

# NATEXP, part 3

Johan G. F. Belinfante  
2006 June 29

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel82.29a; << tools.m

:Package Title: goedel82.29a      2006 June 29 at 2:00 a.m.

It is now: 2006 Jun 29 at 10:4

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                          Revised 2006 June 27

weightlimit = 40
```

---

## summary

This is the third notebook in a series about the exponential function for natural numbers. Corollaries of the laws of exponents are derived. The main idea here is to use **reify** to eliminate one or more variables from the three laws of exponents derived in part 2 of this series. The first two laws of exponents can be viewed as two distinct distributive laws, and the third as a quasi-associative law. The choice of orientation for the rewrite rules derived in this notebook is in most cases guided by analogy with other distributive and associative laws already in the **GOEDEL** program.

---

## some simplification rules

Several simplification rules needed in later sections are gathered together in this preliminary section. The first one is analogous to a similar one derived previously with **LEFT[x]** in place of **RIGHT[x]**. The **GOEDEL** program is able to recognize the truth of the following statement, but lacks a corresponding rewrite rule. So a new rewrite rule is added.

```
In[3]:= equal[composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x], id[omega]], composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]]
```

```
Out[3]= True
```

```
In[4]:= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x_], id[omega]] := composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]
```

A similar situation holds for the next equation; its truth is recognized but a rewrite rule is lacking, so it is added now.

```
In[5]:= equal[composite[id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[x]]]], NATEXP, LEFT[x]],
  composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]]]
```

```
Out[5]= True
```

```
In[6]:= composite[id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[x_]]]], NATEXP, LEFT[x_]] :=
  composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]]
```

A similar result holds with **RIGHT**[x] in place of **LEFT**[x].

```
In[7]:= equal[composite[id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[x]]]], NATEXP, RIGHT[x]],
  composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]]
```

```
Out[7]= True
```

```
In[8]:= composite[id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[x_]]]], NATEXP, RIGHT[x_]] :=
  composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]
```

## reifying the first law of exponents

A lemma is needed that is just a variant of the last rewrite rule derived in the preceding section.

```
In[11]:= SubstTest[composite[id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[w]]]],
  NATEXP, RIGHT[w], w → natadd[y, z]]]
```

```
Out[11]= composite[id[intersection[
  image[V, intersection[omega, set[y]]], image[V, intersection[omega, set[z]]]],
  NATEXP, RIGHT[natadd[y, z]]] = composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natadd[y, z]]]
```

```
In[12]:= composite[id[intersection[image[V, intersection[omega, set[y_]]],
  image[V, intersection[omega, set[z_]]]], NATEXP,
  RIGHT[natadd[y_, z_]]] := composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natadd[y, z]]]
```

Applying **reify** to the first law of exponents yields:

```
In[29]:= Map[equal[VERTSECT[#],
  composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, RIGHT[x]],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, RIGHT[y]]]]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, z, natexp[z, f[x, y]], f → natadd]]
```

```
Out[29]= True == equal[composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natadd[x, y]]],
  composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, RIGHT[x]],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, RIGHT[y]]]]]
```

```
In[31]:= composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, RIGHT[x_]],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, RIGHT[y_]]]] :=
  composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natadd[x, y]]]
```

The above rule was oriented by analogy with the following rewrite rule already in the **GOEDEL** program:

```
In[32]:= composite[NATADD, intersection[
  composite[inverse[FIRST], times[x]], composite[inverse[SECOND], times[y]]]]]
```

```
Out[32]= times[natadd[x, y]]
```

A second application of **reify** eliminates both of the remaining variables, producing a variable-free formulation of the first law of exponents:

```
In[43]:= Map[flip[rotate[inverse[#]]] &, SubstTest[reify, x,
  composite[w, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, RIGHT[first[x]]],
  composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, RIGHT[second[x]]]]], w → NATMUL] // Reverse
```

```
Out[43]= composite[NATMUL, cross[NATEXP, NATEXP], TWIST, cross[DUP, Id]] ==
  composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, NATADD]]
```

```
In[44]:= composite[NATMUL, cross[NATEXP, NATEXP], TWIST, cross[DUP, Id]] :=
  composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, NATADD]]
```

This rewrite rule is completely analogous to the following existing rule:

```
In[42]:= composite[NATADD, cross[NATMUL, NATMUL], TWIST, cross[DUP, Id]]
```

```
Out[42]= composite[NATMUL, cross[Id, NATADD]]
```

## corollary of the first law of exponents

A corollary of the first law of exponents was derived in part 2 of this series, and was used to derive the third law of exponents. One can use **reify** to eliminate one of the variables in this corollary.

```
In[46]:= Map[rotate[inverse[#]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, y, composite[times[natexp[x, y]], z, LEFT[x], z → NATEXP]]
```

```
Out[46]= composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x], NATADD] ==
  composite[NATMUL, cross[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]], composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]]]]
```

```
In[47]:= composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x_], NATADD] :=
  composite[NATMUL, cross[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]], composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]]]]
```

Again, the orientation of this rewrite rule was chosen by analogy with an existing rule:

```
In[48]:= composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x], NATADD]
```

```
Out[48]= composite[NATADD, cross[times[x], times[x]]]
```

## reifying the second law of exponents

Lemma.

```
In[50]:= SubstTest[composite, id[image[V, intersection[omega, set[w]]]],
  NATEXP, LEFT[w], w → natmul[x, y]]
```

```
Out[50]= composite[id[intersection[
  image[V, intersection[omega, set[x]]], image[V, intersection[omega, set[y]]]]],
  NATEXP, LEFT[natmul[x, y]] == composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natmul[x, y]]]
```

```
In[51]:= composite[id[intersection[image[V, intersection[omega, set[x_]]],
      image[V, intersection[omega, set[y_]]]]], NATEXP,
      LEFT[natmul[x_, y_]] := composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natmul[x, y]]]
```

One can use **reify** to remove the variable **z**.

```
In[54]:= Map[equal[VERTSECT[#],
      composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, LEFT[x]],
      composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, LEFT[y]]]]] &,
      SubstTest[reify, z, natexp[f[x, y], z], f → natmul]]
```

```
Out[54]= True == equal[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natmul[x, y]]],
      composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, LEFT[x]],
      composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, LEFT[y]]]]]
```

```
In[56]:= composite[NATMUL, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, LEFT[x_]],
      composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, LEFT[y_]]]] :=
      composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natmul[x, y]]]
```

The remaining two variable are removed using a second application of **reify**.

```
In[57]:= Map[rotate[inverse[#]] &, SubstTest[reify, x,
      composite[w, intersection[composite[inverse[FIRST], NATEXP, LEFT[first[x]]],
      composite[inverse[SECOND], NATEXP, LEFT[second[x]]]]], w → NATMUL]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[57]= composite[NATMUL, cross[NATEXP, NATEXP], TWIST, cross[Id, DUP]] ==
      composite[NATEXP, cross[NATMUL, Id]]
```

```
In[58]:= composite[NATMUL, cross[NATEXP, NATEXP], TWIST, cross[Id, DUP]] :=
      composite[NATEXP, cross[NATMUL, Id]]
```

Corollary.

```
In[61]:= Assoc[composite[NATMUL, cross[NATEXP, NATEXP], TWIST],
      cross[Id, DUP], RIGHT[x]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[61]= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x], NATMUL] ==
      composite[NATMUL, cross[composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]], composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]]]
```

```
In[62]:= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x_], NATMUL] :=
      composite[NATMUL, cross[composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]], composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x]]]]
```

## the third law of exponents

Removing **z** with **reify** yields:

```
In[67]:= Map[equal[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x], times[y]], VERTSECT[#]] &,
      SubstTest[reify, z, natexp[w, z], w → natexp[x, y]]]
```

```
Out[67]= True ==
      equal[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natexp[x, y]]], composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x], times[y]]]
```

The orientation of this rewrite rule was chosen so that the two occurrence of exponentiation is reduced to a single one.

```
In[68]:= composite[NATEXP, LEFT[natexp[x_, y_]]] := composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x], times[y]]
```

Reifying again, one obtains:

```
In[70]:= Map[rotate[inverse[#]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, y, composite[w, LEFT[natexp[x, y]]], w → NATEXP]]
```

```
Out[70]= composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x], NATMUL] ==
  composite[NATEXP, cross[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]], Id]]
```

```
In[71]:= composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x_], NATMUL] :=
  composite[NATEXP, cross[composite[NATEXP, LEFT[x]], Id]]
```

This rule is oriented by analogy with an existing rule:

```
In[73]:= composite[NATMUL, LEFT[x], NATMUL]
```

```
Out[73]= composite[NATMUL, cross[Id, times[x]]]
```

Another application of **reify** yields:

```
In[74]:= Map[composite[rotate[inverse[#]], RIGHT[PAIR[y, x]]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, x, composite[w, LEFT[x], NATMUL], w → NATEXP]]
```

```
Out[74]= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x], NATEXP, RIGHT[y]] == composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natmul[x, y]]]
```

```
In[75]:= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x_], NATEXP, RIGHT[y_]] :=
  composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[natmul[x, y]]]
```

Reifying again, one obtains:

```
In[76]:= Map[flip[rotate[inverse[#]]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, y, composite[w, RIGHT[x], w, RIGHT[y]], w → NATEXP]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[76]= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x], NATEXP] == composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, times[x]]]
```

```
In[77]:= composite[NATEXP, RIGHT[x_], NATEXP] := composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, times[x]]]
```

A final application of **reify** yields a variable-free statement of the quasi-associative third law of exponents.

```
In[78]:= Map[flip[rotate[inverse[#]]] &,
  SubstTest[reify, x, composite[w, RIGHT[x], w], w → NATEXP]]
```

```
Out[78]= composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, NATMUL], ASSOC] == composite[NATEXP, cross[NATEXP, Id]]
```

```
In[79]:= composite[NATEXP, cross[Id, NATMUL], ASSOC] := composite[NATEXP, cross[NATEXP, Id]]
```