

Otter's proof of Theorem ON-SUC-7

Johan G. F. Belinfante
revised 2003 January 21

```
<< goedel52.r05; << tools.m

:Package Title: goedel52.r05          2003 January 20 at 4:45 p.m.

It is now: 2003 Jan 20 at 17:35

Loading Simplification Rules

TOOLS.M              Revised 2002 December 27

weightlimit = 40
```

■ summary

This notebook contains a derivation of Theorem **ON-SUC-7** as it was proved using **Otter** in 1997 June 6. The only purpose of reproducing the old **Otter** proof is just to illustrate how one can use the **GOEDEL** program to manually derive theorems in more or less the same way that one would do it using an automated theorem prover. A second version of **Otter**'s proof is presented which avoids the use of variables.

■ Otter's proof of Theorem ON-SUC-7

The main ingredient of **Otter**'s proof is Theorem **ON-SUC-6**, which states that limit ordinals are successor-invariant. The **GOEDEL** program already has a variable-free version of this theorem, so we just need to restore the variables:

```
SubstTest[implies, and[member[x, y], subclass[y, z]], member[x, z],
  {y -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], z -> invar[SUCC]}] // MapNotNot

or[not[equal[x, U[x]]], not[member[x, OMEGA]], subclass[image[SUCC, x], x]] == True

or[not[equal[x_, U[x_]]], not[member[x_, OMEGA]], subclass[image[SUCC, x_], x_]] := True
```

The rest of the proof consists of using ordinary mathematical induction, and Corollary **ON-I-B**.

```
Map[not, SubstTest[and, implies[p1, or[p3, p4]],
  implies[and[p1, p2], p5], implies[and[p4, p5], p6],
  not[implies[and[p1, p2], or[p3, p6]]],
  {p1 -> member[x, OMEGA], p2 -> equal[U[x], x], p3 -> equal[0, x], p4 -> member[0, x],
  p5 -> invariant[SUCC, x], p6 -> subclass[omega, x]}]]

or[equal[0, x], not[equal[x, U[x]]], not[member[x, OMEGA]], subclass[omega, x]] == True
```

This is Theorem **ON-SUC-7**.

```
or[equal[0, x_], not[equal[x_, U[x_]]],
  not[member[x_, OMEGA]], subclass[omega, x_]] := True
```

■ a variable-freeproof of Theorem ON-SUC-7

Ordinary mathematical induction can be stated in variable-freeform as follows:

```
subclass[intersection[invar[SUCC], image[E, singleton[0]]], image[S, singleton[omega]]]
True
```

The theorem that limit ordinals are successor invariant is also available in variable-freeform:

```
subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], invar[SUCC]]
True
```

The only non-obviousstep required is this reformulation of the variable-freeformulation of mathematical induction.

```
subclass[invar[SUCC],
  union[image[S, singleton[omega]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]] // AssertTest
subclass[invar[SUCC],
  union[image[S, singleton[omega]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]] == True

subclass[invar[SUCC],
  union[image[S, singleton[omega]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]] := True
```

The rest of the proof just combines the above facts, using the transitivity of inclusion.

```
SubstTest[implies, and[subclass[u, v], subclass[v, w]], subclass[u, w],
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], v -> invar[SUCC],
   w -> union[image[S, singleton[omega]], complement[image[E, singleton[0]]]}]
subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  union[image[S, singleton[omega]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]] == True
```

This is a variable-freeform of Theorem ON-SUC-7.

```
subclass[intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]],
  union[image[S, singleton[omega]], P[complement[singleton[0]]]] := True
```

The following corollary follows immediately:

```
SubstTest[subclass, dif[u, v], w,
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, fix[BIGCUP]], v -> complement[image[E, singleton[0]]],
   w -> image[S, singleton[omega]]}
subclass[omega,
  A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[P[complement[singleton[0]]]], fix[BIGCUP]]] == True

subclass[omega,
  A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[P[complement[singleton[0]]]], fix[BIGCUP]]] := True
```

This inclusion can in fact be strengthened to an equation, but we do not pursue this further here.

■ variable-free equational reformulation of Corollary ON-1-B

The variable-free proof of Theorem **ON-SUC-7** avoids Corollary **ON-1-B**. In this section we derive two variable-free equations related to Corollary **ON-1-B**. The present version of the **GOEDEL** program contains a version of Corollary **ON-1-B** with variables, so applying **Normality** brings variables into play and yields the variable-free version..

```
Map[equal[V, #] &,
  dif[OMEGA, union[singleton[0], image[E, singleton[0]]]] // complement // Normality]
subclass[intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]] == True
subclass[intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]] := True
```

The inclusion holds in both directions, so we get an equational version of Corollary **ON-1-B**.

```
SubstTest[and, subclass[u, v], subclass[v, u],
  {u -> intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]], v -> singleton[0]}]
True == equal[intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]]
Equal[intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]]
intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]] == singleton[0]
intersection[OMEGA, P[complement[singleton[0]]]] := singleton[0]
```

Another variable-free equation can be derived from Corollary **ON-1-B** as follows.

```
member[0, A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]]] // AssertTest
member[0, A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]]] == True
member[0, A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]]] := True
SubstTest[implies, member[x, y], subclass[A[y], x],
  {x -> singleton[0], y -> intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]}]
subclass[A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]] == True
subclass[A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]] := True
```

Since the inclusion holds in both directions, we get an equation which says that **singleton[0]** is the least ordinal greater than **0**.

```
SubstTest[and, subclass[u, v], subclass[v, u],
  {u -> A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]], v -> singleton[0]}]
True == equal[A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]], singleton[0]]
A[intersection[OMEGA, complement[singleton[0]]]] := singleton[0]
```