

a pigeonhole principle

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2012 February 21

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.12feb20a
      :Package Title: goedel.12feb20a          2012 February 20 at 11:00 a.m.
      Loading takes about thirteen minutes, half that time due to builtin pauses.
      It is now: 2012 Feb 21 at 9:24
      Loading Simplification Rules
      TOOLS.M is now incorporated in the GOEDEL program as of 2010 September 3
      weightlimit = 40
      Loading completed.
      It is now: 2012 Feb 21 at 9:37
```

summary

The following general formulation of the pigeonhole principle is derived: If the domain and range of a finite function are equipollent, then the function is one-to-one. A simple variable-free version of this is also obtained. The main idea of the derivation is to use the theorem of finite choice, which says that any finite set admits a cross-section. The same idea was used by the author in collaboration with Ming Li to prove the special case in which the equipollence relation \mathbf{Q} is replaced with the identity relation \mathbf{Id} . The final result obtained for that special case can now be stated succinctly as follows:

```
In[2]:= intersection[FINITE, FUNS, image[inverse[DORA], Id]]
Out[2]= intersection[FINITE, PERMS]
```

cross-sections of inverses

Statements about cross-sections of $\mathbf{inverse}[x]$ are currently rewritten as mapping statements. This rewriting blocks a direct translation of the proof obtained by the author and Ming Li in 2006. This rewriting is avoided here by leaving out the sethood hypothesis $y \in V$. Later on, when the variable y is eliminated, sethood facts reintroduce the \mathbf{map} constructor, but the following simplification rule takes care of that.

Theorem. Simplification rule.

```
In[3]:= X[inverse[x]] // Normality // Reverse
Out[3]= intersection[map[range[x], V], P[inverse[x]]] == X[inverse[x]]
```

```
In[4]:= intersection[map[range[x_], V], P[inverse[x_]]] := X[inverse[x]]
```

Any cross-section of a finite relation is finite. More generally, any subset of a finite relation is finite. The same is true for any subset of the inverse of a finite relation since the inverse of a finite relation is also finite. An explicit statement of this fact will now be derived.

Lemma.

```
In[5]:= SubstTest[implies, and[subclass[y, t], member[t, FINITE]],
               member[y, FINITE], t → inverse[fin[x]]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[5]= or[member[y, FINITE], not[subclass[y, inverse[fin[x]]]]] == True
```

```
In[6]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Theorem. Any subset of the inverse of a finite relation is finite.

```
In[7]:= SubstTest[implies, equal[x, fin[t]],
               or[member[y, FINITE], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]]], t → x] // Reverse
```

```
Out[7]= or[member[y, FINITE], not[member[x, FINITE]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]]] == True
```

```
In[8]:= or[member[y_, FINITE], not[member[x_, FINITE]], not[subclass[y_, inverse[x_]]]] := True
```

domain and range of a bijection

Various forms of the following basic fact are available in the **GOEDEL** program, but the following straightforward statement seems to have been overlooked until now. It is here derived by eliminating an **oopart** wrapper from an available statement. (The special case $y = V$ is also available and could have been used instead. That special case is obviously subsumed by the current result, and therefore will be removed from the **GOEDEL** program.)

Theorem. The domain and range of a bijection are equipollent.

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[implies, and[member[x, y], equal[x, oopart[t]],
                member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q], t → x] // Reverse
```

```
Out[10]= or[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q],
            not[FUNCTION[x]], not[FUNCTION[inverse[x]]], not[member[x, y]]] == True
```

```
In[11]:= or[member[pair[domain[x_], range[x_]], Q],
            not[FUNCTION[inverse[x_]]], not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[member[x_, y_]]] := True
```

restrictions of functions

Two corollaries of the fact that a subclass of a function is a restriction is derived in this section. They differ only in the inclusion hypothesis.

Theorem.

```
In[12]:= SubstTest[or, equal[x, t], not[equal[domain[t], domain[x]]],
             not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[t, x]], t → inverse[y]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[12]= or[equal[x, inverse[y]], not[equal[domain[x], range[y]]],
           not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[inverse[y], x]]] == True
```

```
In[13]:= or[equal[inverse[y_], x_], not[equal[domain[x_], range[y_]]],
           not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[inverse[y_], x_]]] := True
```

Corollary.

```
In[14]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p2, p3], p4],
                           implies[and[p1, p2, p4], p5], not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p5]],
                           {p1 → equal[domain[x], range[y]], p2 → FUNCTION[x], p3 → subclass[y, inverse[x]],
                            p4 → subclass[inverse[y], x], p5 → equal[x, inverse[y]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[14]= or[equal[x, inverse[y]], not[equal[domain[x], range[y]]],
           not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]] == True
```

```
In[15]:= or[equal[inverse[y_], x_], not[equal[domain[x_], range[y_]]],
           not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[y_, inverse[x_]]] := True
```

a pigeonhole principle

The main derivation of the pigeon hole principle is done in this section. To speed up the derivation, it is convenient to break it up into a series of lemmas. In each of these lemmas, the proposition **p1** is the main hypothesis, while **p2** is a temporary hypothesis that says **y** is a cross-section of **inverse[x]**.

Lemma.

```
In[16]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, (*implies[p2,p3],implies[and[p1,p2],p4],
                           implies[and[p1,p2],p5],*) implies[and[p2, p4, p5], p6],
                           not[implies[and[p1, p2], p6]], {p1 → and[member[x, FINITE], FUNCTION[x]],
                            p2 → and[FUNCTION[y], subclass[y, inverse[x]]],
                            p3 → subclass[range[y], domain[x]], p4 → FUNCTION[inverse[y]],
                            p5 → member[y, FINITE], p6 → member[pair[domain[y], range[y]], Q]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[16]= or[member[pair[domain[y], range[y]], Q], not[FUNCTION[x]],
           not[FUNCTION[y]], not[member[x, FINITE]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]] == True
```

```
In[17]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Lemma.

```
In[18]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p6], implies[and[p1, p2], p7],
  implies[and[p6, p7], p8], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p8]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], member[x, FINITE], member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]],
  p2 -> and[FUNCTION[y], subclass[y, inverse[x]], equal[domain[y], range[x]]], p6 ->
  member[pair[domain[y], range[y]], Q], p7 -> member[pair[domain[x], domain[y]], Q],
  p8 -> member[pair[domain[x], range[y]], Q]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[18]= or[member[pair[domain[x], range[y]], Q], not[equal[domain[y], range[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[FUNCTION[y]], not[member[x, FINITE]],
  not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]] = True
```

```
In[19]:= (% /. {x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Lemma.

```
In[20]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, (*implies[p2,p3],implies[and[p1,p2],p8],implies[p1,p9],*)
  implies[and[p3, p8, p9], p10], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p10]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], member[x, FINITE], member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]],
  p2 -> and[FUNCTION[y], subclass[y, inverse[x]], equal[domain[y], range[x]]],
  p3 -> subclass[range[y], domain[x]], p8 -> member[pair[domain[x], range[y]], Q],
  p9 -> member[domain[x], FINITE], p10 -> equal[domain[x], range[y]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[20]= or[equal[domain[x], range[y]], not[equal[domain[y], range[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[FUNCTION[y]], not[member[x, FINITE]],
  not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]] = True
```

```
In[21]:= (% /. {x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The lemmas are combined in the following theorem.

Theorem.

```
In[22]:= Map[not,
  SubstTest[and, (*implies[p2,p3],implies[and[p1,p2],p4],implies[and[p1,p2],p5],
  implies[and[p1,p2],p6],implies[and[p1,p2],p7], implies[and[p1,p2],p8],
  implies[p1,p9],implies[and[p1,p2],p10],*) implies[and[p1, p2, p10], p11],
  implies[and[p2, p11], p12], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p12]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], member[x, FINITE], member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]],
  p2 -> and[FUNCTION[y], subclass[y, inverse[x]], equal[domain[y], range[x]]],
  p3 -> subclass[range[y], domain[x]], p4 -> FUNCTION[inverse[y]],
  p5 -> member[y, FINITE], p6 -> member[pair[domain[y], range[y]], Q], p7 ->
  member[pair[domain[x], domain[y]], Q], p8 -> member[pair[domain[x], range[y]], Q],
  p9 -> member[domain[x], FINITE], p10 -> equal[domain[x], range[y]],
  p11 -> equal[x, inverse[y]], p12 -> FUNCTION[inverse[x]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[22]= or[FUNCTION[inverse[x]], not[equal[domain[y], range[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[FUNCTION[y]], not[member[x, FINITE]],
  not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]], not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]] = True
```

```
In[23]:= (% /. {x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

At this point, the variable y can be eliminated.

Lemma.

```
In[24]:= Map[equal[V, domain[#]] &, SubstTest[reify, y,
  case[or[FUNCTION[inverse[x]], not[equal[domain[y], range[x]]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
    not[FUNCTION[y]], not[member[x, t]], not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]],
    not[subclass[y, inverse[x]]]], t → FINITE]
```

```
Out[24]= or[equal[0, X[inverse[x]]], FUNCTION[inverse[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
  not[member[x, FINITE]], not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]]] == True
```

```
In[25]:= (% /. {x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

The hypothesis that `inverse[x]` admits a cross-section is a statement of the theorem of finite choice, and is therefore redundant.

Main Theorem. The pigeonhole principle.

```
In[26]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[and[p1, p2], p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 → and[FUNCTION[x], member[x, FINITE], member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]],
  p2 → not[equal[0, X[inverse[x]]]], p3 → FUNCTION[inverse[x]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[26]= or[FUNCTION[inverse[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
  not[member[x, FINITE]], not[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q]]] == True
```

```
In[27]:= or[FUNCTION[inverse[x_]], not[FUNCTION[x_]],
  not[member[pair[domain[x_], range[x_]], Q]], not[member[x_, FINITE]]] := True
```

Since any finite set is equipollent to an ordinal, one can replace the equipollence hypothesis with a statement of equality of cardinalities.

Theorem.

```
In[30]:= SubstTest[implies, equal[x, fin[t]], or[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q],
  not[equal[card[domain[x]], card[range[x]]]], not[FUNCTION[x]]], t → x] // Reverse
```

```
Out[30]= or[member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q], not[equal[card[domain[x]], card[range[x]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[member[x, FINITE]]] == True
```

```
In[31]:= or[member[pair[domain[x_], range[x_]], Q],
  not[equal[card[domain[x_]], card[range[x_]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[member[x_, FINITE]]] := True
```

Corollary. Restatement of the pigeonhole principle using equality of cardinality in place of equipollence.

```
In[34]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[and[p1, p2], p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 → and[equal[card[domain[x]], card[range[x]]], FUNCTION[x], member[x, FINITE]],
  p2 → member[pair[domain[x], range[x]], Q], p3 → FUNCTION[inverse[x]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[34]= or[FUNCTION[inverse[x]], not[equal[card[domain[x]], card[range[x]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[member[x, FINITE]]] == True
```

```
In[35]:= or[FUNCTION[inverse[x_]], not[equal[card[domain[x_]], card[range[x_]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[member[x_, FINITE]]] := True
```

a variable-free statement of a pigeonhole principle

Since there is no **reify** rule for **pair**, the variable **x**. is here eliminated using **class** rules.

Lemma.

```
In[36]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, x, implies[member[x, V], member[x, t]],
           t -> complement[dif[intersection[FUNS, FINITE, image[inverse[DORA], Q]], BIJ]]]]
```

```
Out[36]= subclass[intersection[FINITE, FUNS, image[inverse[DORA], Q]], BIJ] == True
```

```
In[37]:= % /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Theorem. A variable-free restatement of a pigeonhole principle.

```
In[38]:= SubstTest[and, subclass[u, v], subclass[v, u],
           {u -> intersection[FINITE, FUNS, image[inverse[DORA], Q]],
            v -> intersection[BIJ, FINITE]}]
```

```
Out[38]= equal[intersection[BIJ, FINITE],
              intersection[FINITE, FUNS, image[inverse[DORA], Q]]] == True
```

```
In[39]:= intersection[FINITE, FUNS, image[inverse[DORA], Q]] := intersection[BIJ, FINITE]
```