

hom[cat[x]]

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2009 January 23

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.09jan23a;<< tools.m

:Package Title: goedel.09jan23a      2009 January 23 at 12:40 noon

It is now: 2009 Jan 23 at 12:53

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                               Revised 2009 January 20

weightlimit = 40
```

summary

Beyond the general properties satisfied by **hom[x]** are special ones that hold when **x** is a category. In this notebook the **cat[x]** wrapper is used for convenience to derive rewrite rules for such facts. In particular, it is shown that the function **inverse[hom[cat[x]]]** is a functor from the category **cat[x]** to the category **composite[SWAP, RIF]**, known as the pair groupoid. This functor assigns to each morphism **y** of the category **cat[x]** the pair of identity morphisms **APPLY[dom[cat[x]], y]** and **APPLY[cod[cat[x]], y]**. The domain of **hom[cat[x]]** is shown to be a quasi-order (reflexive transitive relation). An explicit counterexample is presented showing that **domain[hom[x]]** need not be transitive when **x** is not a category.

inverse[hom[cat[x]]] as a functor

Lemma.

```
In[2]:= Map[composite[#, cross[DUP, DUP]] &,
  (composite[SWAP, RIF, cross[cross[u, v], cross[u, v]] // ReifTriNormality /.
    {u -> dom[cat[x]], v -> cod[cat[x]]})]
```

```
Out[2]= composite[SWAP, RIF, cross[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], inverse[hom[cat[x]]]] ==
  composite[SWAP, cross[cod[cat[x]], dom[cat[x]]], id[domain[cat[x]]]]
```

```
In[3]:= composite[SWAP, RIF, cross[inverse[hom[cat[x_]]], inverse[hom[cat[x_]]]] :=
  composite[SWAP, cross[cod[cat[x]], dom[cat[x]]], id[domain[cat[x]]]]
```

Lemma.

```
In[4]:= Assoc[cross[dom[cat[x]], cod[cat[x]]], cross[cat[x], cat[x]], DUP]
Out[4]= composite[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], cat[x]] ==
        composite[SWAP, cross[cod[cat[x]], dom[cat[x]]], id[domain[cat[x]]]]
In[5]:= composite[inverse[hom[cat[x_]]], cat[x_]] :=
        composite[SWAP, cross[cod[cat[x]], dom[cat[x]]], id[domain[cat[x]]]]
```

Lemma.

```
In[6]:= ImageComp[cross[dom[cat[x]], cod[cat[x]]], DUP, ids[cat[x]]]
Out[6]= image[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], ids[cat[x]]] == id[ids[cat[x]]]
In[7]:= image[inverse[hom[cat[x_]]], ids[cat[x_]]] := id[ids[cat[x]]]
```

Theorem. The function **inverse[hom[cat[x]]]** is a functor from any category **cat[x]** to the pair groupoid **composite[SWAP, RIF]**.

```
In[8]:= SubstTest[or, functor[w, u, v], not[equal[composite[w, u], composite[v, cross[w, w]]],
        not[equal[domain[w], range[u]]], not[FUNCTION[w]],
        not[subclass[image[w, ids[u]], ids[v]]],
        {w → inverse[hom[cat[x]]], u → cat[x], v → composite[SWAP, RIF]}] // Reverse
Out[8]= functor[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], cat[x], composite[SWAP, RIF]] == True
In[9]:= functor[inverse[hom[cat[x_]]], cat[x_], composite[SWAP, RIF]] := True
```

examples

In this section, some examples are provided to illustrate the theorem derived in the preceding section.

Theorem. The function **DUP** is a functor from the discrete category **inverse[DUP]** to the pair groupoid **composite[SWAP, RIF]**.

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[functor, inverse[hom[cat[x]]],
        cat[x], composite[SWAP, RIF], x → inverse[DUP]] // Reverse
Out[10]= functor[DUP, inverse[DUP], composite[SWAP, RIF]] == True
In[11]:= functor[DUP, inverse[DUP], composite[SWAP, RIF]] := True
```

Lemma. Formula for the ternary **hom** relation for the pair groupoid.

```
In[12]:= SubstTest[intersection, composite[inverse[cod[t]], SECOND],
        composite[inverse[dom[t]], FIRST], t → composite[SWAP, RIF]]
Out[12]= hom[composite[SWAP, RIF]] == cross[inverse[DUP], inverse[DUP]]
In[13]:= hom[composite[SWAP, RIF]] := cross[inverse[DUP], inverse[DUP]]
```

Theorem. The function `cross[DUP, DUP]` is a functor from the pair groupoid `composite[SWAP, RIF]` to itself.

```
In[14]:= SubstTest[functor, inverse[hom[cat[x]]], cat[x],
               composite[SWAP, RIF], x → composite[SWAP, RIF]] // Reverse
Out[14]= functor[cross[DUP, DUP], composite[SWAP, RIF], composite[SWAP, RIF]] = True
In[15]:= functor[cross[DUP, DUP], composite[SWAP, RIF], composite[SWAP, RIF]] := True
```

domain[hom[cat[x]]] is transitive

When `x` is a category, the relation `dom[hom[x]]` consists of all pairs of identities `u, v` for which there is a morphism from `u` to `v`. In this section it is shown that this relation is transitive as well as being reflexive.

Lemma.

```
In[16]:= ImageComp[composite[SWAP, RIF], cross[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], inverse[hom[cat[x]]]], V]
Out[16]= composite[cod[cat[x]], inverse[domain[cat[x]]], inverse[dom[cat[x]]]] =
         composite[domain[hom[cat[x]]], domain[hom[cat[x]]]]
In[17]:= composite[cod[cat[x_]], inverse[domain[cat[x_]]], inverse[dom[cat[x_]]]] :=
         composite[domain[hom[cat[x]]], domain[hom[cat[x]]]]
```

Theorem. The relation `domain[hom[cat[x]]]` is idempotent.

```
In[18]:= ImageComp[inverse[hom[cat[x]]], cat[x], V]
Out[18]= composite[domain[hom[cat[x]]], domain[hom[cat[x]]]] = domain[hom[cat[x]]]
In[19]:= composite[domain[hom[cat[x_]]], domain[hom[cat[x_]]]] := domain[hom[cat[x]]]
```

Corollary.

```
In[20]:= SubstTest[subclass, composite[t, t], t, t → domain[hom[cat[x]]]]
Out[20]= TRANSITIVE[domain[hom[cat[x]]]] = True
In[21]:= TRANSITIVE[domain[hom[cat[x_]]]] := True
```

a counterexample

The relation `domain[hom[x]]` need not be transitive when `x` is not a category. A restriction of the pair groupoid is used in this section to provide a counterexample.

Lemma. A `hom` formula for restrictions of the pair groupoid.

```
In[22]:= SubstTest[intersection, composite[inverse[cod[t]], SECOND],
  composite[inverse[dom[t]], FIRST], t → composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cartsq[x]]]]
Out[22]= hom[composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cart[x, x]]]] = composite[
  cross[inverse[DUP], inverse[DUP]], id[composite[DUP, rfx[x], inverse[DUP]]]]
In[23]:= hom[composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cart[x_, x_]]]] := composite[
  cross[inverse[DUP], inverse[DUP]], id[composite[DUP, rfx[x], inverse[DUP]]]]
```

Lemma.

```
In[25]:= SubstTest[subclass, w, cartsq[fix[w]],
  w -> composite[oopart[t], x, inverse[oopart[t]]] /. t → DUP
Out[25]= REFLEXIVE[composite[DUP, x, inverse[DUP]]] = REFLEXIVE[composite[Id, x]]
In[26]:= REFLEXIVE[composite[DUP, x_, inverse[DUP]]] := REFLEXIVE[composite[Id, x]]
```

The relation $\text{domain}[\text{hom}[x]]$ is reflexive for any class x . For a restriction of $\text{composite}[\text{SWAP}, \text{RIF}]$, one obtains:

```
In[24]:= domain[hom[composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cart[x, x]]]]]
Out[24]= composite[DUP, rfx[x], inverse[DUP]]
```

Lemma. Transitivity result for $\text{composite}[\text{DUP}, x, \text{inverse}[\text{DUP}]]$.

```
In[29]:= TRANSITIVE[composite[DUP, x, inverse[DUP]]] // AssertTest
Out[29]= TRANSITIVE[composite[DUP, x, inverse[DUP]]] = TRANSITIVE[composite[Id, x]]
In[30]:= TRANSITIVE[composite[DUP, x_, inverse[DUP]]] := TRANSITIVE[composite[Id, x]]
```

Counterexample. There is a function x for which $\text{domain}[\text{hom}[x]]$ is not transitive:

```
In[31]:= TRANSITIVE[domain[hom[composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cart[t, t]]]]] /.
  t -> union[cart[set[0], set[set[0]]],
  cart[set[set[0]], set[succ[set[0]]], id[succ[succ[set[0]]]]]
Out[31]= False
```

Comment. In general, the restriction $x = \text{composite}[\text{SWAP}, \text{RIF}, \text{id}[\text{cart}[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}]]]$ need not be a category. It is a category when \mathbf{t} is a quasi-order. In this case the relation $\text{domain}[\text{hom}[x]]$ is a quasi-order isomorphic to \mathbf{t} .

```
In[32]:= domain[hom[composite[SWAP, RIF, id[cart[trv[rfx[x]], trv[rfx[x]]]]]]
Out[32]= composite[DUP, trv[rfx[x]], inverse[DUP]]
```