

# left multiplication by x is one-to-one unless $x = 0$

*Johan G. F. Belinfante*  
2002 October 5

```
<< goedel52.p74; << tools.m
:Package Title: goedel52.p74          2002 October 5 at 4:35 a.m.

It is now: 2002 Oct 5 at 22:25

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                      Revised 2002 September 16

weightlimit = 40
```

## ■ summary

This notebook contains a derivation of the fact that left-multiplication by nonzero numbers is one-to-one. The basic tool is the distributive law for multiplication over subtraction.

## ■ left multiplication

The following temporary abbreviation is convenient:

```
leftmul[x_] := composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]]
```

The following fact about left-multiplication will be needed at some point:

```
composite[id[omega], inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]] // DoubleInverse

composite[id[omega], inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]] ==
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]]

composite[id[omega], inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL]] :=
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]]
```

## ■ distributive law for multiplication over subtraction

The distributive law for multiplication over subtraction was derived recently in the following form:

```
natmul[x, natsub[y, z]]

union[image[V, intersection[z, complement[y]]], natsub[natmul[x, y], natmul[x, z]]]
```

The first task will be to use `symdif` and `VSTriNormality` to rewrite this rule so that the variables `y` and `z` are eliminated.

```

syndif[composite[rotate[NATADD], cross[leftmul[x], leftmul[x]], id[inverse[S]]],
  composite[leftmul[x], rotate[NATADD]]] // VSTriNormality

union[composite[intersection[composite[complement[rotate[NATADD]],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]]]],
  composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x], rotate[NATADD]]], id[inverse[S]]],
  composite[intersection[composite[rotate[NATADD],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]]]],
  composite[complement[NATMUL], LEFT[x], rotate[NATADD]]], id[inverse[S]]]] == 0

union[composite[intersection[composite[complement[rotate[NATADD]],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_]]]],
  composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_], rotate[NATADD]]], id[inverse[S]]],
  composite[intersection[composite[rotate[NATADD],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_]]]],
  composite[complement[NATMUL], LEFT[x_], rotate[NATADD]]], id[inverse[S]]]] := 0

SubstTest[equal, 0, syndif[u, v],
  {u -> composite[rotate[NATADD], cross[leftmul[x], leftmul[x]], id[inverse[S]]],
  v -> composite[leftmul[x], rotate[NATADD]]}

True == equal[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x], rotate[NATADD]], composite[rotate[NATADD],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]]], id[inverse[S]]]]

```

This reformulation of the distributive law will be made into a permanent rewrite rule:

```

composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x_], rotate[NATADD]] := composite[rotate[NATADD],
  cross[composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]], composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x]], id[inverse[S]]]

```

## ■ corollary

Lemma:

```

composite[FIRST, id[cart[V, union[intersection[omega, complement[image[V, x]]],
  intersection[image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]], singleton[0]]]]],
  inverse[NATADD]] // VSNormality

composite[FIRST,
  id[cart[V, union[intersection[omega, complement[image[V, x]]], intersection[
    image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]], singleton[0]]]]], inverse[NATADD]] ==
union[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[complement[image[V, x]]], id[omega]],
  id[union[intersection[omega, complement[image[V, x]]],
  intersection[omega, image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]]]

composite[FIRST, id[cart[V, union[intersection[omega, complement[image[V, x_]],
  intersection[image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x_]]], singleton[0]]]]],
  inverse[NATADD]] := union[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[complement[image[V, x]]],
  id[omega]], id[union[intersection[omega, complement[image[V, x]]],
  intersection[omega, image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]]]

```

From the distributive law one obtains the following corollary by using **IminComp**:

```

Map[composite[inverse[#], id[image[V, x]]] &,
  IminComp[leftmul[x], rotate[NATADD], singleton[0]]

composite[intersection[S,
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], id[image[V, x]]] ==
  id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

```

```

composite[intersection[S,
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], id[image[V, x_]]] :=
  id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

```

The inverse will also be needed:

```

composite[id[image[V, x]],
  intersection[composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]],
  inverse[S]] // DoubleInverse

composite[id[image[V, x]], intersection[
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], inverse[S]] ==
  id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

composite[id[image[V, x_]], intersection[
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], inverse[S]] :=
  id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

```

## ■ eliminating S

The basic idea is to use dichotomy to eliminate **S** from the above formulas:

```

union[composite[id[omega], S, id[omega]], composite[id[omega], inverse[S], id[omega]]]
cart[omega, omega]

```

Lemma

```

AssInt[S, composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]],
  cart[omega, omega]] // Reverse

composite[id[omega], intersection[S,
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], id[omega]] ==
  intersection[S, composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]]]

composite[id[omega], intersection[S,
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], id[omega]] :=
  intersection[S, composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]]]

```

The inverse will also be needed:

```

AssInt[inverse[S], composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]],
  cart[omega, omega]] // Reverse

composite[id[omega],
  intersection[composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]],
  inverse[S]], id[omega]] ==
  intersection[composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], inverse[S]]

composite[id[omega], intersection[composite[inverse[LEFT[x_]],
  inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x_]], inverse[S]], id[omega]] :=
  intersection[composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], inverse[S]]

```

The dichotomy is used as follows:

```

SubstTest[intersection, w, union[u, v],
  {u -> composite[id[omega], S, id[omega]],
   v -> composite[id[omega], inverse[S], id[omega]],
   w -> composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]]}]

composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]] ==
union[intersection[S, composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]]],
intersection[
  composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]], inverse[S]]]

```

This can be cleaned up:

```

Map[composite[id[image[V, x]], #] &, %]

composite[id[image[V, x]], inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]] ==
union[composite[id[image[V, x]],
  intersection[S, composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]]]],
id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]]

```

The same trick is used a second time:

```

Map[composite[id[image[V, x]], inverse[#]] &, %]

composite[id[image[V, x]], inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x]] ==
id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

composite[id[image[V, x_]], inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL], NATMUL, LEFT[x_]] :=
id[intersection[omega, image[V, x], image[V, intersection[omega, singleton[x]]]]]

```

## ■ FUNCTION rule

A general rule:

```

FUNCTION[composite[id[image[V, x]], y]] // AssertTest

FUNCTION[composite[id[image[V, x]], y]] == or[equal[0, x], FUNCTION[composite[Id, y]]]

FUNCTION[composite[id[image[V, x_]], y_]] := or[equal[0, x], FUNCTION[composite[Id, y]]]

```

The final step is this:

```

SubstTest[subclass, composite[w, inverse[w]], Id,
  w -> composite[id[image[V, x]], inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]] // Reverse

or[equal[0, x], FUNCTION[composite[inverse[LEFT[x]], inverse[NATMUL]]]] == True

```

This says that left-multiplication by nonzero numbers is one-to-one:

```

or[equal[0, x_], FUNCTION[composite[inverse[LEFT[x_]], inverse[NATMUL]]]] := True

```