

well-founded recursion, part 6.

Johan G. F. Belinfante
revised 2004 September 15

```
In[1]:= SetDirectory["i:"]; << goedel61.13c; << tools.m;

:Package Title: goedel61.13c          2004 September 13 at 10:10 a.m.

It is now: 2004 Sep 15 at 19:20

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M                      Revised 2004 September 13

weightlimit = 40
```

summary

In this sixth notebook on well-founded recursion, a uniqueness theorem is derived, and the result is applied to establish a connection between **rec[x,y]** and the function **RANK**.

a fact about history

The concepts of **HISTORY** and **history** are in fact equal, but deliberately, fewer rewrite rules have been made available for **history**. It is easy, however, to transfer facts about **HISTORY** to obtain corresponding facts about **history** whenever the need arises. To illustrate this, consider the following fact which is known to hold for the function **HISTORY[funpart[x],thinpart[y]]**.

```
In[2]:= implies[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]],
               equal[V, domain[composite[x, HISTORY[funpart[w], thinpart[y]]]]]]

Out[2]= True
```

The transfer from **HISTORY** to **history** can be done using equality substitution

```

In[3]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], equal[t,
    composite[x, HISTORY[funpart[w], thinpart[y]]]], equal[V, domain[t]],
    t → composite[x, history[funpart[w], thinpart[y]]]]
Out[3]= or[equal[V, image[inverse[history[funpart[w], thinpart[y]]], domain[x]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]]] == True
In[4]:= (% /. {w → w_, x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed

```

temporary abbreviations

The following two temporary predicates are introduced here to provide a convenient language to describe various results that will be derived in this notebook. Note that partial solutions are not required to be sets. In the definition of a total solution, no condition is placed on the domain.

```

In[5]:= partialsolution[w_, x_, y_] :=
    and[invariant[y, domain[w]], subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]]
In[6]:= totalsolution[w_, x_, y_] := equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]

```

If \mathbf{x} is a function, any partial or total solution is also a function:

```

In[7]:= implies[
    and[FUNCTION[x], subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]], FUNCTION[w]]
Out[7]= True
In[8]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]], FUNCTION[w]]
Out[8]= True

```

Recall that the class **partrec[x,y]** consists of partial solutions that are sets, and **rec[x,y]** is defined to be the union of this class.

```

In[9]:= equiv[member[w, partrec[x, y]],
    and[member[w, V], partialsolution[w, x, y]] // not // not
Out[9]= True
In[10]:= U[partrec[x, y]]
Out[10]= rec[x, y]

```

on the totality of total solutions

A **total function** is a function whose domain is V . A **total binary function** is one whose domain is $\text{cart}[V,V]$. Under suitable hypotheses, total solutions are also total functions.

```
In[11]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[r, funpart[w]],
    equal[s, thinpart[y]], equal[t, composite[x, HISTORY[r, s]]],
    equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], equal[V, domain[t]],
    {r -> w, s -> composite[Id, y], t -> composite[x, history[w, y]]}]
```

```
Out[11]= or[equal[V, image[inverse[history[w, y]], domain[x]]],
    not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[w]]] == True
```

```
In[12]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

The condition $w = t$ for w to be a total solution requires special attention:

```
In[13]:= SubstTest[implies, and[FUNCTION[w], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
    equal[t, composite[x, history[w, y]]], equal[V, domain[t]], t -> w]
```

```
Out[13]= or[equal[V, domain[w]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
    not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[w]]] == True
```

```
In[14]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

In practice, it is convenient to replace the condition that w be a function by the condition that x be a function.

```
In[15]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3],
    implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p4], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p4]],
    {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y]],
    p2 -> equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]],
    p3 -> FUNCTION[w], p4 -> equal[V, domain[w]]}]]
```

```
Out[15]= or[equal[V, domain[w]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
    not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]]] == True
```

```
In[16]:= or[equal[V, domain[w_]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y_]]]],
    not[equal[w_, composite[x_, history[w_, y_]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x_]], not[FUNCTION[x_]]] := True
```

Restatement: if x is a total binary function and y is thin, then any total solution w is a total function.

total solutions are partial solutions

It is an easy corollary of the result derived in the preceding section that under the same hypotheses, the domain of a total solution w is invariant under y .

```
In[17]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2],
    implies[and[p1, p2], p3], not[implies[p1, p3]], {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x],
    equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y], totalsolution[w, x, y]],
    p2 -> equal[V, domain[w]], p3 -> invariant[y, domain[w]]}]]]
```

```
Out[17]= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
    not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
    subclass[image[y, domain[w]], domain[w]]] == True
```

```
In[18]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

It follows that if x is a total binary function, and if y is thin, then any total solution is also a partial solution.

```
In[19]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
    totalsolution[w, x, y]], partialsolution[w, x, y]] // NotNotTest
```

```
Out[19]= or[and[subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]],
    subclass[image[y, domain[w]], domain[w]]],
    not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
    not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
    not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]]] == True
```

```
In[20]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

Restatement:

```
In[21]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]],
    thin[y], totalsolution[w, x, y]], partialsolution[w, x, y]]
```

```
Out[21]= True
```

a condition for $\text{rec}[x,y]$ to be a total solution

In this section it is shown that if \mathbf{x} is a total binary function, and if \mathbf{y} is a thin relation whose inverse is well-founded, then $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is a total solution. It is already known that under these conditions $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is a partial solution, and that it is a total function. To complete the proof, the idea is to show that $\text{composite}[x, \text{history}[\text{rec}[x,y], y]]$ is also a function, and therefore $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is a restriction of it. Since $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is a total function, this restriction reduces to an equality.

```
In[22]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, p2],
  implies[p1, p3], implies[and[p2, p3], p4], implies[p1, p5],
  implies[and[p4, p5], p6], not[implies[p1, p6]], {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x],
    equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]],
  p2 -> subclass[rec[x, y], composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]]],
  p3 -> FUNCTION[composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]]],
  p4 -> equal[rec[x, y], composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y],
    id[domain[rec[x, y]]]], p5 -> equal[domain[rec[x, y]], V],
  p6 -> equal[rec[x, y], composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]]]]]
```

```
Out[22]= or[equal[composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]], rec[x, y]],
  not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]] = True
```

```
In[23]:= or[equal[composite[x_, history[rec[x_, y_], y_]], rec[x_, y_]],
  not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y_]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x_]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y_]]] := True
```

Restatement:

```
In[24]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]],
  thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], totalsolution[rec[x, y], x, y]]
```

```
Out[24]= True
```

compatibility of partial solutions

Functions are **compatible** if their union is a function. Recall the following basic theorem about compatibility of partial solutions:

```
In[25]:= implies[
  and[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], partialsolution[u, funpart[x], thinpart[y]],
  partialsolution[v, funpart[x], thinpart[y]], FUNCTION[union[u, v]]]
```

```
Out[25]= True
```

The **funpart** and **thinpart** wrappers can be removed:

```
In[26]:= SubstTest[implies,
  and[equal[s, thinpart[y]], equal[t, funpart[x]], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]],
  partialsolution[u, t, s], partialsolution[v, t, s]],
  FUNCTION[union[u, v]], {s → composite[Id, y], t → x}]
```

```
Out[26]= or[FUNCTION[union[u, v]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[u, composite[x, history[u, y]]]],
  not[subclass[v, composite[x, history[v, y]]]],
  not[subclass[image[y, domain[u]], domain[u]]],
  not[subclass[image[y, domain[v]], domain[v]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]] == True
```

```
In[27]:= (% /. {u → u_, v → v_, x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

Restatement of the compatibility theorem.

```
In[28]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]],
  partialsolution[u, x, y], partialsolution[v, x, y]], FUNCTION[union[u, v]]]
```

```
Out[28]= True
```

a condition for partial solutions to be contained in $\text{rec}[x,y]$

In this section it is shown that under suitable hypotheses, any partial solution is contained in $\text{rec}[x,y]$. When w is a set, this is obvious, because $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is the union of all small partial solutions:

```
In[29]:= implies[member[w, partrec[x, y]], subclass[w, rec[x, y]]]
```

```
Out[29]= True
```

The compatibility theorem for partial solutions can be applied to the case that one of the partial solutions is $\text{rec}[x,y]$:

```
In[30]:= SubstTest[implies,
  and[FUNCTION[x], thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], partialsolution[v, x, y],
  partialsolution[w, x, y]], FUNCTION[union[v, w]], v → rec[x, y]]
```

```
Out[30]= or[FUNCTION[union[w, rec[x, y]]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[subclass[image[y, domain[w]], domain[w]]],
  not[subclass[rec[x, y], composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]] == True
```

```
In[31]:= (% /. {w → w_, x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

This statement can be simplified by using the fact that $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is a partial solution under the assumed hypotheses:

```
In[32]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2, p3], p5],
  implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4, p5], p6],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4], p6]], {p1 → FUNCTION[x], p2 → thin[y],
  p3 → WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], p4 → partialsolution[w, x, y],
  p5 → subclass[rec[x, y], composite[x, history[rec[x, y], y]]],
  p6 → FUNCTION[union[w, rec[x, y]]]}}]
```

```
Out[32]= or[FUNCTION[union[w, rec[x, y]]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[subclass[image[y, domain[w]], domain[w]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]] == True
```

```
In[33]:= (% /. {w → w_, x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

One can now deduce that if x is a total binary function, and if y is a thin relation whose inverse is well-founded, then any partial solution w is contained in $\text{rec}[x,y]$. Here it is not assumed that w is a set.

```
In[34]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p3, p4, p5], p6],
  implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4], p7], implies[and[p6, p7], p8],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2, p3, p4, p5], p8]],
  {p1 → FUNCTION[x], p2 → equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]],
  p3 → thin[y], p4 → WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]],
  p5 → partialsolution[w, x, y], p6 → FUNCTION[union[w, rec[x, y]]],
  p7 → equal[V, domain[rec[x, y]]], p8 → subclass[w, rec[x, y]]}]]
```

```
Out[34]= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[subclass[image[y, domain[w]], domain[w]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], subclass[w, rec[x, y]] == True
```

```
In[35]:= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y_]]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x_]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[image[y_, domain[w_]], domain[w_]]],
  not[subclass[w_, composite[x_, history[w_, y_]]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y_]]], subclass[w_, rec[x_, y_]]] := True
```

Restatement:

```
In[36]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
  WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], partialsolution[w, x, y]], subclass[w, rec[x, y]]]
```

```
Out[36]= True
```

uniqueness theorem

It is an easy corollary of the result derived in the preceding section that, under the same hypotheses, any total solution is contained in **rec[x,y]**.

```
In[37]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3],
  implies[and[p1, p3], p4], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p4]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
    WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], p2 -> totalsolution[w, x, y],
  p3 -> partialsolution[w, x, y], p4 -> subclass[w, rec[x, y]]}]]]
```

```
Out[37]= or[not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
  not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], subclass[w, rec[x, y]]] = True
```

```
In[38]:= (% /. {w -> w_, x -> x_, y -> y_}) /. Equal -> SetDelayed
```

This result can be sharpened to obtain the following uniqueness theorem for **rec[x,y]**:

```
In[39]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3], implies[and[p1, p2], p4],
  implies[and[p1, p4], p5], implies[and[p1, p2], p6], implies[and[p3, p5], p7],
  implies[and[p6, p7], p8], not[implies[and[p1, p2], p8]],
  {p1 -> and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
    WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], p2 -> totalsolution[w, x, y],
  p3 -> equal[V, domain[w]], p4 -> partialsolution[w, x, y],
  p5 -> FUNCTION[union[w, rec[x, y]]], p6 -> subclass[w, rec[x, y]],
  p7 -> subclass[rec[x, y], w], p8 -> equal[w, rec[x, y]]}]]]
```

```
Out[39]= or[equal[w, rec[x, y]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]],
  not[equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], not[FUNCTION[x]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]]] = True
```



```
In[40]:= or[equal[w_, rec[x_, y_]], not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y_]]],
  not[equal[w_, composite[x_, history[w_, y_]]]],
  not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x_]], not[FUNCTION[x_]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y_]]]] := True
```

Restatement: if x is a total binary function, and if y is a thin relation whose inverse is well-founded, then $\text{rec}[x,y]$ is the only total solution.

```
In[41]:= implies[and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
  WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], equal[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  equal[w, rec[x, y]]]
```

```
Out[41]= True
```

The uniqueness theorem can also be restated using **HISTORY** in place of **history**. This is admittedly less easy to read, but it is easier to use because more rewrite rules are available when one uses **HISTORY**.

```
In[42]:= SubstTest[implies, and[equal[t, composite[x, history[w, y]]],
  FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]],
  thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], equal[w, t]],
  equal[w, rec[x, y]], t → composite[x, HISTORY[w, y]]]
```

```
Out[42]= or[equal[w, rec[x, y]],
  not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]], not[equal[w, composite[x,
  id[composite[IMAGE[composite[id[w], inverse[FIRST]]], VERTSECT[y]]],
  inverse[FIRST]]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]]] = True
```

```
In[43]:= (% /. {w → w_, x → x_, y → y_}) /. Equal → SetDelayed
```

comment about an alternative formulation of uniqueness

Under the usual hypotheses, the conditions $\text{equal}[V, \text{domain}[w]]$ and $\text{subclass}[w, \text{composite}[x, \text{history}[w, y]]]$ imply that $w = \text{rec}[x, y]$.

```
In[44]:= Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[and[p1, p2], p3],
  implies[p1, p4], implies[and[p3, p4], p5], implies[and[p2, p5], p6],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2], p6]], {p1 → and[FUNCTION[x],
  equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]],
  p2 → and[equal[V, domain[w]], subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  p3 → subclass[w, rec[x, y]], p4 → FUNCTION[rec[x, y]], p5 →
  equal[w, composite[rec[x, y], id[domain[w]]]], p6 → equal[w, rec[x, y]]}]
```

```
Out[44]= or[equal[w, rec[x, y]], not[equal[V, domain[w]]],
  not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y]]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x]], not[subclass[w, composite[x, history[w, y]]]],
  not[subclass[w, rec[x, y]]], not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]]] = True
```

```
In[45]:= or[equal[w_, rec[x_, y_]], not[equal[V, domain[w_]]],
  not[equal[V, domain[VERTSECT[y_]]]], not[equal[cart[V, V], domain[x_]]],
  not[FUNCTION[x_]], not[subclass[w_, composite[x_, history[w_, y_]]]],
  not[WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y_]]]] := True
```

In practice this version of the uniqueness theorem appears to be harder to use. For the application considered below, the **subclass** version of the recursion condition on **w** led to complicated rewrites that are avoided when one uses the **equal** form.

RANK

The theory of rank and the Zermelo-von Neumann cumulative hierarchy were developed a few years ago without using recursive definitions. In this section, some of the facts about **rank** and the corresponding function **RANK** are reviewed. The **rank** of a regular set **x** is the lowest level of the cumulative hierarchy that holds it. The class of levels that hold a given set **x** is:

```
In[46]:= class[w, and[member[w, OMEGA], member[x, image[z, singleton[w]]]]] /. z → ZN
```

```
Out[46]= intersection[OMEGA, image[inverse[ZN], singleton[x]]]
```

The intersection of this is class is

```
In[47]:= A[intersection[OMEGA, image[inverse[ZN], singleton[x]]]]
```

```
Out[47]= rank[x]
```

Note that if **x** is regular, it does belong to the level of its rank.

```
In[48]:= member[x, image[ZN, singleton[rank[x]]]]
```

```
Out[48]= member[x, REGULAR]
```

The corresponding function **RANK** is **lambda[x, rank[x]]**, or equivalently:

```
In[49]:= VERTSECT[reify[x, rank[x]]]
```

```
Out[49]= RANK
```

The connection between **rank[x]** and **RANK** can also be exhibited more simply as follows:

```
In[50]:= member[pair[x, y], RANK]
```

```
Out[50]= and[equal[y, rank[x]], member[y, V]]
```

The condition that **y** be a set forces **x** to be regular:

```
In[51]:= member[pair[x, rank[x]], RANK]
```

```
Out[51]= member[x, REGULAR]
```

In other words, the domain of **RANK** is the class of regular sets:

```
In[52]:= domain[RANK]
```

```
Out[52]= REGULAR
```

a recursion relation for RANK

The rank of a regular set can be recursively computed using the following recursion relation:

```
In[53]:= implies[member[x, REGULAR], equal[rank[x], tc[image[RANK, x]]]]
```

```
Out[53]= True
```

The corresponding recursion relation holds for the function **RANK**.

```
In[54]:= composite[TC, IMAGE[RANK]]
```

```
Out[54]= composite[RANK, IMAGE[id[REGULAR]]]
```

The goal in this section is to provide a connection between these facts and the theory of well-founded recursion, using the following well-founded relation:

```
In[55]:= WELLFOUNDED[composite[id[REGULAR], E]]
```

```
Out[55]= True
```

One needs of course to extend **RANK** to a total function. The following result summarizes the connection between **RANK** and **rec[x,y]**.

```
In[56]:= equal[w, composite[x, HISTORY[w, y]]] /.
  {w -> union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]],
   x -> composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND],
   y -> composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]}
```

```
Out[56]= True
```

Using the **HISTORY** form of the uniqueness theorem, one finds:

```
In[57]:= SubstTest[implies, and[FUNCTION[x], equal[cart[V, V], domain[x]], thin[y],
  WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]], equal[w, composite[x, HISTORY[w, y]]]],
  equal[w, rec[x, y]],
  {w -> union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]],
   x -> composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND],
   y -> composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]}]
```

```
Out[57]= equal[rec[composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND],
  composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]],
  union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]]] == True
```

```
In[58]:= rec[composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND],
  composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]] :=
  union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]]
```

some corollaries

```
In[59]:= SubstTest[implies,
  and[FUNCTION[x], thin[y], WELLFOUNDED[inverse[y]]], FUNCTION[rec[x, y]],
  {x -> composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND],
   y -> composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]}]
```

```
Out[59]= FUNCTION[union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]]] == True
```

```
In[60]:= FUNCTION[union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]]] := True
```

Lemma needed to derive a **history** form of the recursion relation:

```
In[61]:= SubstTest[implies, equal[t, HISTORY[x, y]],  
               equal[composite[IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND, t],  
                     composite[IMAGE[x], VERTSECT[y]]], t → history[x, y]]  
  
Out[61]= equal[composite[IMAGE[x], VERTSECT[y]],  
              composite[IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND, history[x, y]]] == True  
  
In[62]:= composite[IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND, history[x_, y_]] :=  
          composite[IMAGE[x], VERTSECT[y]]
```

The **history** form of the recursion relation holds:

```
In[63]:= composite[TC, IMAGE[SECOND], SECOND,  
                  history[union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]],  
                          composite[inverse[E], id[REGULAR]]]]  
  
Out[63]= union[RANK, cart[complement[REGULAR], singleton[0]]]
```