

T2 and transvar

Johan G. F. Belinfante
2014 February 12

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["1:"]; << goedel.14feb09a
      :Package Title: goedel.14feb09a          2014 February 9 at 5:50 p.m.
      Loading takes about seventeen minutes, half that time due to builtin pauses.
      It is now: 2014 Feb 12 at 12:6
      Loading Simplification Rules
      TOOLS.M is now incorporated in the GOEDEL program as of 2010 September 3
      weightlimit = 40
      Loading completed.
      It is now: 2014 Feb 12 at 12:24
```

summary

The **T2** separation condition in topology is technically complicated because this condition involves four occurrences of the variable for the topology.

```
In[2]:= equiv[member[t, T2], and[member[t, V], subclass[composite[id[U[t]], Di, id[U[t]]],
      composite[inverse[E], id[t], DISJOINT, id[t], E]]] // not // not
Out[2]= True
```

One way to simplify this condition is to introduce the cartesian square of \mathbf{t} as a new variable $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{t}$. The condition on \mathbf{t} can then be rewritten as a condition on \mathbf{x} that involves only two occurrences of the variable \mathbf{x} . This observation is used to derive a formula for **T2** involving a **transvar**[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] expression. A consequence is that the class **T2** is closed under unions of chains.

derivation

Lemma.

```
In[3]:= member[t, symdif[T2,
  fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
    composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]]]]]] // NotNotTest
```

```
Out[3]= or[and[member[t, T2], not[subclass[composite[id[U[t]], Di, id[U[t]]],
  composite[inverse[E], id[t], DISJOINT, id[t], E]]]],
  and[member[t, V], not[member[t, T2]], subclass[composite[id[U[t]], Di, id[U[t]]],
  composite[inverse[E], id[t], DISJOINT, id[t], E]]]] == False
```

```
In[4]:= (% /. t -> t_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Theorem. A formula for T2.

```
In[5]:= Map[equal[V, #] &, SubstTest[class, t, not[member[t, w]],
  w -> symdif[T2, fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[composite[inverse[E],
    IMAGE[id[Di]], CART], composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]]]]]]]
```

```
Out[5]= equal[T2, fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
  composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]]]]] == True
```

```
In[6]:= fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
  composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]]]] := T2
```

Lemma.

```
In[10]:= SubstTest[subclass, Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], t]]],
  fix[image[inverse[CART], Uchains[t]]], t -> Uclosure[x]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[10]= subclass[Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]],
  fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]] == True
```

```
In[11]:= (% /. x -> x_) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Theorem.

```
In[14]:= equal[Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]],
  fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]] // AssertTest
```

```
Out[14]= equal[fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]],
  Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]]] == True
```

```
In[16]:= Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x_]]]] :=
  fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[x]]]
```

Corollary.

```
In[18]:= SubstTest[Uchains,
  fix[image[inverse[CART], Uclosure[t]]], t -> transvar[x, y]] // Reverse
```

```
Out[18]= Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[x, y]]]] ==
  fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[x, y]]]
```

```
In[19]:= Uchains[fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[x_, y_]]]] :=
  fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[x, y]]]
```

Theorem. The class **T2** is closed under chain-unions.

```
In[21]:= SubstTest[Uchains, fix[image[inverse[CART], transvar[x, y]]],
  {x -> composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
   y -> composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[21]= Uchains[T2] == T2
```

```
In[22]:= Uchains[T2] := T2
```

comment

Observation. The following holds, but no interesting consequences of it were obtained.

```
In[30]:= intersection[image[CART, Id], transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
  composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]]]
```

```
Out[30]= image[CART, id[T2]]
```

Observation. One can use this to deduce the following fact, but this result is already known.

```
In[31]:= SubstTest[member, cart[x, x], intersection[u, v],
  {u -> image[CART, Id], v -> transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
   composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]}}]
```

```
Out[31]= and[member[x, V], subclass[composite[id[U[x]], Di, id[U[x]]],
  composite[inverse[E], id[x], DISJOINT, id[x], E]]] == member[x, T2]
```

Theorem. An amusing result.

```
In[34]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[Uchains[x], x], equal[Uchains[y], y]],
  equal[Uchains[intersection[x, y]], intersection[x, y]],
  {x -> image[CART, Id], y -> transvar[composite[inverse[E], IMAGE[id[Di]], CART],
   composite[inverse[E], CART, id[DISJOINT]]}}] // Reverse
```

```
Out[34]= equal[image[CART, id[T2]], Uchains[image[CART, id[T2]]]] == True
```

```
In[36]:= Uchains[image[CART, id[T2]]] := image[CART, id[T2]]
```