

the limit ordinals form a proper class

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2003 June 6

```
In[1]:= << goedel52.r97; << tools.m

:Package Title: goedel52.r97      2003 June 4 at 12:05 noon

It is now: 2003 Jun 6 at 11:15

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                          Revised 2003 May 31

weightlimit = 40
```

■ summary

In Gödel's class theory, there are two kinds of classes, sets and proper classes. A class is a set if it is a member of some class. Proper classes are not members of any class. The class of all ordinals **OMEGA** is an example of a proper class; that is, this class does not belong to any class. Every ordinal x is full, that is, its sum class $U[x]$ is contained in x . An ordinal x is called a limit ordinal if equality holds: $U[x] = x$. The class of limit ordinals is

```
In[2]:= class[x, and[member[x, OMEGA], equal[U[x], x]]]

Out[2]= intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]
```

A successor ordinal is an ordinal that is the successor of some other ordinal. An ordinal is a successor ordinal if and only if it is not a limit ordinal:

```
In[3]:= class[x, exists[y, and[equal[x, succ[y]], member[y, OMEGA]]]]

Out[3]= intersection[OMEGA, complement[fix[BIGCUP]]]
```

It was shown in the notebook **ON-SC-4B.NB** that the successor ordinals form a proper class.

```
In[4]:= member[intersection[OMEGA, complement[fix[BIGCUP]]], V]

Out[4]= False
```

In this notebook the uniqueness theorem for **iterate** is used to derive the fact that the class of limit ordinals is also a proper class. The basic strategy is to show that any ordinal belongs to a limit ordinal. If x is an ordinal number, then the set **range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]** is the successor-invariant set of ordinals $\{x, x+1, x+2, \dots\}$. It will be shown in this notebook that the sum class of this set is a limit ordinal to which x belongs. Knowing that any ordinal belongs to a limit ordinal allows one to deduce that the sum class of the class of limit ordinals is the class of all ordinals. Since the latter is a proper class, it follows immediately that the class of limit ordinals is also a proper class. This is because a class is a proper class if and only if its sum class is a proper class.

■ lemma

The first task is to show that the class of limit ordinals is identical with the class of unions of successor-invariant sets of ordinals. We begin with a lemma based on Theorem **ON-SUC-1** which says that for ordinals $x < y$ implies $x + 1 < y + 1$. The implication **implies[p4,p5]** in the following reasoning can be obtained from Theorem **ON-SUC-1** by eliminating the variable x .

```
In[5]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p4], implies[p4, p5],
  implies[and[p1, p3], p6], implies[p6, p7], implies[and[p5, p7], p8],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p8]],
  {p1 -> member[z, x], p2 -> subclass[x, OMEGA],
  p3 -> invariant[SUCC, x], p4 -> member[z, OMEGA],
  p5 -> subclass[image[SUCC, z], succ[z]],
  p6 -> member[succ[z], x], p7 -> subclass[succ[z], U[x]],
  p8 -> subclass[image[SUCC, z], U[x]]}]
```

```
Out[5]= or[not[member[z, x]], not[subclass[x, OMEGA]],
  not[subclass[image[SUCC, x], x]], subclass[image[SUCC, z], U[x]] == True
```

The variable z can be eliminated:

```
In[6]:= Map[assert[forall[z, #]] &, %]
```

```
Out[6]= or[not[subclass[x, OMEGA]],
  not[subclass[image[SUCC, x], x]], subclass[image[SUCC, U[x]], U[x]] == True
```

```
In[7]:= or[not[subclass[x_, OMEGA]], not[subclass[image[SUCC, x_], x_]],
  subclass[image[SUCC, U[x_]], U[x_]] := True
```

Restatement: if x is a successor-invariant set of ordinals, the $U[x]$ is also successor-invariant. Eliminating the variable x yields:

```
In[8]:= Map[equal[0, #] &, dif[intersection[P[OMEGA], invar[SUCC]],
  image[inverse[BIGCUP], invar[SUCC]]] // Renormality]
```

```
Out[8]= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]], invar[SUCC]] == True
```

```
In[9]:= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]], invar[SUCC]] := True
```

The sum class of any subset of the class of ordinal numbers is an ordinal number: The following corollary of this will be needed:

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[equal, 0, dif[u, v],
  {u -> intersection[x, P[OMEGA]], v -> image[inverse[BIGCUP], OMEGA]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[10]= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[x, P[OMEGA]]], OMEGA] == True
```

```
In[11]:= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[x_, P[OMEGA]]], OMEGA] := True
```

From the above facts one deduces:

```
In[12]:= SubstTest[subclass, u, intersection[v, w],
  {u -> image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]],
  v -> OMEGA, w -> invar[SUCC]}]
```

```
Out[12]= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]], fix[BIGCUP]] == True
```

```
In[13]:= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]], fix[BIGCUP]] := True
```

In the next section, the reverse inclusion is derived.

■ inclusion in the other direction

We begin with a lemma:

```
In[14]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[y, x], subclass[x, z]],
  member[y, z], z -> fix[BIGCUP]] // MapNotNot
```

```
Out[14]= or[equal[y, U[y]], not[member[y, x]], not[subclass[x, fix[BIGCUP]]]] == True
```

```
In[15]:= or[equal[y_, U[y_]], not[member[y_, x_]], not[subclass[x_, fix[BIGCUP]]]] := True
```

From this one obtains:

```
In[16]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3],
  implies[p1, p4], implies[and[p3, p4], p5], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p5]],
  {p1 -> member[y, x], p2 -> subclass[x, fix[BIGCUP]], p3 -> equal[U[y], y],
  p4 -> member[U[y], image[BIGCUP, x]], p5 -> member[y, image[BIGCUP, x]]}]]
```

```
Out[16]= or[member[y, image[BIGCUP, x]],
  not[member[y, x]], not[subclass[x, fix[BIGCUP]]]] == True
```

The variable y can be eliminated:

```
In[17]:= Map[assert[forall[y, #]] &, %]
```

```
Out[17]= or[not[subclass[x, fix[BIGCUP]]], subclass[x, image[BIGCUP, x]]] == True
```

This says that any class of fixed points of **BIGCUP** is subvariant under **BIGCUP**. Remark: This is by no means limited to **BIGCUP**.

```
In[18]:= or[not[subclass[x_, fix[BIGCUP]]], subclass[x_, image[BIGCUP, x_]]] := True
```

To apply this to the case at hand requires two inclusions. This is the first:

```
In[19]:= SubstTest[implies, subclass[x, fix[BIGCUP]], subclass[x, image[BIGCUP, x]],
  x -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]
```

```
Out[19]= subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]] == True
```

```
In[20]:= subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]] := True
```

We need a lemma to derive the second inclusion.

```
In[21]:= SubstTest[subclass, intersection[x, y], intersection[x, P[U[y]]], y -> OMEGA]
```

```
Out[21]= subclass[U[intersection[OMEGA, x]], OMEGA] == True
```

```
In[22]:= subclass[U[intersection[OMEGA, x_]], OMEGA] := True
```

This is the second inclusion:

```
In[23]:= SubstTest[implies, subclass[u, v], subclass[image[BIGCUP, u], image[BIGCUP, v]],
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], v -> intersection[P[OMEGA], invar[SUCC]]}]
```

```
Out[23]= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] == True
```

```
In[24]:= subclass[image[BIGCUP, intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] := True
```

Applying transitivity of inclusion, one obtains:

```
In[25]:= SubstTest[implies, and[subclass[u, v], subclass[v, w]], subclass[u, w],
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
   v -> image[BIGCUP, intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]],
   w -> image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]]}]
```

```
Out[25]= subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] == True
```

```
In[26]:= subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] := True
```

It only remains to combine the two inclusions into an equation:

```
In[27]:= SubstTest[and, subclass[u, v], subclass[v, u],
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
   v -> image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]]}]
```

```
Out[27]= True == equal[image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]],
  intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]
```

```
In[28]:= image[BIGCUP, intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] := intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]
```

■ iterate uniqueness

Apply the uniqueness theorem for `iterate`:

```
In[29]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[image[w, singleton[0]], v],
  equal[composite[u, w], composite[w, SUCC]],
  equal[iterate[u, v], composite[w, id[omega]]],
  {u -> SUCC, v -> singleton[x],
   w -> composite[id[OMEGA], iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]}]]
```

```
Out[29]= or[and[member[x, V], not[member[x, OMEGA]]],
  subclass[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]], OMEGA] == True
```

This can be cleaned up:

```
In[30]:= Map[implies[member[x, OMEGA], #] &, %]
```

```
Out[30]= or[not[member[x, OMEGA]], subclass[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]], OMEGA] == True
```

```
In[31]:= or[not[member[x_, OMEGA]],
  subclass[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x_]]], OMEGA] := True
```

This just says that if x is an ordinal, then $\{x, x+1, x+2, \dots\}$ is a set of ordinals.

■ lemma

This section is concerned with a general lemma, not specifically about ordinals. This lemma is needed to show that x belongs to the sum class of the set of ordinals $\{x, x+1, \dots\}$.

```
In[32]:= SubstTest[subclass, image[u, v], range[u],
  {u -> iterate[x, y], v -> singleton[singleton[0]]}]
```

```
Out[32]= subclass[image[x, y], range[iterate[x, y]]] == True
```

```
In[33]:= subclass[image[x_, y_], range[iterate[x_, y_]]] := True
```

```
In[34]:= SubstTest[subclass, image[u, v], range[iterate[u, v]], {u -> SUCC, v -> singleton[x]}]
```

```
Out[34]= or[member[succ[x], range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]], not[member[x, V]]] == True
```

```
In[35]:= or[member[succ[x_], range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x_]]]], not[member[x_, V]]] := True
```

```
In[36]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2],
  implies[p2, p3], implies[p3, p4], not[implies[p1, p4]],
  {p1 -> member[x, y], p2 -> member[x, V],
  p3 -> member[succ[x], range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]],
  p4 -> member[x, U[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]]]}]]
```

```
Out[36]= or[member[x, U[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]]], not[member[x, y]]] == True
```

```
In[37]:= or[member[x_, U[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x_]]]]], not[member[x_, y_]]] := True
```

For the next section we rewrite this a bit. Note that

```
In[38]:= class[y, member[x, U[y]]]
```

```
Out[38]= complement[P[P[complement[singleton[x]]]]]
```

The result of this section can therefore be rewritten as follows:

```
In[39]:= implies[member[x, y], member[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]],
  complement[P[P[complement[singleton[x]]]]]]
```

```
Out[39]= True
```

■ eliminating the details of the construction

The next step is to hide the details of the **iterate** construction. All one really needs to know is that there exists a limit ordinal to which any given ordinal belongs; how it is constructed is not important. We will shortly need this:

```
In[40]:= SubstTest[U, image[BIGCUP, x], x -> intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[40]= U[U[intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]]] == U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]
```

```
In[41]:= U[U[intersection[invar[SUCC], P[OMEGA]]]] := U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]
```

and this..

```
In[42]:= implies[member[x, OMEGA], member[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]],
      intersection[P[OMEGA], invar[SUCC],
      complement[P[P[complement[singleton[x]]]]]]] // NotNotTest
Out[42]= or[and[member[x, U[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]]],
      subclass[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]], OMEGA]], not[member[x, OMEGA]]] == True
In[43]:= or[and[member[x_, U[range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x_]]]], subclass[
      range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x_]], OMEGA]], not[member[x_, OMEGA]]] := True
```

These facts are combined as follows:

```
In[44]:= SubstTest[implies, implies[p, member[r, s]], implies[p, not[equal[0, s]]],
      {p -> member[x, OMEGA], r -> range[iterate[SUCC, singleton[x]]], s ->
      intersection[P[OMEGA], invar[SUCC], complement[P[P[complement[singleton[x]]]]]]}
Out[44]= or[member[x, U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]], not[member[x, OMEGA]]] == True
```

The variable x is eliminated:

```
In[45]:= Map[assert[forall[x, #]] &, %]
Out[45]= subclass[OMEGA, U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]] == True
In[46]:= subclass[OMEGA, U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]] := True
```

This can be strengthened to an equation:

```
In[47]:= SubstTest[and, subclass[u, v], subclass[v, u],
      {u -> OMEGA, v -> U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]}
Out[47]= True == equal[OMEGA, U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]]]
```

The sum class of the class of limit ordinals is the class of all ordinals:

```
In[48]:= U[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]] := OMEGA
```

It now follows immediately that the class of limit ordinals is a proper class:

```
In[49]:= SubstTest[member, U[x], V, x -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]]] // Reverse
Out[49]= member[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], V] == False
In[50]:= member[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], V] := False
```

A more general rewrite rule can be derived:

```
In[51]:= member[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], x] // AssertTest
Out[51]= member[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], x] == False
In[52]:= member[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], x_] := False
```

To summarize: the class of all ordinals is the union of two disjoint subclasses, the class of successor ordinals and the class of limit ordinals, both of which are proper classes.