

rigidity theorem for ordinals

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2010 October 28

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.10oct27a
      :Package Title: goedel.10oct27a          2010 October 27 at 2:45 p.m.
      It is now: 2010 Oct 28 at 10:2
      Loading Simplification Rules
      TOOLS.M is now incorporated in the GOEDEL program as of 2010 September 3
      weightlimit = 40
```

summary

In this notebook a rigidity theorem about the class Ω of ordinals is derived. It is shown below that any strictly monotone function $f \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ with a full domain and a full range must be an identity function. As a corollary of this it follows that there can be no strictly monotone function from one ordinal onto a different ordinal.

derivation

If a strictly monotone function $f \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ has a full domain, then $f \subset S$. One version of this is already available.

```
In[2]:= or[not[FUNCTION[f]], not[subclass[f, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
      not[subclass[f, composite[S, IMAGE[f]]]],
      not[subclass[U[domain[f]], domain[f]], subclass[f, S]]
```

```
Out[2]= True
```

For functions on ordinals there are several equivalent formulations of the strict monotonicity condition. Here is another version of the above result.

Theorem. If a strictly monotone function $x \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ has a full domain, then $x \subset S$.

```
In[3]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p5],
  implies[and[p1, p2, p4, p5], p6], not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4], p6]],
  {p1 → FUNCTION[x], p2 → subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]],
  p3 → subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S], p4 → full[domain[x]],
  p5 → subclass[x, composite[S, IMAGE[x]]], p6 → subclass[x, S]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[3]= or[not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]],
  not[subclass[U[domain[x]], domain[x]], subclass[x, S]] == True
```

```
In[4]:= or[not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[x_, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]],
  not[subclass[U[domain[x_]], domain[x_]], subclass[x_, S]] := True
```

Replacing x with its inverse yields the following.

Lemma.

```
In[5]:= SubstTest[implies, and[FUNCTION[t], subclass[t, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]],
  subclass[composite[inverse[t], S, t], S], full[domain[t]]],
  subclass[t, S], t → inverse[x]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[5]= or[and[not[equal[OMEGA, range[x]]], not[member[range[x], OMEGA]],
  not[FUNCTION[inverse[x]]], not[subclass[composite[x, S, inverse[x]], S]],
  not[subclass[domain[x], OMEGA]], subclass[inverse[x], S]] == True
```

```
In[6]:= (% /. x → x_) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

This result can be cleaned up slightly.

Theorem.

```
In[7]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p4, p7], not[p5]],
  or[not[p1], not[p3], p5, not[p6], p8], p1, p2, p3, p4, not[p8],
  {p1 → FUNCTION[inverse[x]], p2 → subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]],
  p3 → subclass[composite[x, S, inverse[x]], S], p4 → full[range[x]],
  p5 → and[not[equal[OMEGA, range[x]]], not[member[range[x], OMEGA]]],
  p6 → subclass[domain[x], OMEGA], p7 → subclass[range[x], OMEGA],
  p8 → subclass[inverse[x], S]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[7]= or[not[FUNCTION[inverse[x]]], not[subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[composite[x, S, inverse[x]], S]],
  not[subclass[U[range[x]], range[x]], subclass[inverse[x], S]] == True
```

```
In[8]:= or[not[FUNCTION[inverse[x_]]], not[subclass[composite[x_, S, inverse[x_]], S]],
  not[subclass[x_, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[U[range[x_]], range[x_]], subclass[inverse[x_], S]] := True
```

If the domain and range are both full, one can combine the above results to obtain a rigidity theorem. (The following step in the proof of this theorem has been deliberately omitted to speed up execution: **implies[and[p1, p3, p4, p5], p7]**.)

Rigidity Theorem. If a strictly monotone function $x \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ has a full domain and a full range, then $x \subset \text{Id}$.

```

In[9]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p6], implies[p1, p4], implies[p1, p5],
  implies[and[p6, p7], p8], not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p8]], {p1 → and[FUNCTION[x],
  subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]], subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]],
  p2 → full[domain[x]], p3 → full[range[x]], p4 → FUNCTION[inverse[x]],
  p5 → subclass[composite[x, S, inverse[x]], S], p6 → subclass[x, S],
  p7 → subclass[inverse[x], S], p8 → subclass[x, Id]]] // Reverse

Out[9]= or[not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]], not[subclass[U[domain[x]], domain[x]]],
  not[subclass[U[range[x]], range[x]]], subclass[x, Id]] = True

In[10]:= or[not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[x_, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]],
  not[subclass[U[domain[x_]], domain[x_]]],
  not[subclass[U[range[x_]], range[x_]]], subclass[x_, Id]] := True

```

corollaries

A full subclass of Ω is either an ordinal or Ω itself. If the domain of a bijection is a set, so is its range, and vice versa. So there are just two cases to be considered: either the domain and range are both equal to Ω or both are ordinals.

Lemma. This lemma is needed to overcome a limitation on equality substitution in the **GOEDEL** program.

```

In[11]:= SubstTest[or, not[equal[y, domain[x]]], not[equal[z, range[x]]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
  subclass[x, cart[y, z]], {y → domain[x], z → domain[x]] // Reverse

Out[11]= or[not[equal[domain[x], range[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], subclass[x, cart[domain[x], domain[x]]]] = True

In[12]:= or[not[equal[domain[x_], range[x_]]], not[FUNCTION[x_]],
  subclass[x_, cart[domain[x_], domain[x_]]]] := True

```

The derivation of the following theorem is speeded up by omitting this proof step: **implies[and[p1, p2, p5, p6, p7], p8]**.

Theorem. The case that both domain and range are equal to Ω .

```

In[13]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p3, p4], p5], implies[p3, p6],
  implies[p4, p7], implies[and[p3, p8], p9], not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4], p9]],
  {p1 → FUNCTION[x], p2 → subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S],
  p3 → equal[domain[x], OMEGA], p4 → equal[range[x], OMEGA],
  p5 → subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]], p6 → full[domain[x]],
  p7 → full[range[x]], p8 → subclass[x, Id], p9 → equal[x, id[OMEGA]]}] // Reverse

Out[13]= or[equal[x, id[OMEGA]], not[equal[OMEGA, domain[x]]], not[equal[OMEGA, range[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]]] = True

In[14]:= or[equal[x_, id[OMEGA]], not[equal[OMEGA, domain[x_]]], not[equal[OMEGA, range[x_]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]]] := True

```

The case that the domain and range are both ordinals will now be considered.

Lemma. If the domain and range of a function x are both ordinals, then $x \subset \Omega \times \Omega$.

```
In[15]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p1, p3],
  implies[p1, p4], implies[and[p2, p3, p4], p5], not[implies[p1, p5]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], member[domain[x], OMEGA], member[range[x], OMEGA]],
    p2 -> subclass[x, cart[V, V]], p3 -> subclass[domain[x], OMEGA],
    p4 -> subclass[range[x], OMEGA], p5 -> subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[15]= or[not[FUNCTION[x]], not[member[domain[x], OMEGA]],
  not[member[range[x], OMEGA]], subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]] == True
```

```
In[16]:= or[not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[member[domain[x_], OMEGA]],
  not[member[range[x_], OMEGA]], subclass[x_, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]]] := True
```

Theorem. A strictly monotone function whose domain and range are both ordinals is an identity function.

```
In[17]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p1, p3],
  implies[p1, p4], implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4], p5], not[implies[p1, p5]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S],
    member[domain[x], OMEGA], member[range[x], OMEGA]],
    p2 -> subclass[x, cart[OMEGA, OMEGA]], p3 -> full[domain[x]],
    p4 -> full[range[x]], p5 -> subclass[x, Id]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[17]= or[not[FUNCTION[x]], not[member[domain[x], OMEGA]], not[member[range[x], OMEGA]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]], subclass[x, Id]] == True
```

```
In[18]:= or[not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[member[domain[x_], OMEGA]], not[member[range[x_], OMEGA]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]], subclass[x_, Id]] := True
```

The fact that the function is an identity function implies that the domain and range must be equal.

Corollary. There can be no strictly monotone function from one ordinal onto a different ordinal.

```
In[19]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[p2, p3], not[implies[p1, p3]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S],
    member[domain[x], OMEGA], member[range[x], OMEGA]],
    p2 -> subclass[x, Id], p3 -> equal[domain[x], range[x]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[19]= or[equal[domain[x], range[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]], not[member[domain[x], OMEGA]],
  not[member[range[x], OMEGA]], not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]]] == True
```

```
In[20]:= or[equal[domain[x_], range[x_]], not[FUNCTION[x_]],
  not[member[domain[x_], OMEGA]], not[member[range[x_], OMEGA]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]]] := True
```

A slightly more symmetric statement of the preceding theorem is obtained by replacing the condition that x be a function with a monotonicity condition.

Corollary.

```
In[21]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2], implies[and[p2, p3], p4],
  not[implies[and[p1, p3], p4]], {p1 → subclass[P[t], monotone[S, S]],
  p2 → FUNCTION[t], p3 → and[subclass[composite[inverse[t], S, t], S],
  member[domain[t], OMEGA], member[range[t], OMEGA]],
  p4 → equal[domain[t], range[t]]] /. t → composite[Id, x] // Reverse

Out[21]= or[equal[domain[x], range[x]], not[member[domain[x], OMEGA]],
  not[member[range[x], OMEGA]], not[subclass[composite[x, S, inverse[x]], S]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x], S, x], S]]] = True

In[22]:= or[equal[domain[x_], range[x_]], not[member[domain[x_], OMEGA]],
  not[member[range[x_], OMEGA]], not[subclass[composite[x_, S, inverse[x_]], S]],
  not[subclass[composite[inverse[x_], S, x_], S]]] := True
```