

## 4. Measures

### 4.1 Definitions & Properties

A measure assigns a "size" to each element of a collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Complete definitions & discussion are given in the Appendix; we provide here a brief review of terminology & properties.

Our focus will be on Borel measures, which are defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is the smallest collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that:

- i. contains all the open sets
- ii. Is closed under ~~any~~ countable unions, &
- iii. Is closed under complements

A function  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is a signed Borel measure if

- a.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- b.  $\mu$  takes at most one of the values  $\pm\infty$ , &
- c. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_k E_k\right) = \sum_k \mu(E_k).$$

If  $\mu(E) \geq 0$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mu$  is a positive measure (often simply called a measure in many texts). We write  $\mu \geq 0$  in this case.

A function  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a complex Borel measure if

a.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

b. If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_k E_k\right) = \sum_k \mu(E_k).$$

A signed measure  $\mu$  is bounded if  $|\mu(E)| < \infty \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}$ .

This terminology is due to the fact that if  $\mu$  is bounded, then

$$(*) \quad \sup_{E \in \mathcal{B}} |\mu(E)| < \infty.$$

All complex measures are automatically bounded, & they also satisfy (\*).

A signed measure  $\mu$  has a unique Jordan decomposition

of the form  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  where  $\mu^+, \mu^- \geq 0$  and

$\mu^+ \perp \mu^-$ , i.e.,  $\exists$  disjoint sets  $A, B$  s.t.  $\mathbb{R} = A \cup B$ ,

$\mu^+(B) = 0$ , &  $\mu^-(A) = 0$ .

The total variation of a signed measure is a positive

measure  $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ . We have

$$|\mu(E)| \leq |\mu|(E) \leq |\mu|(\mathbb{R}) = \|\mu\|, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B};$$

if  $\mu$  is bounded then  $|\mu|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$  and (\*) follows.

The total variation  $|\mu|$  of a complex measure is a little less straightforward to ~~define~~ define, but again it is a positive measure, &  $|\mu(E)| \leq |\mu|(E) \leq |\mu|(\mathbb{R}) = \|\mu\| < \infty$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mu \geq 0$  then  $|\mu| = \mu$ .

Integration of real or complex-valued Borel-measurable functions  $f$  can be defined w.r.t. a signed or complex Borel measure, & we have that

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d|\mu|.$$

$L^1(\mu) = L^1(|\mu|)$  consists of all Borel measurable functions  $f$  st.  $\int |f| d|\mu| < \infty$ .

A Borel measure  $\mu$  is locally finite if  $|\mu(K)| < \infty$  for each compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . All locally finite positive Borel measures are both outer regular & inner regular, i.e.,

for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  we have both

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : U \supseteq E, U \text{ open} \}$$

and

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact} \}.$$

In the Appendix, the definition of a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  is made. Because the real line is  $\sigma$ -finite & has other properties, TFAE:

- a.  $\mu$  is a locally finite positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$
- b.  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Also, TFAE:

- a'.  $\mu$  is a bounded positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- b'.  $\mu$  is a bounded Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

These characterizations extend to signed & complex measures. We say that a signed measure  $\nu$  is a signed Radon measure if the positive measures  $\nu^+, \nu^-$  in the Jordan decomposition  $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$  are Radon measures, & we say that a complex measure  $\nu = \nu_r + i\nu_i$  is a complex Radon measure if its real & imaginary parts  $\nu_r, \nu_i$  are signed Radon measures.

With this notation the bounded signed Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  coincide with the bounded signed Radon measures, &

The bounded complex Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  coincide with the bounded complex Radon measures.

We define

$$M(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \nu : \nu \text{ is a complex Radon measure on } \mathbb{R} \}.$$

This is a complete Banach space under the norm

$$\| \nu \| = | \nu |(\mathbb{R}).$$

We have  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq M(\mathbb{R})$  in the sense that each

$f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  determines a unique bounded Radon measure

$$\nu_f = f(x) dx. \quad \text{In fact, } L^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is identified}$$

with ~~the~~ a subspace of measures that are

absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue measure, i.e.,

$$\text{the range of } f \mapsto f(x) dx \text{ is } \{ \nu \in M(\mathbb{R}) : \nu \ll dx \}.$$

If we define the complex conjugate of a measure  $\nu$

by  $\bar{\nu}(E) = \overline{\nu(E)}$ , then each  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  determines

a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\langle f, \nu \rangle = \int f(x) d\nu(x), \quad f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$$

The Riesz Representation Theorem for  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  asserts that all linear functionals on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  have this form, i.e.,

$$C_0(\mathbb{R})^* = M(\mathbb{R}).$$

Exercise

Show that  $M_b(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

More precisely, given  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , define  $L_\nu: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\langle f, L_\nu \rangle = \int f(x) d\nu(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Show that  $\nu \mapsto L_\nu$  is a continuous injection of  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  into  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

Exercise

Show that if  $\nu$  is an arbitrary signed Radon measure

(i.e., possibly unbounded), then  $L_\nu: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

defined by

$$\langle f, L_\nu \rangle = \int f(x) d\nu(x), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

Remark

In particular, Lebesgue measure  $dx$  is identified with the constant function 1 (viewed as a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ ).

Exercise

Show that

$$\delta(E) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \in E, \\ 0, & 0 \notin E, \end{cases} \quad E \in \mathcal{B},$$

~~defines~~ defines a bounded positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Exercise

Prove that  $M(\mathbb{R})$  is not separable.



### Exercise

Show that  $\delta' \notin M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.,  $\delta'$  distribution  $\delta'$  cannot be extended to be a continuous linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Exercise

Let  $f_k, f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Show that  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  if & only if

- i.  $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$
- ii.  $\sup_k \|f_k\|_\infty < \infty$ .

Hint: Uniform Boundedness Principle.

### Exercise

Let  $\mu_k, \mu \in M(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Show that  $\mu_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$  does not imply  $\|\mu_k\| \rightarrow \|\mu\|$ .

Hint:  $\delta_k$ , point mass at  $k$ .

### Definition

Given a signed or complex Borel measure  $\mu$ , we say

Let  $\mu$  is concentrated on  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  if

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \mu(A) = \mu(A \cap E).$$

We define

$$\text{supp}(\mu) = \bigcap \{E \in \mathcal{B} : \mu \text{ is concentrated on } E\}$$

### Exercise

a. Show that  $\text{supp}(\delta) = \{0\}$ .

b. Show that if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\text{supp}(f) = \text{supp}(f dx)$ ,

ie., the support of the function  $f$  & the measure

$f dx$  coincide.

c. Let  $\nu$  be a signed or complex Radon measure. By an earlier exercise,  $\nu \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that the support of  $\nu$  as a measure coincides with its support as a distribution.

### Exercise

Let  $S$  denote a subspace of  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  containing all bounded Radon measures with compact support. Show that  $S$  is dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

Hint:  $\|v\| = |v|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ , & if  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is compact, then

$\mu(E) = v(E \cap K)$   
defines a measure with compact support.

### Remark

Let  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Then 2 measures  $\bar{\nu}$  &  $\tilde{\nu}$  are defined by

$$\bar{\nu}(E) = \overline{\nu(E)}, \quad \tilde{\nu}(E) = \overline{\nu(-E)}, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}$$

An exercise in 2 Appendix shows that for  $\nu$ -integrable  $f$ ,

$$\int f(x) d\bar{\nu}(x) = \overline{\int f(x) d\nu(x)},$$

& ~~is~~ for  $\tilde{\nu}$ -integrable  $f$  (equivalent to  $f(-x) \in L^1(\nu)$ ),

$$\int f(x) d\tilde{\nu}(x) = \overline{\int f(-x) d\nu(x)} = \overline{\int \tilde{f}(x) d\nu(x)}$$

### Exercise

Let  $\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_n$  be the delta train. An earlier ~~exercise~~ exercise showed that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . a. Show that  $\mu$  is a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , & that  $\mu$  is unbounded ( $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ ).

b. Show directly that  $f \mapsto \langle f, \mu \rangle$  is an unbounded linear functional on  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  w.r.t. the  $L^\infty$ -norm topology on  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ .

c. Show directly that  $f \mapsto \langle f, \mu \rangle$  is a continuous linear functional w.r.t. the inductive limit topology corresponding to  $C_c(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{K \text{ compact}} C(K)$ . This is the topology whose convergence criterion is:

$$f_k \rightarrow f \text{ in } C_c(\mathbb{R}) \text{ if } \begin{array}{l} \text{i. } \exists \text{ compact } K \text{ s.t. } \text{supp}(f_k) \subseteq K \forall k \\ \text{ii. } \|f - f_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{array}$$

## 4.2 Convolution of Measures

Since a bounded Radon measure  $\nu$  is a tempered distribution, we already have a definition for  $\mathcal{Q}$  convolution of  $\nu$  with a Schwartz-class  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (f * \nu)(x) &= \overline{\langle T_x \tilde{f}, \nu \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\int \tilde{f}(y-x) d\nu(y)} \\ &= \int f(x-y) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $f * \nu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with at most polynomial growth at  $\infty$ . But in fact

$f * \nu$  is bounded since

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * \nu)(x)| &\leq \int |f(x-y)| d|\nu|(y) \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \int d|\nu| \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \|\nu\|. \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

But note that  $\nu$  is also a bounded linear functional

on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  since  $M_b(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$ , and equation

(\*) says that

$$L_\nu : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) (\subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \\ f \longmapsto f * \nu$$

is a bounded map on a dense subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  (under the  $L^\infty$ -norm). Hence this map must have a continuous extension to all of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e., we can define ~~the~~  $f * \nu$  for  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Exercise

Show that this extension is given by the following formula:

If  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  then

$$(f * \nu)(x) = \int f(x-y) d\nu(y).$$

Hint: Just show that with this definition,  $f \mapsto f * \nu$  is a continuous map of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

The extension of a bounded linear map on a dense subspace is unique, so this is what it must be.

Exercise

Fix  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , &  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Prove the following.

a. ~~Prove~~  $f * \nu \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$

b. If  $f, \nu$  both have compact support, then  $f * \nu \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ .

c. If either  $f$  or  $\nu$  has compact support, then  $f * \nu \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

Hint:  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , &  $\delta$  measures with compact support are dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

d. Show that  $f * \nu \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$

Exercise

Fix  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that

$$f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f * \nu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}),$$

and a  $\#$   $L^2$  case,

$$\|f * \nu\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|\nu\|$$

## Convolution of measures

To motivate our next definition, suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we have  $f * \nu \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , but also

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f * \nu(x)| dx &\leq \int \int |f(x-y)| d\nu(y) dx \\ &= \int \int |f(x-y)| dx d\nu(y) \leftarrow \text{Tonelli: OK since } |f| \geq 0 \\ &= \int \|f\|_1 d\nu(y) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \|\nu\| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f * \nu \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $f * \nu$  is identified with the  $\int f * \nu dx$  measure  $\checkmark$  in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Also  $d\mu = f dx$  defines a  $\mu * \nu$  measure in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , so we can regard  $f * \nu \checkmark$  as  $\mu * \nu$  in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

as the convolution of two measures. Further, as

the  $\int f * \nu dx$  measure  $\checkmark$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f * \nu)(E) &= \int_E (f * \nu)(x) dx \\ &= \int_E \int f(x-y) d\nu(y) dx \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \int_E f(x-y) \, dx \, d\nu(y) \\
&= \int \int_{E-y} f(x) \, dx \, d\nu(y) \\
&= \int \mu(E-y) \, d\nu(y).
\end{aligned}$$

This suggests the following definition.

Definition

If  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\mu * \nu$  is defined by

$$(\mu * \nu)(E) = \int \mu(E-y) \, d\nu(y), \quad E \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Theorem

If  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\mu * \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof:

First note that  $(\mu * \nu)(E)$  is well-defined, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\mu(E-y)| \, d\nu(y) &\leq \int \|\mu\| \, d\nu(y) \\
&= \|\mu\| \|\nu\| < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We clearly have  $(\mu * \nu)(\emptyset) = 0$ , so suppose that

$E_1, E_2, \dots$  are disjoint Borel sets &  $E = \bigcup_k E_k$ .

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \mu(E_k - y) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k - y) = \mu(E - y),$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(E_k - y) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_k - y)| = |\mu(E - y)| \leq \|\mu\| < \infty.$$

Since  $\nu$  is a bounded measure, & constant function  $\|\mu\|$  is  $\nu$ -integrable. Hence by the LDCT,

~~Therefore~~

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu * \nu)(E_k) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \int \mu(E_k - y) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \mu(E - y) d\nu(y) \\ &= (\mu * \nu)(E). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu * \nu$  is a complex Borel measure.  $\blacksquare$

## Exercises

a. Show that if  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\mu * \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\|\mu * \nu\| \leq \|\mu\| \|\nu\|.$$

Thus  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach algebra under convolution.

b. Show that if  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  then

$$(\mu * \nu)(E) = \iint \chi_E(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

c. Show that convolution is commutative & associative.

d. Show that  $\delta$  is a unit w.r.t. convolution, i.e.,

$$\nu * \delta = \delta * \nu = \nu, \quad \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

e. Identifying  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with the measure  $f dx \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is an ideal in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.,

$$f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f * \nu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, show that  $f * \nu$  is the function

$$(f * \nu)(x) = \int f(x-y) d\nu(y).$$

f. Show that  $L'(\mathbb{R})$  is a closed ideal in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

That is, show that if  $f_n \in L'(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f_n dx \rightarrow v \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,

then  $dv = f dx$  for some  $f \in L'(\mathbb{R})$ .

Exercise

Show that if  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\mu, \nu \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mu * \nu \geq 0,$$

and that in this case  $\|\mu * \nu\| = \|\mu\| \|\nu\|$ .

Exercise

a. Suppose  $\nu, \mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mu, \nu$  are tempered distributions.

If either ~~mu~~  $\nu$  or  $\mu$  is compactly supported, then

$\mu * \nu$  is defined as a <sup>tempered</sup> distribution by

$$(*) \quad \langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \langle f * \tilde{\mu}, \nu \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Show that this formula is valid even if we do not assume that one of  $\mu, \nu$  is compactly supported.

Hint: The compactly supported measures are dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\exists$  compactly supported  $\mu_k \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\mu_k \rightarrow \mu$  in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

b. Show that (\*) extends to  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

~~the~~ A distributional approach allows us to give ~~a formula for~~  
a formula for  $\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle$ .

Theorem

Let  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Then

$$\forall f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}), \quad \langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \int \int f(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Proof:

Suppose ~~that~~ Let  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \langle f * \tilde{\mu}, \nu \rangle \quad (\text{earlier exercise}).$$

$$= \int (f * \tilde{\mu})(y) d\nu(y)$$

$$= \int \int f(y-x) d\tilde{\mu}(x) d\nu(y)$$

$$= \int \int \overline{f(y+x)} d\mu(x) d\nu(y)$$

$$= \int \int f(y+x) d\mu(x) d\nu(y). \quad \blacksquare$$

~~Remark~~ Remark: This shows that if  
~~the~~  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then ~~the~~ the definition of their  
convolution as measures coincides with the definition of  
their convolution as distributions.

Exercise

Extend the preceding theorem to  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e., show that  
if  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  then

$$\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \iint f(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

### Remark/Exercise

An alternative approach to the preceding ~~exercise~~ result would be to directly evaluate  $\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle$  based on the definition of the integral of  $f$  w.r.t. the measure  $\overline{\mu * \nu}$ .

In particular, if  $\mu, \nu$  are positive measures in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \chi_E(x) d(\mu * \nu)(x) &= (\mu * \nu)(E) \\ &= \int \mu(E-y) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int \chi_{E-y}(x) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \int \chi_E(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Extend ~~the~~  $\int$  to simple functions, nonnegative functions, real-valued functions, & complex-valued functions in turn ~~to~~ to show that for Borel measurable  $f$  (assume bounded if necessary):

$$\int f(x) d(\mu * \nu)(x) = \int \int f(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Extend ~~the~~  $\int$  to signed measures & complex measures in turn ~~to~~ to show that

$$\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \int f(x) d(\overline{\mu * \nu})(x) = \int \int f(x+y) d\bar{\mu}(x) d\bar{\nu}(y).$$

Show directly that we also have

$$\langle f * \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu} \rangle = \int \int f(x+y) d\bar{\mu}(x) d\bar{\nu}(y).$$



### Exercise

Suppose that  $\nu_n \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sup_n |\nu_n|(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\lambda, \lambda]) \right] = 0.$$

Show that if  $\nu_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\hat{\nu}_n(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(\xi)$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hint: First show pointwise convergence. If  $\hat{k}_\lambda \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , consider

$$\langle e^{2\pi i \xi x} \hat{k}_\lambda(\xi), \nu_n \rangle.$$

### 4.3 Fourier Transform of Measures

If  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  then it is a tempered distribution & therefore has a distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\nu} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ .  
But in fact, the F.T. is given by a function.  
To motivate this, consider first a measure  $d\nu = f dx$  where  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i s x} dx = \int e^{-2\pi i s x} d\nu(x).$$

Identifying  $f$  with  $\nu$ , suggests the following definition.

#### Definition

The F.T. of  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\hat{\nu}(s) = \int e^{-2\pi i s x} d\nu(x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note: The F.T. of measures is often called the Fourier-Stieltjes transform (e.g., see Katznelson).

Note that  $\hat{\nu}$  is a well-defined bounded function, since

$$|\hat{\nu}(s)| \leq \int |e^{-2\pi i s x}| d|\nu|(x) = \|\nu\| < \infty.$$

### Exercise

Show that if  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\hat{\nu}$  is uniformly continuous.

Thus, the F.T. is a continuous map of  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  into  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . However, since

$$\hat{\delta}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi x} d\delta(x) = e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot 0} = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

we see that the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma does not extend to measures, & thus the range of  $F: M_b(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is not contained in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Definition

$$B(\mathbb{R}) = \{\hat{\nu} : \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})\}$$

### Exercise

$B(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach algebra w.r.t. pointwise multiplication, under the norm

$$\|\hat{\nu}\|_B = \|\nu\|$$

### Theorem

If  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge = \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu}$ .

### Proof:

An earlier formula shows that if  $f$  is bounded & measurable, then

$$\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \iint f(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Applying this to  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}$  shows that

$$\int f(x) d(\mu * \nu)(x) = \iint f(x+y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * \nu)^\wedge(s) &= \int e^{-2\pi i s x} d(\mu * \nu)(x) \\ &= \iint e^{-2\pi i s(x+y)} d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \left( \int e^{-2\pi i s x} d\mu(x) \right) e^{-2\pi i s y} d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \hat{\mu}(s) e^{-2\pi i s y} d\nu(y) \\ &= \hat{\mu}(s) \hat{\nu}(s). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In order to show the relation between the F.T. & the distributional F.T., we need the following result.

Theorem (Parseval Formula)

Let  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given. If  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int \hat{f}(s) \overline{\hat{\nu}(s)} ds = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\nu} \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle = \int f(x) d\nu(x).$$

Proof:

The hypotheses imply that  $f, \hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , so

$\langle f, \nu \rangle = \int f(x) d\nu(x)$  is defined. Further, the

Inversion Formula applies, i.e.,

$$f(x) = (\hat{\hat{f}})^\vee(x) = \int \hat{f}(s) e^{2\pi i s x} ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

equality holding pointwise ~~is~~ everywhere. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x) d\nu(x) &= \int \int \hat{f}(s) e^{2\pi i s x} ds d\nu(x) \\ &= \int \int \hat{f}(s) e^{2\pi i s x} d\nu(x) ds \\ &= \int \hat{f}(s) \overline{\int e^{-2\pi i s x} d\nu(x)} ds \\ &= \int \hat{f}(s) \overline{\hat{\nu}(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Use Fubini's Theorem to justify the interchange in the order of integration.  $\blacksquare$

Exercise

Let  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given.

a. Show that  $\hat{\hat{\nu}}(\xi) = \tilde{\hat{\nu}}(\xi) = \overline{\hat{\nu}(-\xi)}$ .

b. Show that if  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int f(x) d\nu(x) = \int \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{\nu}(-\xi) d\xi.$$

Corollary

If  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then the definition of  $\hat{\nu}$  given above coincides with the F.T. of  $\nu$  as a tempered distribution.

Proof:

If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , so by the Parseval Formula, ~~the following holds~~

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\nu} \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle. \text{ Thus } \hat{\nu} \text{ is the F.T. of } \nu \text{ as a}$$

measure, acts on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  just as the distributional F.T. of  $\nu$

does, & hence it is the distributional F.T.  $\blacksquare$

Corollary: Uniqueness Theorem

If  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\hat{\nu} = 0$ , then  $\nu = 0$ .

Proof:

If  $\hat{\nu} = 0$ , then for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$\langle f, \nu \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\nu} \rangle = 0$ . Hence  $\nu$  is the zero distribution,

& since the embedding of  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$  into  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is

injective, we conclude  $\nu$  is the zero measure.

Alternatively,  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  in  $L^\infty$ -norm,

so the fact that  $\langle f, \nu \rangle = 0$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  extends

to all  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $M_b(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$ , the

implies  $\nu = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

By using approximate identities, we can extend the Parseval formula as follows.

### Theorem

Let  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Then for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\langle f, \nu \rangle = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{k}_\lambda(\xi) \overline{\hat{\nu}(\xi)} d\xi,$$

where  $\{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$  is a fixed approximate identity. Let  $\hat{k}_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \forall \lambda$ .

### Proof:

By definition of approximate identity,  $M = \sup \|k_\lambda\|_1 < \infty$ .

Note that  $\|f * k_\lambda\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \|k_\lambda\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\infty M$ .

If  $f = 0$  then we are done, so we may assume

$\|f\|_\infty > 0$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then since  $|\nu|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ ,

$\exists$  compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$|\nu|(\mathbb{R} \setminus K) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty M)}.$$

By an earlier exercise, since  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$  we have that

$f * k_\lambda \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact sets.



Since  $|f(x) - (f * k_\lambda)(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty M$  and

constants are  $|v|$ -integrable, it therefore follows

from the LDCT that  $\exists \lambda_0$  s.t.

$$\lambda > \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \int_K |f(x) - (f * k_\lambda)(x)| d|v|(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int (f(x) - (f * k_\lambda)(x)) d\bar{v}(x) \right| \\ & \leq \int_K |f(x) - (f * k_\lambda)(x)| d|v|(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus K} (\|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty M) d|v|(x) \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + (\|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty M) |v|(\mathbb{R} \setminus K) \\ & < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\langle f * k_\lambda, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, v \rangle$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $f * k_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Also

$\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\hat{k}_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\hat{f} \hat{k}_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore

by the Parseval Formula proved earlier,

$$\langle f * k_\lambda, v \rangle = \langle (\hat{f} * \hat{k}_\lambda)^\wedge, v \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \hat{f} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{v} \rangle \\
&= \int \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{k}_\lambda(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

### Corollary

If  $v \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\langle f, \tilde{v} \rangle = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \hat{f}(\xi) \left(1 - \frac{|\xi|}{\lambda}\right) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

### Proof

Let  $\{k_\lambda\}$  be the Fejer kernel & apply the preceding result.  $\blacksquare$

The following criterion is often useful for checking that the F.T. of a given ~~function~~ measure equals a candidate ~~function~~ function.

Notation

$$FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \hat{f} : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) &= \{ f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f} \text{ is compactly supported} \} \\ &= \{ f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Suppose  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  are s.t.

$$\forall f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle.$$

Then  $\hat{\nu} = \varphi$ .

Proof:

By an earlier theorem, we can apply the Parseval Equality to conclude that

$$\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\nu} \rangle \quad \text{all } f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\nu} \rangle$  for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\varphi$  &  $\hat{\nu}$  define the same distribution, & hence are equal a.e. Since both are continuous functions, they must be equal everywhere.

Alternatively, we can see the equality directly. Since  $\hat{\nu} - \varphi$  is continuous, ~~it is a continuous function~~  
~~its real & imaginary parts are both continuous.~~  
 its real & imaginary parts are both continuous.

Suppose  $\operatorname{Re}(\hat{v}(z_0) - \varphi(z_0)) > 0$  for some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\exists$  open interval  $(a, b)$  containing  $z_0$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{Re}(\hat{v}(z) - \varphi(z)) > 0 \text{ for } z \in (a, b).$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  be s.t.  $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}) = [a, b]$ ,

$\hat{f}$  is real-valued &  $\hat{f} > 0$  on  $(a, b)$ . Then

since  $f \in \mathcal{F}C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \operatorname{Re}(\langle \hat{f}, \hat{v} \rangle - \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle) \\ &= \int \hat{f}(z) \operatorname{Re}(\hat{v}(z) - \varphi(z)) dz \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\operatorname{Re}(\hat{v} - \varphi) = 0$ , &

similarly  $\operatorname{Im}(\hat{v} - \varphi) = 0$ , so  $\hat{v} = \varphi$  everywhere.  $\square$

Which functions are the Fourier transforms of measures?  
This is a very difficult question, essentially just as difficult as characterizing  $A(\mathbb{R})$  ~~explicitly~~ explicitly.

One implicit characterization is the following result. Essentially, a function is the F.T. of a measure if it is the F.T. of a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . However, in order to test boundedness we must work on the F.T. side, & hence need to restrict to some dense subset of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  in order that all the quantities involved will be defined.

Lemma (see Katznelson)

Let  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Then TFAE.

a.  $\varphi = \hat{\nu}$  for some  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.,  $\varphi \in B(\mathbb{R})$ .

b.  $\exists C > 0$  s.t.  $\forall f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_\infty$ .

Proof

a  $\Rightarrow$  b. If  $\varphi = \hat{\nu}$  &  $f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , then by the Parseval Equality we have

$$|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\nu} \rangle| = |\langle f, \nu \rangle| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\nu\|.$$

$b \Rightarrow a$ . Suppose that statement  $b$  holds. Define

$$\mu: FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ by } \langle f, \mu \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle, f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Considering  $FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  as a subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  under the  $L^\infty$ -norm, statement  $b$  implies that  $\mu$  is a bounded

linear functional on  $FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\exists$  unique extension of  $\mu$  to a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus

$\mu \in C_0(\mathbb{R})^* = M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $\exists$  unique  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  st.

$$\langle f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle \quad \forall f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}). \text{ In particular, for}$$

$$\text{for } f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle.$$

The preceding lemma therefore implies that  $\varphi = \hat{\nu}$ . □

If  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  is a positive measure, then  
for any  $f \geq 0$  s.t.  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu} \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle = \int f(x) d\mu(x) \geq 0.$$

The following result gives a converse to this fact, implicitly  
characterizing ~~the~~ those bounded continuous functions that  
are the F.T. of a bounded positive measure.

### Theorem

Given  $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , TFAE.

a.  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\mu \geq 0$ .

b.  $\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f \geq 0$ .

### Proof:

a  $\Rightarrow$  b. Suppose  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some positive measure  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f \geq 0$ , then

$f, \hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , so by Parseval Equality we have

$$\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu} \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle = \int f(x) d\mu(x) \geq 0.$$

b  $\Rightarrow$  a. Assume that statement b holds. Let

$w(x) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}\right)^2$  be the Fejer kernel, &

$W(s) = \hat{w}(s) = \max\{1 - |s|, 0\}$  its Fourier transform.

Set  $W_\lambda(s) = \lambda W(\lambda s)$ . Since  $W(0) = 1$ , we

have that  $\{W_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$  is an approximate identity.

Since  $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , a result from Chapter 3 therefore



implies that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \langle W_\lambda, \varphi \rangle = \overline{\varphi(0)}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $\exists C > 0$  s.t.  $\forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \leq C \|f\|_\infty$ . An earlier lemma then implies that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , so if we then show that  $\mu \geq 0$  then the proof will be complete.

To see this, suppose first that ~~some~~  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is real-valued. Since

$$W_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on compact sets, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  then for  $\lambda$  large enough, say  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ , we will have

$$(\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) W_\lambda(x) - f(x) \geq 0.$$

The function

$$g_\lambda(x) = (\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) W_\lambda(x) - f(x)$$

(in fact,  $g_\lambda \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ),  
 is continuous, nonnegative, & bounded, but it need not  
 be compactly supported. Let  $\theta$  be the de la Vallée Poussin  
 kernel, & set  $\theta_n(x) = n\theta(nx)$ . Then  $\text{supp}(\check{\theta}_n) = [-2n, 2n]$ ,  
 $\check{\theta}_n = 1$  on  $[-n, n]$ , &  $0 \leq \check{\theta}_n \leq 1$  everywhere.

Since  $\check{\theta}_n g_\lambda \geq 0$  &  $\check{\theta}_n g_\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we have by  
 hypothesis that

$$\langle (\check{\theta}_n g_\lambda)^\wedge, \varphi \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since  $\theta_n, \check{\theta}_n, g_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $(\check{\theta}_n g_\lambda)^\wedge = \theta_n * \hat{g}_\lambda$ .

And since  $\{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an approximate identity &  $\hat{g}_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$\theta_n * \hat{g}_\lambda \rightarrow \hat{g}_\lambda$  in  $L^1$ -norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we therefore have for each  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{g}_\lambda, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \theta_n * \hat{g}_\lambda, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\check{\theta}_n g_\lambda)^\wedge, \varphi \rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the definition of  $g_\lambda$ , we see that

$$(\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) \langle W_\lambda, \varphi \rangle - \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_0.$$

Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(*) \quad (\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) \overline{\varphi(0)} - \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{~~****~~}$$

Since  $-f$  is also real-valued, applying the same argument to  $-f$  implies that

$$(**) \quad (\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) \overline{\varphi(0)} + \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \geq 0. \quad \text{~~****~~}$$

Adding (\*) to (\*\*) we see that  $\varphi(0)$  is real-valued, &

subtracting (\*) from (\*\*) we see that  $\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle$  is real-valued.

Combining (\*) & (\*\*) therefore implies that

$$|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| \leq (\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) \varphi(0),$$

so letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  gives

$$|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| \leq \|f\|_\infty \varphi(0). \quad (***)$$

Now suppose that  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is complex-valued.

Then applying (\*\*\*) to the real & imaginary parts of  $f$ ,  
we see that

$$|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| \leq 2\varphi(0) \|f\|_{\infty}, \quad \text{all } f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$

An earlier lemma therefore implies that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for  
some  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  [Exercise: Note that that lemma

required that the estimate  $|\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{\infty}$  hold for  
 $f \in \mathcal{F}(C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$  while here we have  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  - justify  
applying the lemma).

Finally, the fact that  $\langle f, \mu \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu} \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle \geq 0$   
for all  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f \geq 0$  implies by an earlier  
exercise that  $\mu \geq 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

### Exercise (Katznelson)

Suppose that  $\nu_k, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that weak\* convergence of  $\nu_k$  to  $\nu$  does not imply that  $\hat{\nu}_k$  converges pointwise to  $\hat{\nu}$ .

Hint: Consider  $\delta_n = \tau_n \delta$ , the point mass at  $n$ .

### Exercise (Katznelson)

With  $\delta_n$  the point mass at  $n$  measure, define

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} (\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n).$$

a. Show that  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ .

b. Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(s)$  exists  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ , but is not identically zero.

Hint: The limit is  $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(s)$ . Note that  $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is

discontinuous — compare to next exercise.

Although weak\* convergence of measures does not imply pointwise convergence of the corresponding F.T.'s, the following exercise shows that if the F.T.'s do converge, then they converge to the "correct" limit, to a continuous function,

### Exercise

Suppose  $\mu_n, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \nu$ .

Suppose  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\hat{\mu}_n(\xi) \rightarrow \varphi(\xi)$  pointwise.

Show that  $\hat{\nu} = \varphi$ .

Hints: Weak\* convergent sequences are bounded (by the Uniform Boundedness Principle). Show that  $\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle \quad \forall f \in FC_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Exercise

Let  $\nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given, & define  $\tilde{\nu} \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\tilde{\nu}(E) = \overline{\nu(-E)}, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}. \quad \text{Show that}$$

$$\hat{\tilde{\nu}}(z) = \overline{\hat{\nu}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hint: An exercise in the appendix shows that if  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\nu})$  then  $\int f(x) d\tilde{\nu}(x) = \int f(-x) d\nu(x)$ .

Exercise (Folland, p. 272)

a. Show that if  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  is an approximate identity, then  $k_\lambda * \mu \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$ .

b. Show that  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is weak\* dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

Exercise (Folland, p. 272)

Let  $\delta_x$  be the point mass at  $x$  measure ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Let  $S$  be the set of all finite linear combinations of  $\delta_x$ .

Show  $S$  is weak\* dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

Hints: Start with  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Show  $\exists x_j^n, c_j^n$

$$\text{s.t. } \left\langle f, \sum_{j=1}^n c_j^n \delta_{x_j^n} \right\rangle \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$$

by approximating with a Riemann sum. Then

$C_c$  is dense in  $L^1$ , &  $L^1$  is weak\* dense in  $M_b(\mathbb{R})$

by the preceding exercise.



### Exercise

Ths is an alternate proof that  $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge = \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu}$ .

- a. Show that if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$   
then  $(f * \mu)^\wedge = \hat{f} \hat{\mu}$ .

Note: An earlier exercise shows that  $f * \mu \in L^1 \cap C_0$ .

- b. Show that if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  &  $\mu, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\langle \hat{f}, (\mu * \nu)^\wedge \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \rangle$$

Note that  $\mu, \nu, \mu * \nu \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ , so the  
distributional ~~Plancherel~~ <sup>Plancherel Formula</sup> applies, as well as the fact that  
~~Plancherel~~  $\langle f, \mu * \nu \rangle = \langle f * \hat{\mu}, \nu \rangle$ .

- c. Use this result to give another proof that  $\mu * \nu = \nu * \mu$ ,  
by appealing to the Uniqueness Theorem.

Exercise (Folland, p. 272)

Let  $\nu_k, \nu \in M_b(\mathbb{R})$  be given.

a. Show that if  $\sup_k \|\nu_k\| < \infty$  &  $\hat{\nu}_k(s) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(s)$

pointwise, then  $\nu_k \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \nu$ .

b. Show that if  $\nu_k \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \nu$  &  $\|\nu_k\| \rightarrow \|\nu\|$ ,

then  $\hat{\nu}_k(s) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(s)$  pointwise.

Hints: By one of the characterizations of  $\|\nu\|$ ,

find a  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\|g\|_\infty \leq 1$  and

$|\int g d\nu| \geq \|\nu\| - \varepsilon$ . [By multiplying  $g$  by a

scalar,  $\int g d\nu$  can be assumed to be real.]

Then  $\int e^{-2\pi i s x} g(x) d\nu_k(x) \rightarrow \int e^{-2\pi i s x} g(x) d\nu(x)$ .