complement[S]]], id[intersection[FULL, REGULAR]]], S] ==
      True
```

```
In[36]:= subclass[
      composite[UB[union[E, complement[S]]], id[intersection[FULL, REGULAR]]], S] := True
```

Comment. The following specialization of this is already available in the **GOEDEL** program.

```
In[37]:= subclass[composite[UB[union[E, complement[S]]], id[OMEGA]], S]
```

```
Out[37]= True
```